	Page	169
[1		Page
[2	A	(1) G. Braverman
[3]	Q: Do you know why not?	12) understand why — and he's a professional, so
[4]	AID IOS AS	[3] obviously he would use better materials or better
[5]		[4] design than I would.
[6]		[5] Q : I'm handing you what has been marked
	Mr. Voronchenko was not interested because of the	[6] as Defendant's Exhibit 46.
[8]	nature of the opportunity or because of his ideas	Did Mr. Corelli e-mail you an
(9)	about Mr. Corelli?	(8) invoice on or around January 14, 2009?
[10]		[9] A: He did, according to this e-mail.
	MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for	[10] Q: Did you object to that e-mail in any
	speculation.	[11] way?
[12]	A: I don't —	A: I did, because he was not entitled
[13]	MR. ISRAEL: Irrelevant. Go ahead.	to more than 15 percent of his — of his 17
[14]	A: I don't remember.	[14] percent until the renderings are approved.
[15]	Q: Why weren't you interested, do you	[15] Q: I may have misheard. Did you say 15
[16]	recall —	[16] percent, 1-5?
[17]	MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn.	[17] A: Yes.
[18]	Q: Do you recall whether you were not	
(19) i	interested in the opportunity because of	F 400 O1
[20]	Mr. Corelli or because of the idea itself?	[19] January 14, 2009, what percentage of the 17
[21]	MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	[20] percent fee was Triarch entitled to?
22]	A: Because there were Tribeca-related,	[21] A: According to our agreement, no more
23J I	was not interested in any Tribeca project.	[22] than 15 percent.
24]	Q: If it was a real estate development	Q: So less than 15 percent?
25J (opportunity that interested you, would you have	[24] A: Correct.
		[25] Q : Do you have any understanding as to
[1]	Page 17 G. Braverman	70 Page 17
	been willing to go into business with	[1] G. Braverman
	Ar. Corelli?	[2] how many hours Triarch put in between early
[4]	MR. ISRAEL: Come on, objection.	[3] September 2008, when they were hired, and January
	A Sure I would is it and it is	[4] 14, 2009?
5] m h	A: Sure, I would, if it was interesting	A: Well, by this — how any project
	ousiness proposal, why not.	6 would — would work, they indicated 40 percent
7] 3.4	Q: In the middle of the second page,	7 for — for — for phase number 3; even so,
8] IVI	fr. Corelli e-mails you in that e-mail, he states	probably timewise it would take them less than
g us	nat these renderings are taking up a tremendous	(a) that. Once — once the client — once the client
	mount of time. Did you understand that to be	[10] approved the design, then it's all technical.
	te case?	(11) Q: Here Mr. Corelli states, towards the
9	A: Did — did I understand what?	[12] end, "While there are additional charges for the
]	Q: Was it your understanding while you	[13] extra presentation work, we have significantly
W	ere working on the project that the renderings	114 reduced the amount of time 1 1 11 1 c
	ok a lot of time to repair?	[14] reduced the amount of time we have billed for,
to	A: I simply ignored — ignored those	[15] that is to say we have spent a lot more time on
i to I	mments because I am more as a second	[16] this than you have been charged for."
to co	Amnenis because I am very computer literate and	Do you have any understanding as to
to co	omments because I am very computer literate and know how they're being created. I can do it	Gen whathar that attack
to co	cnow how they're being created. I can do it it it is 30 seconds.	[18] whether that statement is true or false?
to co l k wi	now how they're being created. I can do it ithin 30 seconds.	(18) whether that statement is true or false? (19) A: I cannot judge, but that is
to co l k wi	cnow how they're being created. I can do it	(18) whether that statement is true or false? (19) A: I cannot judge, but that is (20) how — that is how it works. I mean, when you're
to co l k wi	cnow how they're being created. I can do it	[18] whether that statement is true or false? [19] A: I cannot judge, but that is [20] how — that is how it works. I mean, when you're [21] trying to accommodate the client, to make him
tod code I k wi	cnow how they're being created. I can do it it ithin 30 seconds. Q: You can develop these renderings thin 30 seconds? A: Well, I would know if they would be	(18) whether that statement is true or false? (19) A: I cannot judge, but that is (20) how — that is how it works. I mean, when you're (21) trying to accommodate the client, to make him (22) like what you do, you spend — sometimes you
to co l k wi wi	cnow how they're being created. I can do it it ithin 30 seconds. Q: You can develop these renderings thin 30 seconds? A: Well, I would know if they would be ceptable to a potential client, but from a	(18) whether that statement is true or false? (19) A: I cannot judge, but that is (20) how — that is how it works. I mean, when you're (21) trying to accommodate the client, to make him (22) like what you do, you spend — sometimes you (23) submit a proposal which you work on for countless
tod cod I k Wi wi acc	cnow how they're being created. I can do it it ithin 30 seconds. Q: You can develop these renderings thin 30 seconds? A: Well, I would know if they would be	[18] whether that statement is true or false? [19] A: I cannot judge, but that is [20] how — that is how it works. I mean, when you're [21] trying to accommodate the client, to make him

Page 173	F	Page 175
[1] G. Braverman	(1) G. Braverman	
[2] Q: And is it your understanding that	[2] You can answer it if you understand	
(3) the client can ask for many, many, many revisions	[3] what he said.	
[4] and not pay any additional fee?	[4] A: I'm not an expert, I cannot tell.	
[5] A: It's — I just said that's how you	[5] Q : In Exhibit 46, Mr. Corelli states,	
[6] win the project. I mean, you have to	[6] "As you will note, this bill is the lion's share	
[7] accommodate — you have to make the client happy.	[7] of the overall fee." Is it your understanding	
[8] Q: When you say "win the project," what	[8] that the lion's share of the work had already	
[9] do you mean? I guess I'm confused by that	[9] been done?	
10] language because you had already entered into a	[10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	
11] contract; Medallion had already entered into a	[11] A: Well, I would never accept such	
12] contract with Triarch, correct?	[12] statement if we're still in Phase I and we still	
13] A: Correct.	[13] have four phases to go. Is it lion share of the	
Q: Hadn't Triarch already won the	[14] entire project, or this is the lion share of	
15] project?	phase I? And why would you go to phase II if	
MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	phase I is not completed?	
A: Yes. But what I'm referring to is	[17] Q: So it's your understanding that the	
that the following stages of the project are much	[18] lion's share of Triarch's overall	
19] less time-consuming from his standpoint of view.	[19] responsibilities of the project had not been done	
20] And in terms of his involvement. Once — once	[20] at this point?	
21] the design is approved, then it goes to — to	[21] A: That is correct.	
22] soft — to software, which is called CAD, that	[22] MR. MANDEL: Thank you.	
23] automatically creates all the — all the drawings	[23] Q: At some point did you ask Triarch to	
24) and all of that so this is something that a	[24] give you an estimate for the construction cost of	
person who makes \$10 dollars an hour can do.	[25] the project?	
Page 174		Page 176
[1] G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman	
[2] Q : So —	[2] A: Yes.	
[9] A: You understand what I'm referring	[3] Q: I'm handing you what has been marked	
[4] to?	[4] as Defendant's Exhibit 47. Do you recognize this	
[5] Q : The AutoCAD software?	[5] document?	
[6] A: The creative project, that is	[6] A: Yes.	
[7] actually what is needed from him. Once it's	[7] Q: What is it?	
[8] done, then the rest of the project for him is a	[8] A: This is construction work estimate.	
9 very — much less time-consuming.	[9] Q: And did Ms. Deiss provide this to	
[10] Q: So the margins are on the back end	[10] you?	
[11] of the project?	[11] A: Yes.	
[12] A: Always.	[12] Q: And am I correct that this was	
Q: If that's the case, why wouldn't he	[13] a — this budget was for \$1,273,800?	
have adjusted the percentage so most of the fee	[14] A: Yes.	
[15] is for the initial stage of the project?	[15] Q: And was this budget an acceptable	
MR. McKEE: Objection to form.	[16] amount?	
MR. McKEE: Objection to form. MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	[17] A: Yes, it was more or less.	
MR. McKEE: Objection to form. MR. ISRAEL: Objection. A: I wouldn't know.	A: Yes, it was more or less. [18] Q: I believe, early in the day, you	
MR. McKEE: Objection to form. MR. ISRAEL: Objection. A: I wouldn't know. Q: But under your understanding of the	A: Yes, it was more or less. [18] Q: I believe, early in the day, you [19] testified that there was a \$1 million ceiling and	
MR. McKEE: Objection to form. MR. ISRAEL: Objection. A: I wouldn't know. Q: But under your understanding of the greement, the work that is required	A: Yes, it was more or less. G: I believe, early in the day, you settified that there was a \$1 million ceiling and you couldn't go above the ceiling; am I correct	
MR. McKEE: Objection to form. MR. ISRAEL: Objection. A: I wouldn't know. See But under your understanding of the greement, the work that is required for the first schematic design phase of the	A: Yes, it was more or less. [18] Q: I believe, early in the day, you [19] testified that there was a \$1 million ceiling and [20] you couldn't go above the ceiling; am I correct [21] about your testimony earlier today?	
MR. McKEE: Objection to form. MR. ISRAEL: Objection. A: I wouldn't know. G: But under your understanding of the terms of the agreement, the work that is required for the first schematic design phase of the project is disproportionate to the percentage of	A: Yes, it was more or less. [18] Q: I believe, early in the day, you [19] testified that there was a \$1 million ceiling and [20] you couldn't go above the ceiling; am I correct [21] about your testimony earlier today? [22] A: Yes.	
MR. McKEE: Objection to form. MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Solution and the agreement, the work that is required for the first schematic design phase of the project is disproportionate to the percentage of the fee that Triarch is entitled to under the	A: Yes, it was more or less. Q: I believe, early in the day, you [18] testified that there was a \$1 million ceiling and [20] you couldn't go above the ceiling; am I correct [21] about your testimony earlier today? [22] A: Yes. [23] Q: Do you wish to change that	
MR. McKEE: Objection to form. MR. ISRAEL: Objection. A: I wouldn't know.	A: Yes, it was more or less. [18] Q: I believe, early in the day, you [19] testified that there was a \$1 million ceiling and [20] you couldn't go above the ceiling; am I correct [21] about your testimony earlier today? [22] A: Yes.	

Page 177 Page 179 [1] G. Braverman G. Braverman [1] Q: So how do you reconcile your [2] Q: And did Medallion have any objection [2] [3] testimony that there was a hard-and-fast 3 to the invoice? [4] \$1 million ceiling with this \$1,273,000 budget A: No. [4] [5] being acceptable? [5] Q: And why did -MR. ISRAEL: Objection, MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. [6] mischaracterizes his earlier testimony. Q: Am I correct that Medallion [7] You can answer. [8] ultimately decided not to pay the invoice? A: It doesn't mean it was accepted. We A: I'm not aware of the fact that it [9] [10] asked them to submit a proposal, which I would [10] wasn't paid. [11] compare to proposals I have in-house. It's just Q: I'm handing you what has been marked [11] [12] a proposal. It doesn't mean that it was ever [12] as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44, and I'll turn your [13] accepted. [13] attention to the third page, which is invoice Q: So it was Medallion's intention to [14] number 3. And am I correct that that invoice is [15] work with this proposal and/or other proposals [15] dated January — what is the date of that [16] until it got to the point that it could meet its (16) invoice? [17] \$1 million budget? 1171 MR. ISRAEL: 9. A: Then I would start to negotiate line Q: Am I correct that invoice number 3 [181] [19] by line. I would ask them why was the guest bath [19] was sent to Medallion on or about January 9, [20] toilet a thousand dollars if I can get it for [21] 600. [21] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. He didn't Q: Right. I may have misunderstood [22] [22] send it, so how is he going to know? [23] this proposal. Were they proposing — was MR. MANDEL: Didn't I say sent to [24] Triarch proposing to be the general contractor [24] Medallion? [25] themselves, or was Triarch just giving you their MR. ISRAEL: Yes, you were saying [25] Page 178 Page 180 [1] G. Braverman G. Braverman 2 opinion as to what the overall project would [2] was it sent to Medallion on that date. [3] COSt? 3 since he wasn't sending it how would he A: No, they said that they do have a [4] know when it was sent? [5] general contractor who they work with on the MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. [6] previous projects. Q: Was invoice number 3 received by Q: I see. [7]Medallion on or about January 9, 2009? A: So I told them why not, why don't A: I don't remember seeing this. 191 you submit a proposal and see what it would cost. Q: Is this the invoice that you're Q: So is it your understanding that [10] referring to in Plaintiff's Exhibit 45, your [11] this proposal was created by the Triarch — the [11] January 21st e-mail to Mr. Corelli? [12] general counsel — excuse me, the general MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [13] contractor with which Triarch was familiar? [13] You can answer if you know. [14] A: I believe so, yes. [14] A: I don't think so. Q: I'm handing you what has been marked Q: Which invoice do you think you were [16] as Plaintiff's Exhibit 45. Do you recognize this [16] referring to? [17] document? A: I was referring to the second [17] A: Yes. [18] [18] invoice that was — the second payment that was [19] Q: And do you recall discussing with [20] Mr. Corelli the payment of an invoice on or 1201 Q: Turning your attention again to [21] around January 21, 2009? [21] Plaintiff's Exhibit 44, the second page of that A: Yes. [22] [22] is the second invoice. Is it your understanding Q: Was it your understanding that [23] [23] that Plaintiff's Exhibit 45 refers to that second [24] Medallion was going to pay the invoice? 124) invoice there? A: Yes. [25] A: None of these invoices were ever

Page 181	Page 18
[1] G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman
[2] approved by me, because additional services is	[2] Q: Your December 10th e-mail?
[3] not part of our contract. They were not doing	[3] A: Yes.
[4] any additional services, they were doing phase I.	[4] Q: So around December 10th, Medallion
[5] Q: So which invoice was being referred	5 had made — was it a tentative decision to
[6] to in Plaintiff's Exhibit 45? So that the record	[6] terminate Triarch?
[7] is clear, this is Exhibit 45, and this is Exhibit	A: I was thinking of terminating this
[8] 44. So which invoice is being referred to in	[8] conflict, yes.
[9] Plaintiff's Exhibit 45?	g Q: So in December of 2008, Medallion
MR. ISRAEL: Are there any other	[10] was considering terminating Triarch?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[11] A: Yes.
MAID MANIDEL . Not that Pan arrows of	[12] Q: But Medallion hadn't reached a
····	decision on that issue?
The table 4% is no for an I'm average all	A cert of the
15] four invoices that Triarch sent to	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
16] Medallion.	[15] Q: So turning back to January 21, 2009,
A T I	16 as of this point in time, you're teining 17 Mr. Corelli that Mr. Voronchenko hasn't
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
to the second se	[18] authorized payment yet. At the time that you [18] were telling him that he hadn't authorized
1 20 27 000 T doubt non-only a should	payment, had Medallion already decided to
20] say, around 30, 35,000. I don't remember about [21] any other payments that were made.	[20] payment, had medamon ancady decided to [21] terminate Triarch?
22] Q: Do you recall when that second 23] payment of approximately 30 to 35,000 was made?	MR. ISRAEL: Objection. 23 You can answer.
A 37 Y	
[24] A: No.1 was not the one who was [25] sending payments.	A: Yes, I believe so, yes. Q: And when was that decision made?
Page 182 G. Braverman	Page 1 G. Braverman
(2) Q: So just returning your attention to	A 1 1.3 1
[3] Plaintiff's 45, do you know what invoice you're	
[4] referring to in that e-mail?	1
er referring to in that e man.	I to MR ISRAEL Senor Corelli
MR ISRAEL : Objection It doesn't	MR. ISRAEL: Senor Corelli.
[5] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. It doesn't	©: — Senor Corelli that you were
[6] say it's an invoice.	© Q: — Senor Corelli that you were electromacting him at this point?
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't.	© Q: — Senor Corelli that you were Est terminating him at this point? A: Well, I've been telling him that
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail?	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure.	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this point in time had Medallion	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this-point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch?	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters.
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes.	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: At what point in time did Medallion	Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that [14] instruction around January 21, 2009?
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: At what point in time did Medallion [15] make that decision?	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that [14] instruction around January 21, 2009? [15] A: Around this time, yes.
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: At what point in time did Medallion [15] make that decision? [16] A: The decision was made actually back	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that [14] instruction around January 21, 2009? [15] A: Around this time, yes. [16] Q: And at this time was Triarch still
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: At what point in time did Medallion [15] make that decision? [16] A: The decision was made actually back [17] in December, but I was hoping to — to see any	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that [14] instruction around January 21, 2009? [15] A: Around this time, yes. [16] Q: And at this time was Triarch still [17] working on the project?
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this-point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: At what point in time did Medallion [15] make that decision? [16] A: The decision was made actually back [17] in December, but I was hoping to — to see any [18] improvements and then I realized that it is a	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that [14] instruction around January 21, 2009? [15] A: Around this time, yes. [16] Q: And at this time was Triarch still [17] working on the project? [18] A: Practically no. I don't think so,
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: At what point in time did Medallion [15] make that decision? [16] A: The decision was made actually back [17] in December, but I was hoping to — to see any [18] improvements and then I realized that it is a [19] total waste of time.	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that [14] instruction around January 21, 2009? [15] A: Around this time, yes. [16] Q: And at this time was Triarch still [17] working on the project? [18] A: Practically no. I don't think so, [19] no.
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: At what point in time did Medallion [15] make that decision? [16] A: The decision was made actually back [17] in December, but I was hoping to — to see any [18] improvements and then I realized that it is a [19] total waste of time. [20] Q: So was a preliminary decision made	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that [14] instruction around January 21, 2009? [15] A: Around this time, yes. [16] Q: And at this time was Triarch still [17] working on the project? [18] A: Practically no. I don't think so, [19] no. [20] Q: Did you want to obtain any copies of
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: At what point in time did Medallion [15] make that decision? [16] A: The decision was made actually back [17] in December, but I was hoping to — to see any [18] improvements and then I realized that it is a [19] total waste of time. [20] Q: So was a preliminary decision made [21] in December to terminate?	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that [14] instruction around January 21, 2009? [15] A: Around this time, yes. [16] Q: And at this time was Triarch still [17] working on the project? [18] A: Practically no. I don't think so, [19] no. [20] Q: Did you want to obtain any copies of [21] any of Triarch's renderings or drawings or work
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: At what point in time did Medallion [15] make that decision? [16] A: The decision was made actually back [17] in December, but I was hoping to — to see any [18] improvements and then I realized that it is a [19] total waste of time. [20] Q: So was a preliminary decision made [21] in December to terminate? [22] A: Yes, when I sent this e-mail.	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that [14] instruction around January 21, 2009? [15] A: Around this time, yes. [16] Q: And at this time was Triarch still [17] working on the project? [18] A: Practically no. I don't think so, [19] no. [20] Q: Did you want to obtain any copies of [21] any of Triarch's renderings or drawings or work [22] product before you terminated them?
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this-point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: At what point in time did Medallion [15] make that decision? [16] A: The decision was made actually back [17] in December, but I was hoping to — to see any [18] improvements and then I realized that it is a [19] total waste of time. [20] Q: So was a preliminary decision made [21] in December to terminate? [22] A: Yes, when I sent this e-mail. [23] Q: Well, this e-mail is in January.	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that [14] instruction around January 21, 2009? [15] A: Around this time, yes. [16] Q: And at this time was Triarch still [17] working on the project? [18] A: Practically no. I don't think so, [19] no. [20] Q: Did you want to obtain any copies of [21] any of Triarch's renderings or drawings or work [22] product before you terminated them? [23] A: I never asked for them.
[6] say it's an invoice. [7] A: No, I don't. [8] Q: Do you know what payment you're [9] referring to in that e-mail? [10] A: I'm not sure. [11] Q: At this point in time had Medallion [12] decided to terminate Triarch? [13] A: Yes. [14] Q: At what point in time did Medallion [15] make that decision? [16] A: The decision was made actually back [17] in December, but I was hoping to — to see any [18] improvements and then I realized that it is a [19] total waste of time. [20] Q: So was a preliminary decision made [21] in December to terminate? [22] A: Yes, when I sent this e-mail.	[5] Q: — Senor Corelli that you were [6] terminating him at this point? [7] A: Well, I've been telling him that [8] since December, that I'm seriously — and I [9] expressed that in my e-mails and over the [10] telephone. But — but obviously I felt that it [11] should come from — from Robert Wise, and I — I [12] instructed him to send termination letters. [13] Q: And you gave Mr. Wise that [14] instruction around January 21, 2009? [15] A: Around this time, yes. [16] Q: And at this time was Triarch still [17] working on the project? [18] A: Practically no. I don't think so, [19] no. [20] Q: Did you want to obtain any copies of [21] any of Triarch's renderings or drawings or work [22] product before you terminated them?

[1]		Page 185		age 187
[1]	G. Braverman		[1] G. Braverman	age 107
[2]	A: No.		[2] A: No.	
[3]	Q: Did anyone ask you to obtain any		[3] Q : Do you know when Mr. Calderin was	
[4]	materials from Triarch prior to the termination?		4) hired?	
[5]	A: No.		A: I think it was around March, March,	
[6]	Q: Did anyone working for or on behalf		[6] April.	
	of Medallion make any effort to obtain any of		Q: And was this — was Mr. Calderin's	
[8]	Triarch's materials before terminating Triarch?		B July 2009 visit to New York his first visit to	
[9]	A: No. It wasn't anyone else but me.		[9] New York to see the apartment?	
[10]	Q: Just you.		[10] A: That, I wouldn't know, because at	
	Well, there is Mr. Voronchenko and		[11] the time I was not already — involved already on	
[12]	there was Mr. Wise and there was Filip and there		[12] a daily basis.	
	were a lot of people who were e-mailing and		[13] Q: Had any progress on the	
[14] 8	speaking with Triarch, right?		[14] apartment —	
[15]	A: Well, Vladimir never communicated		[15] MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn.	
[16]	with Corelli directly or never wrote to him		Q: I think we're going to get into some	
[17]	lirectly.		territory where there is going to be a lot of	
[18]	Q: They had some in-person meetings,		[16] questions that you don't know the answers to.	
[19] 1	ight?		[19] I'm going to keep asking them because I have to	
[20]	A: I was always present at those		[20] know one way or the other whether you know.	
[21] I	neetings.	1	21] Do you know if any progress was made	
[22]	Q: Right?		22] on the renovation of the apartment between the	
23]	A: Always.		22) time Triarch was terminated in January 2009 and	
24]	Q: Right?		24] Mr. Calderin's visit in July of 2009?	
25]	A: Filip was not aware of, no. And		25] A: I don't know.	
		Page 186	D	
[1]	G. Braverman		(1) G. Braverman	ge 188
	ise obviously wouldn't do it without advising	1		
131 TY		İ		
[O] AI	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it.		2 Q: With all of the hindsight that you	
[4]	e, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes,		Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that	
[4] [5] I ':	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as		Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to	
[4] [5] I ' [6] P	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this		Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make?	
[4] [5] I ' [6] P	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument?		Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes.	
[4] [5] I' [6] P [7] de	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay.		Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment	
4] 5] I' 6] P 7] d 8]	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes.		Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because	
[4] [5] I' [6] P [7] d [8]	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it?		Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated?	
[4] [5] I' [6] P [7] de [8] [9]	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden	[1	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I	
[4] [5] I' [6] P [7] de [8] [9] [9] [9] [1] re	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer.	[1	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so	
[4] [5] I': [6] P! [7] de [8] [9] [9] [9] [1] [1] re	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the	[1]	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long.	
[4] [5] I': [6] P: [7] do [8] [9] [9] [0] [1] [2] re [3]	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the partment in July of 2009?	[1] [1]	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long. Q: Do you know if Mr. Voronchenko lost	
[4] [5] I': [6] P: [7] do [8] [9] [9] [1] [1] [2] re [33] [4] ar	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the partment in July of 2009? A: Practically no.	[1] [1] [1]	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long. Q: Do you know if Mr. Voronchenko lost interest in working on the apartment for long	
[4] [5] [7] do [ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the lartment in July of 2009? A: Practically no. Q: Is this — excuse me. Did you	[1] [1] [1]	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long. Q: Do you know if Mr. Voronchenko lost interest in working on the apartment for long speriods of time?	
[4] [5] I' [6] P [7] dd [8] [9] [9] [9] [1] [1] [1] [22] re [23] [34] ap	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the sartment in July of 2009? A: Practically no. Q: Is this — excuse me. Did you tend a meeting with Mr. Calderin in New York in	ម (ព (ព (ព (ព	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long. Q: Do you know if Mr. Voronchenko lost interest in working on the apartment for long periods of time? MR. McKEE: Objection to form.	
[4] [5] I'. [6] Pi [7] de [8] [9] [9] [1] [1] [1] [2] re [8] [9] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the partment in July of 2009? A: Practically no. Q: Is this — excuse me. Did you gend a meeting with Mr. Calderin in New York in around July of 2009?	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long. Q: Do you know if Mr. Voronchenko lost interest in working on the apartment for long periods of time? MR. McKEE: Objection to form. A: I don't know. I don't know. He	
[4] [5] I'. [6] Pi [7] de [8] [9] [0] [1] [1] [2] re [33] [4] ap [5] [7] atti [7] or	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as aintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the partment in July of 2009? A: Practically no. Q: Is this — excuse me. Did you gend a meeting with Mr. Calderin in New York in around July of 2009? A: Yes, I did.	101 101 101 101 101 101 101	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long. Q: Do you know if Mr. Voronchenko lost interest in working on the apartment for long periods of time? MR. McKEE: Objection to form. A: I don't know. I don't know. He never mentioned it. In fact, since I left, we	
[4] [5] I'. [6] P. [7] do [8] [9] [9] [9] [1] re [8] [1] ar [9] [1] or	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as aintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the airtment in July of 2009? A: Practically no. Q: Is this — excuse me. Did you end a meeting with Mr. Calderin in New York in around July of 2009? A: Yes, I did. Q: Is that the meeting that you	101 101 101 101 101 101 101	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long. Q: Do you know if Mr. Voronchenko lost interest in working on the apartment for long periods of time? MR. McKEE: Objection to form. A: I don't know. I don't know. He never mentioned it. In fact, since I left, we never discussed this project.	
[4] [5] I': [6] Pi [7] do [8] [9] [9] [9] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the lartment in July of 2009? A: Practically no. Q: Is this — excuse me. Did you lend a meeting with Mr. Calderin in New York in around July of 2009? A: Yes, I did. Q: Is that the meeting that you entioned a few minutes ago?	[20 [11 [11	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long. Q: Do you know if Mr. Voronchenko lost interest in working on the apartment for long periods of time? MR. McKEE: Objection to form. A: I don't know. I don't know. He never mentioned it. In fact, since I left, we never discussed this project. Q: Since you stopped working on the	
[4] [5] I': [6] P! [7] de [8] [9] [9] [9] [9] [1] [2] re [8] [9] [9] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the sartment in July of 2009? A: Practically no. Q: Is this — excuse me. Did you lend a meeting with Mr. Calderin in New York in around July of 2009? A: Yes, I did. Q: Is that the meeting that you entioned a few minutes ago? A: I mentioned, yes.	[22 [22 [23 [24] [24] [24] [25 [25 [25 [25] [25 [25] [25 [25] [25 [25] [25 [25] [25]	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long. Q: Do you know if Mr. Voronchenko lost interest in working on the apartment for long periods of time? MR. McKEE: Objection to form. A: I don't know. I don't know. He never mentioned it. In fact, since I left, we never discussed this project. Q: Since you stopped working on the project, you have not discussed the project at	
[4] [5] I': [6] P! [7] de [8] [9] [9] [9] [11] [12] re [8] [9] [11] [12] re [13] [14] [15] [15] [16] [17] [17] [17] [18] [18] [18]	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the eartment in July of 2009? A: Practically no. Q: Is this — excuse me. Did you lend a meeting with Mr. Calderin in New York in around July of 2009? A: Yes, I did. Q: Is that the meeting that you lentioned a few minutes ago? A: I mentioned, yes. Q: Other than what you testified about	[11 [11 [11 [11 [11] [12] [20] [21]	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long. Q: Do you know if Mr. Voronchenko lost interest in working on the apartment for long periods of time? MR. McKEE: Objection to form. A: I don't know. I don't know. He never mentioned it. In fact, since I left, we never discussed this project. Q: Since you stopped working on the project, you have not discussed the project at all with Mr. Voronchenko?	
[4] [5] I': [7] de [7] [8] [9] [9] [9] [9] [9] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1	ne, or at least I would have been aware of it. Q: I'm handing you — excuse me, yes, m handing you what has been marked as laintiff's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this ocument? MR. ISRAEL: Let me see it. Okay. A: Yes. Q: What is it? A: I guess I'm advising Hayden garding the new designer. Q: Were you still working on the sartment in July of 2009? A: Practically no. Q: Is this — excuse me. Did you lend a meeting with Mr. Calderin in New York in around July of 2009? A: Yes, I did. Q: Is that the meeting that you entioned a few minutes ago? A: I mentioned, yes.	[22 [22 [23 [24] [24] [24] [25 [25 [25 [25] [25 [25] [25 [25] [25 [25] [25 [25] [25]	Q: With all of the hindsight that you have sitting here today, do you still think that terminating Triarch was the right decision to make? A: Absolutely, yes. Q: And do you think that the apartment was renovated and decorated more quickly because Triarch was terminated? A: Obviously not, but I don't know — I don't know the reasons behind why it took so long. Q: Do you know if Mr. Voronchenko lost interest in working on the apartment for long periods of time? MR. McKEE: Objection to form. A: I don't know. I don't know. He never mentioned it. In fact, since I left, we never discussed this project. Q: Since you stopped working on the project, you have not discussed the project at all with Mr. Voronchenko? A: That's right.	

	Page 189			Page 191
[1]	G. Braverman	[1]	G. Braverman	
[2] A: Yes	s.	[2]	MR. McKEE: Can I see 68, please?	
[3] Q : You	a've remained good friends since	[3]	MR. MANDEL: Of course.	
[4] then, cor	rect?	[4]	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 69, document	
[5] A : Yes	3.	[5]	dated July 1, 2010, marked for	
[6] Q : And	d this work on the apartment	[6]	identification.)	
🖂 didn't ne	gatively affect your relationship in any	[7]	Q: I've handed you —	
[8] way?		[8]	MR. MANDEL: I gave you a second	
[9] A : No	, sir.	[9]	copy, Mr. Israel?	
oj (Plair	ntiff's Exhibit 68, two-page	[10]	MR. ISRAEL: You did, thank you.	
iij documei	nt dated June 1, 2012, marked for	[11]	MR. MANDEL: Plaintiff's Exhibit 69	
12] identific		[12]	is a July 1, 2010 letter from James Rowland	
	you know when the Italian	1	to Mr. Israel.	
	turers began working on manufacturing the	[14]	Q: Mr. Braverman, did Triarch ever send	
	for the apartment?	(15)	Medallion a cease and desist letter asking	
_	RAEL: Okay.		Medallion to cease and desist any use of the	
=	handing you what has been marked		drawings or renderings or its work product on	
	iff's Exhibit 68, which is an e-mail		this project?	
	at the top e-mail is an e-mail to my	[19]	B W 1	
•	to Garth Hayden's counsel's firm, and	[20]	sen ion sel . T. d A. infa I owon	
	eath that is from a Mr. Dejan, D-E-J-A-N,		saw this before.	
	alderin, dated August 3, 2009. At the	[22]	* TT TM-1-1-100-	
	of the e-mail — let me restate the	1	Exhibit 69 before today?	
24) question		[24]		
	e-mail seems to indicate that	[25]	A to it to the last contagon of	
	Page 190	-		Page 19
[1]	G. Braverman	[1]	G. Braverman	J
	ne after August 3, 2009 either Libracon or			
(m) DOMAGE		[2]	the second paragraph this letter, it says,	
			the second paragraph this letter, it says, "Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise	
[3] Tempor	a visited New York for the first time to	[3]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise	
[3] Tempor	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment.	[3] [4]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to	
[3] Tempor [4] take me [5] Do y	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. ou have any idea as to whether	[3] [4] [5]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know	
[3] Tempor [4] take me [5] Do y [6] that visit	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether to occurred in August of 2009?	[3] [4] [5]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false?	
Tempor take me Do y that visit A: No	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether t occurred in August of 2009? 2. No, I was not involved.	[3] [4] [5] [6]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a	
 [3] Tempore [4] take me [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do 	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether to occurred in August of 2009? You No, I was not involved. Yo you know when —	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.	
[3] Tempor [4] take me [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. M	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether to occurred in August of 2009? You No, I was not involved. Yo you know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter,	
[9] Temport [4] take me [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. N [10] Q: To	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether to occurred in August of 2009? You No, I was not involved. Yo you know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states,	
 [5] Temport [6] take me [7] Do y [8] A: No [9] MR. No [10] Q: To [11] states th 	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether at occurred in August of 2009? D. No, I was not involved. D you know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Wards the bottom of the e-mail it that they will begin manufacturing when	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion	
[9] Temport [4] take me [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. N [10] Q: To [11] states the	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether at occurred in August of 2009? b. No, I was not involved. b. you know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. b. wards the bottom of the e-mail it they will begin manufacturing when hurn to Italy.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the	
[9] Temport [4] take me [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. N [10] Q: To [11] states th [12] they ret [13] That	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether to occurred in August of 2009? You No, I was not involved. Yoyou know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it that they will begin manufacturing when the country of the country	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the Triarch Design Documents in any manner."	
[8] Temport [4] take me [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. N [10] Q: To [11] states th [12] they ret [13] That [14] recolled	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether to occurred in August of 2009? You No, I was not involved. Yoy whow when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it not they will begin manufacturing when the urn to Italy. You doesn't refresh your ction in any way as to when the Italian	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the Triarch Design Documents in any manner." Do you know if Medallion ever used	
[8] Tempor: [4] take me: [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. N [10] Q: To [11] states th [12] they ret [13] That [14] recollect [15] manufact	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether at occurred in August of 2009? You No, I was not involved. Yoyou know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it that they will begin manufacturing when aurn to Italy. You doesn't refresh your extion in any way as to when the Italian acturer began work on the project, does it?	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the Triarch Design Documents in any manner." Do you know if Medallion ever used the documents that Triarch gave to it after	
[3] Tempor: [4] take me: [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. N [10] Q: To [11] states th [12] they ret [13] That [14] recollect [15] manufac [16] A: No	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether at occurred in August of 2009? You No, I was not involved. Yoyou know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it that they will begin manufacturing when murn to Italy. You doesn't refresh your ction in any way as to when the Italian cturer began work on the project, does it?	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the Triarch Design Documents in any manner." Do you know if Medallion ever used the documents that Triarch gave to it after Medallion terminated Triarch?	
[3] Tempor: [4] take me: [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. No [10] Q: To [11] states the [12] they ret [13] That [14] recolled [15] manufac [16] A: No [17] Q: Ar	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether at occurred in August of 2009? Yo. No, I was not involved. Yoyou know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it mat they will begin manufacturing when murn to Italy. You doesn't refresh your ction in any way as to when the Italian currer began work on the project, does it? You in I correct that if I asked you	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the Triarch Design Documents in any manner." Do you know if Medallion ever used the documents that Triarch gave to it after Medallion terminated Triarch? A: I don't think so, because it has a	
[3] Tempor: [4] take me: [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No. [8] Q: Do. [9] MR. No. [10] Q: To. [11] states the [12] they ret [13] That [14] recolled [15] manufac [15] manufac [16] A: No. [17] Q: Ar [18] about one	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether a cocurred in August of 2009? Yo. No, I was not involved. Yoyou know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it man they will begin manufacturing when murn to Italy. You doesn't refresh your ction in any way as to when the Italian cturer began work on the project, does it? You do not be apartment.	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the Triarch Design Documents in any manner." Do you know if Medallion ever used the documents that Triarch gave to it after Medallion terminated Triarch? A: I don't think so, because it has a totally different look, totally different design.	
[3] Tempori [4] take me [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. No [10] Q: To [11] states th [12] they ret [13] That [14] recolled [15] manufac [15] manufac [16] A: No [17] Q: Ar [18] about co [19] apartme	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether to occurred in August of 2009? Yo. No, I was not involved. Yoyu know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it nat they will begin manufacturing when the totally. You doesn't refresh your ction in any way as to when the Italian cturer began work on the project, does it? You in I correct that if I asked you orrespondence in connection with the tent in the second half of 2009 and 2010 and	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the Triarch Design Documents in any manner." Do you know if Medallion ever used the documents that Triarch gave to it after Medallion terminated Triarch? A: I don't think so, because it has a totally different look, totally different design. Q: Do you know one way or the other?	
[8] Tempor: [4] take me: [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. No [10] Q: To [11] states th [12] they ret [13] That [14] recollect [15] manufact [16] A: No [17] Q: Ar [18] about co [19] apartme [20] 2011, if	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether a cocurred in August of 2009? Yo. No, I was not involved. Yoyu know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it that they will begin manufacturing when a curn to Italy. You doesn't refresh your cation in any way as to when the Italian acturer began work on the project, does it? You m I correct that if I asked you correspondence in connection with the cent in the second half of 2009 and 2010 and the you weren't cc'd on that correspondence	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the Triarch Design Documents in any manner." Do you know if Medallion ever used the documents that Triarch gave to it after Medallion terminated Triarch? A: I don't think so, because it has a totally different look, totally different design. Q: Do you know one way or the other? A: No.	
[8] Tempor: [4] take me: [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. No [10] Q: To [11] states th [12] they ret [13] That [14] recolled [15] manufac [16] A: No [17] Q: Ar [18] about co [19] apartme [20] 2011, if [21] you wo	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether at occurred in August of 2009? Yo. No, I was not involved. Yoyu know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it mat they will begin manufacturing when murn to Italy. You doesn't refresh your ction in any way as to when the Italian currer began work on the project, does it? You weren't co'd on that correspondence and have no understanding of that	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the Triarch Design Documents in any manner." Do you know if Medallion ever used the documents that Triarch gave to it after Medallion terminated Triarch? A: I don't think so, because it has a totally different look, totally different design. Q: Do you know one way or the other? A: No. Q: Turning your attention to the first	
[8] Tempor: [4] take me: [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No [8] Q: Do [9] MR. N [10] Q: To [11] states th [12] they ret [13] That [14] recolled [15] manufac [16] A: No [17] Q: Ar [18] about co [19] apartme [20] 2011, if [21] you wo [22] corresp	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether a cocurred in August of 2009? Yo. No, I was not involved. Yoyu know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it mat they will begin manufacturing when murn to Italy. Yo doesn't refresh your ction in any way as to when the Italian cturer began work on the project, does it? Yo. In I correct that if I asked you correspondence in connection with the lent in the second half of 2009 and 2010 and any you weren't cc'd on that correspondence und have no understanding of that bondence?	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the Triarch Design Documents in any manner." Do you know if Medallion ever used the documents that Triarch gave to it after Medallion terminated Triarch? A: I don't think so, because it has a totally different look, totally different design. Q: Do you know one way or the other? A: No. Q: Turning your attention to the first paragraph of Plaintiff's Exhibit 69, the last	
[3] Tempor: [4] take me: [5] Do y [6] that visit [7] A: No. [8] Q: Do. [9] MR. No. [10] Q: To. [11] states the [12] they ret [13] That [14] recolled [15] manufact [16] A: No. [17] Q: Ar [18] about co. [19] apartme [20] 2011, if [21] you wo [22] corresp [23] A: No.	a visited New York for the first time to asurements of the apartment. You have any idea as to whether at occurred in August of 2009? Yo. No, I was not involved. Yoyu know when — MANDEL: Withdrawn. Yowards the bottom of the e-mail it mat they will begin manufacturing when murn to Italy. You doesn't refresh your ction in any way as to when the Italian currer began work on the project, does it? You weren't co'd on that correspondence and have no understanding of that	[3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23]	"Triarch has, at no time, waived or otherwise transferred ownership of the Drawings to Medallion Inc. or any other party." Do you know if that statement is true or false? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. Q: On the second page of this letter, the second paragraph, the first sentence states, "Accordingly, Triarch demands that Medallion immediately cease and desist from using the Triarch Design Documents in any manner." Do you know if Medallion ever used the documents that Triarch gave to it after Medallion terminated Triarch? A: I don't think so, because it has a totally different look, totally different design. Q: Do you know one way or the other? A: No. Q: Turning your attention to the first	

Page 193 Page 195 [1] G. Braverman G. Braverman [2] and copied, the Triarch Design Documents without 2 wanted to take possession on February 1st? [3] Triarch's consent." Do you know if that A: Yes. [3] [4] statement is true or false? [4] Q: And even under the schedule, the A: I don't know. [5] [5] ideal schedule that you articulated earlier, you Q: Earlier you testified that when you [6] [6] knew that the apartment wasn't going to be ready [7] were sitting down -[7] until sometime in January, correct? MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. A: Yes. Q: Earlier you testified that when you Q: I'm handing you again what has been (10) were first discussing the timeline for the [10] marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 46. The third [11] project with Mr. Corelli, you knew the timeline, [11] sentence of the letter states, "Triarch was (12) the December 31, 2008 timeline was — I believe [12] retained on the express understanding that it [13] the word you used was "tight"; am I getting your [13] would complete the project, including [14] testimony correct in that regard? [14] construction, on or before December 31, 2008." A: Yes, I said that, yes. A: Mm-hmm. [15] [16] Q: Is that accurate? Q: That statement is inaccurate, right? [16] A: Yes. [17] A: This statement is very accurate. [17] Q: Would Medallion have found it [18] Q: I thought you just testified that [19] acceptable if the project were finished sometime [19] you knew from the beginning that construction [20] in 2009, as opposed to December 31, 2008? [20] wasn't going to be completed until sometime until A: But Corelli said it's doable. [21] January 2009? Q: I'm not asking what Mr. Corelli A: No, I never said that. I always was [23] said. I'm just asking what Medallion's feeling [23] starting in December 31st of 2008 as — as a our [24] was on the subject of 2009. [24] target or wish date, so to speak. Understanding A: Beginning of 2009 was acceptable [25] 25] that the January would take some time for Page 194 Page 196 M G. Braverman G. Braverman [2] since Victor's tenant was going to take 2 additional decoration, cleaning, so on. 3 possession on February 1, 2009. **Q**: Who — Q: Who wanted to take possession? [4] A: So in other words, he would always [4] A: It's Victor's tenant. is get this, you know, lead time if anything goes Q: Who was that? 161 [6] wrong, because you always have time to correct A: I don't know. His friend of his. [7] [7] it, but our intention was to finish it by [8] His prospective tenant that Victor mentioned [8] December 31st. 191 wanted to take possession as of February 1st. And once again, Corelli would never [10] Q: Okay. And that was a friend of no have been hired if he would tell up front that [11] Mr. Vekselberg's? [11] this is simply impossible. He always said that A: Correct. [12] [12] it's doable. And based on this promise of [13] Q: Do you know how you spell his last [13] whatever you want to call it, we signed it into [14] name? [14] agreement. A: Whose? [15] [15] Q: But even if ---[16] Q: The tenant's name? MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. [16] A: Tenant. 1171 Q: The target was to get all of the 1171 Q: I apologize, I misheard you. [18] [18] information that the Italian manufacturer needed [19] A: Prospective tenant. [19] to start production was on October 1st? [20] Q: I thought you were naming A: Correct. [20] [21] Mr. Tenant. Q: And you knew that it was going to A: Last name is T-E-N-A — tenant. [22] [22] take the Italian manufacturer at least 90 days to MR. ISRAEL: That was the last [23] [23] do the manufacturing, correct? [24] deposition. A: No, no, no, including delivery. Q: I apologize. So Victor's tenant [25] Q: Manufacturing and delivery would

Page 19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
G. Braverman	[f] G. Braverman
e) take 90 days?	[2] construction" doesn't include all of those things
3] A: Yes.	[3] that you just described, that would be done in
Q : But then installation would take at	[4] January 2009?
s least two weeks after that, right?	[5] A: Correct. Correct. This draft was
si A: No, at least — yeah, about 10 to 14	[6] prepared based on my instructions.
7 days.	[7] Q: You reviewed this draft before it
g Q: So even under the ideal timeline	(e) went out?
with the October 1st target date, forgetting all	[9] A: Yes.
of the information that the Italian manufacturer	[10] Q: And you felt it was accurate when it
needed, it still wasn't going to be done until	[11] went out?
2] January 2009?	[12] A: Yes.
A: Mid-January, yes.	[13] Q : You didn't think it would be more
q Q: So this statement that there was an	[14] accurate to say would complete the project
understanding that the construction was going to	[15] including construction by sometime in January
be completed on December 31, 2008 is false?	[16] 2009?
7) A: No, it's not. Construction — we	[17] A: No, because I was referring to the
are not referring to Italians. We're referring	[18] work — you see, Tri — Triarch had nothing to do
g to construction, because when Italian come, they	[19] with whatever would be done after December 31st,
o) cover the floors that are done, the ceiling that	absolutely nothing; in other words, they would
is done, they covering all of that, and they just	[21] oversee a construction to the point when it's
2] installing panels.	[22] done, but they had not to do Italians. Italians
3j Q: So what was Medallion's	[23] were just — just like — you know, they changed
4] understanding was going to be completed by	[24] the door, you would come, you take out the old
25] December 31, 2008?	[25] door, you put the new one. So that — this is
Page 1	198 Page 2
(i) G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman
2 A: The entire — the entire apartment	[2] what they would do.
[3] with the exception of the Italians are doing,	[3] Q: Was Triarch going to be involved in
[4] like doors for the closet in the master bedroom	[4] furnishing the apartment?
[5] and the panels for hallway and — and living	[5] A: No. We discussed that, but we
[6] room.	[6] never — we never agreed to that.
(7) Q : What would be complete by December	[7] Q: So it was a possibility but it
[8] 31, 2008 is the bathrooms and the floors in all	[8] wasn't part of the 17 percent contract price that
g the rooms and the ceilings in all the rooms?	191 had been agreed upon?
10] A: Correct.	[10] A: I believe it is not.
11] Q : But everything else would have to be	[11] Q: Then in this letter, in the next
done after December 31, 2008, correct?	[12] sentence it states, "Almost five months after the
a manufactura de la companya de la c	[13] commencement of the project there is not a single
A: Everything else, whatever was done overseas, right.	[14] approved schematic design"; is that true?
A: Everything else, whatever was done overseas, right.	[14] approved schematic design"; is that true? [15] A: Yes, it is true.
A: Everything else, whatever was done overseas, right. G: And then all the furnishings, right? A: Yes.	[14] approved schematic design"; is that true? [15] A: Yes, it is true. [16] Q: So after January 27, 2009, Medallion
A: Everything else, whatever was done overseas, right. G: And then all the furnishings, right? A: Yes. Q: So drapes, all the furniture, all of	[14] approved schematic design"; is that true? [15] A: Yes, it is true. [16] Q: So after January 27, 2009, Medallion [17] had not approved a single schematic design for
A: Everything else, whatever was done overseas, right. G: And then all the furnishings, right? A: Yes. Q: So drapes, all the furniture, all of	[14] approved schematic design"; is that true? [15] A: Yes, it is true. [16] Q: So after January 27, 2009, Medallion
A: Everything else, whatever was done overseas, right. G: And then all the furnishings, right? A: Yes. G: So drapes, all the furniture, all of that stuff would have done on after December	[14] approved schematic design"; is that true? [15] A: Yes, it is true. [16] Q: So after January 27, 2009, Medallion [17] had not approved a single schematic design for [18] the renovation of the apartment? [19] A: Yes.
A: Everything else, whatever was done overseas, right. G: And then all the furnishings, right? A: Yes. G: So drapes, all the furniture, all of that stuff would have done on after December [19] 31st, correct?	[14] approved schematic design"; is that true? [15] A: Yes, it is true. [16] Q: So after January 27, 2009, Medallion [17] had not approved a single schematic design for [18] the renovation of the apartment? [19] A: Yes. [20] (Plaintiff's Exhibit 70, document
A: Everything else, whatever was done overseas, right. G: And then all the furnishings, right? A: Yes. G: So drapes, all the furniture, all of that stuff would have done on after December 31st, correct? A: Correct.	[14] approved schematic design"; is that true? [15] A: Yes, it is true. [16] Q: So after January 27, 2009, Medallion [17] had not approved a single schematic design for [18] the renovation of the apartment? [19] A: Yes. [20] (Plaintiff's Exhibit 70, document [21] entitled, "Answer and Counterleaims",
A: Everything else, whatever was done Overseas, right. G: And then all the furnishings, right? A: Yes. G: So drapes, all the furniture, all of that stuff would have done on after December 31st, correct? A: Correct. C: All the walls would have to be done	[14] approved schematic design"; is that true? [16] A: Yes, it is true. [16] Q: So after January 27, 2009, Medallion [17] had not approved a single schematic design for [18] the renovation of the apartment? [19] A: Yes. [20] (Plaintiff's Exhibit 70, document [21] entitled, "Answer and Counterleaims", [22] marked for identification.)
A: Everything else, whatever was done Q: And then all the furnishings, right? A: Yes. Q: So drapes, all the furniture, all of that stuff would have done on after December 31st, correct? A: Correct. Q: All the walls would have to be done after December 31, 2008 correct?	[14] approved schematic design"; is that true? [15] A: Yes, it is true. [16] Q: So after January 27, 2009, Medallion [17] had not approved a single schematic design for [18] the renovation of the apartment? [19] A: Yes. [20] (Plaintiff's Exhibit 70, document [21] entitled, "Answer and Counterleaims", [22] marked for identification.) [23] Q: I've handed you what has been marked
A: Everything else, whatever was done [14] overseas, right. [15] Q: And then all the furnishings, right? [16] A: Yes. [17] Q: So drapes, all the furniture, all of [18] that stuff would have done on after December [19] 31st, correct? [20] A: Correct. [21] Q: All the walls would have to be done [22] after December 31, 2008 correct?	[14] approved schematic design"; is that true? [16] A: Yes, it is true. [16] Q: So after January 27, 2009, Medallion [17] had not approved a single schematic design for [18] the renovation of the apartment? [19] A: Yes. [20] (Plaintiff's Exhibit 70, document [21] entitled, "Answer and Counterleaims", [22] marked for identification.)

Page 201 Page 203 [1] G. Braverman [1] G. Braverman [2] with index number 107644/2009. [2] February of 2008? Have you ever seen this document [3] A: No, probably late spring of 2008. [4] before? Q: And at that time did Mr. Vekselberg A: Yes. [5] [5] ask you what the possible move-in date was? Q: And when was that? [6] A: Yes. I told him probably by the end A: Yesterday. [7] [7] of the year is — is realistic. Q: Had you ever seen it before [8] Q: Why did you think that that was 19) yesterday? [9] realistic? A: No. [10] A: Based — again, based on my [11] Q: Was yesterday the first time that [11] experience at having seven, eight month — eight, [12] you learned that Mr. Vekselberg had a tenant he (12) nine month — nine month should be more than [13] wanted to move into the apartment in February of [13] enough. [14] 2009? Q: In March of 2008, hadn't Mr. Hayden [14] A: No, I learned this back in 2008. [15] [15] told you it might take a very long time to do [16] Q: So you knew that the whole time you [16] work on this project? [17] were working on the project? A: That is precisely why we used the A: Of course. [18] [18] plan that was already approved, so I knew, Q: And you never asked anyone who that [19] [19] worst-case scenario, that we'll get proved by [20] tenant was -[20] June, July. A: No. [21] Q: And did Medallion look for another **Q**: — because it wasn't your business? [22] [22] tenant to rent the apartment — [23] Do you know whether Mr. Vekselberg's tenant was MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. [24] going to pay rent? Q: So what happened with - do you [24] A: Yes. He mentioned 30,000 a month. [25] [25] know ---Page 202 Page 204 [1] G. Braverman [1] G. Braverman Q: Who mentioned that? 121 MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. [2] A: Victor mentioned that the guy is [3] Q: What happened with the initial [3] [4] willing to pay 30,000 a month. [4] tenant, did someone at some point communicate to Q: So it wasn't — the tenant wasn't a 5 him that the apartment was not going to be [6] friend of Mr. Vekselberg, it was -@ available in February 2009? A: I believe business associate, but [7] A: I don't know, I don't know. [8] I'm not sure. Q: Do you know whether Medallion made Q: When did Mr. Vekselberg mention that [9] any effort to find an alternative tenant to rent [10] to you? [10] the apartment? A: A few months after — after the [11] A: I don't know. [12] apartment was purchased. Q: Do you know whether the market value Q: And do you know whether that tenant [13] of the apartment changed as a result of the [14] was willing to move into that apartment at a [14] renovation? [15] later date? A: I doubt it, no. [15] A: He always ask me is that realistic Q: You don't know or you doubt? [16] [17] to — to have it complete by February 1st, so I [17] A: I doubt it. [18] told him based on — based on the promise we got Q: You doubt it? [18] [19] from - from Triarch it should be done by then. [19] A: Yeah. Q: When did you tell Mr. Vekselberg [20] Q: You think it is probably worth the [20] [21] that? [21] same now as it was worth prior to the renovation? A: Around September-October. A: I think it's worth less. [22] Q: Am I correct you testified that Q: Because of market factors unrelated [24] Mr. Vekselberg first mentioned this prospective [24] to the renovation? [25] tenant at the time the apartment was purchased in A: It was purchased right before

	Page 205	Page 26
[1] G. Braverman	J	[1] G. Braverman
[2] the — the crash, right, so I would assume that		[2] Q: — so was it possible within that
[3] it is probably worth — by now it's probably		[3] 30-day period for Triarch to produce all the
[4] worth the same as it was purchased for.		[4] materials that the Italian manufacturer is going
[5] Q: Do you think the renovation has		[5] to need?
[6] increased its value above what it would have had		A: Once again, as I said before, that
7 absent to the renovation?		7 it really — it is like you and I are reading the
A: I personally don't believe that any		[8] same contract. It takes you three seconds to
[9] renovation increases the value.		9 scroll through a paragraph. It would take me
[10] Q: Did Medallion disagree with you		three minutes or five minutes to scroll through a
[11] about that?		[11] paragraph. He's a professional, right, so he
[12] A: It is my personal — I never		[12] should be able to create renderings, he should
[13] discussed it.		[13] come up with a dozen of renderings within a day
[14] Q: Why did Medallion want to renovate		[14] or so. I mean, he's experienced. I saw his
(15) the apartment at all?		[15] apartment. He's a talented designer. So why
[16] A: It was in terrible condition.		[16] not? I mean, it might be a month, more than
[17] Q: Could it have been lived in in the		enough, a week should be enough to submit the
[18] condition that Medallion purchased it in?		[18] initial renderings, and another week for any
[19] A : Yes.		[19] changes that the client might want looking at
[20] Q: So it was safe but it wasn't a		[20] those renderings, submitting not only one option
[21] pleasant place to live?		[21] but three or four options for every room.
[22] A: Well, considering the — you know,		[22] Q: Turning your attention to page 6 of
the — the — considering that this is a luxury		[23] this document, paragraph 28, the last paragraph
[24] piece of real estate, you would expect something		[24] on the page I would ask you to read that
[25] else.		[25] paragraph to yourself and just tell me whether
	Page 206	Page 2
[1] G. Braverman		[1] G. Braverman
[2] I mean that — that was used by a		[2] that statement is true, that statement is false
[3] tenant who used to come once every three years		[3] or you don't know whether the statement is true
[4] and stay for weeks, so to him it probably was		[4] or false.
[5] acceptable. But it wasn't a luxury — luxury		[5] A: Yes, it's very true.
[6] piece of real estate, no.		[6] Q: So you know it was true that it was
[7] Q : Turning your attention to page 4,		[7] months before a suitable replacement could be
[8] paragraph 10, of this document, it states		[8] hired?
[9] "Defendant advised the plaintiff that it was		[9] A: Yes. The fact is that the other
[10] critical that its Italian tile maker be furnished		[10] designer didn't really start to work until
[11] designs for this aspect of the project within 30		[11] sometime in July or August. I was not already
[12] days since the manufacturer, delivery and		[12] there.
[13] installation, electrical plumbing, et cetera, of		[13] Q: When was that other — we're talking
[14] the tile would require another 90 days."		[14] about Mr. Calderin, correct?
lis it your understanding that all		[15] A: Calderin, yes.
		[16] Q: When was Mr. Calderin hired?
[16] the designs and materials that the Italian		
[16] the designs and materials that the Italian [17] manufacturer needed could be produced within a	1	[17] A: I don't know exactly when he was
	l	hired. But I do remember that based on — based
[17] manufacturer needed could be produced within a	l	[18] hired. But I do remember that based on — based [19] on one of the e-mails that you — when I arranged
manufacturer needed could be produced within a [18] 30-day period?	ı	[18] hired. But I do remember that based on — based [19] on one of the e-mails that you — when I arranged [20] a meeting between Garth Hayden and Calderin while
[17] manufacturer needed could be produced within a [18] 30-day period? [19] A: Again, which — which 30-day period?	ı	[18] hired. But I do remember that based on — based [19] on one of the e-mails that you — when I arranged
manufacturer needed could be produced within a 30-day period? [19] A: Again, which — which 30-day period? [20] Q: Sure. Was it possible for Triarch?	ı	[18] hired. But I do remember that based on — based [19] on one of the e-mails that you — when I arranged [20] a meeting between Garth Hayden and Calderin while
manufacturer needed could be produced within a [18] 30-day period? [19] A: Again, which — which 30-day period? [20] Q: Sure. Was it possible for Triarch? [21] The Triarch-Medallion contract was signed on	1	[18] hired. But I do remember that based on — based [19] on one of the e-mails that you — when I arranged [20] a meeting between Garth Hayden and Calderin while [21] he was in New York, that was what, sometime in
manufacturer needed could be produced within a [18] 30-day period? [19] A: Again, which — which 30-day period? [20] Q: Sure. Was it possible for Triarch? [21] The Triarch-Medallion contract was signed on [22] September 5, 2008, and you set the target date to	ı	hired. But I do remember that based on — based on one of the e-mails that you — when I arranged a meeting between Garth Hayden and Calderin while he was in New York, that was what, sometime in July or August, so this is the gap between

Page 209 Page 211 G. Braverman [1] [1] G. Braverman [2] the prospective tenant? [2] by — effective February 1, 2009 — I don't know A: I'm not aware of it. 3 what time, I'm not sure how to figure it out. Q: Have you seen any written documents [4] Q: Other than that failure to rent the [5] whatsoever that refer to this prospective tenant [5] apartment to the prospective tenant at the rate [6] renting the apartment? 6 of \$30,000 per month, did Triarch cause Medallion A: No. But most deals in Russia, I'm [7] any other damages? [8] doing some business there, are done on a A: I'm not aware of any other damages. [9] handshake. A handshake is by far much safer than Q: I'm handing you what has been marked [10] any agreement. [10] as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. This is the invoices. Q: And other than Mr. Vekselberg [11] I have one copy additional beyond those that I've [12] telling you that he had a prospective tenant to [12] already provided to you. [13] move into the apartment in February of 2009 for Do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit [13] [14] \$30,000 per month, other than him telling you [14] 44? [15] that, do you have any other source of information A: No, I don't. But most likely I saw [15] [16] regarding that prospective tenancy? [16] it. Yes, it was addressed to me. A: Vladimir mentioned that several [17] [17] Q: And starting with invoice number 1, [18] times. [18] did you object — Q: Mr. Voronchenko mentioned that to [19] MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. [20] you as well? Q: Starting with invoice number 1, A: Yes. He was constantly talking [21] which is the first page of Plaintiff's 44, did [22] about it. [22] Medallion object to the invoice at any point Q: Did Mr. Voronchenko know the tenant? [23] after receiving it? A: I don't — I wouldn't know. I A: I did. [24] [25] wouldn't know. They — they're very close, Q: And when did you object? [25] Page 210 Page 212 G. Braverman [1] G. Braverman [2] they're business partners, very close friends. A: Immediately after receiving it. [2] [3] I'm not that close. I wouldn't know. [3] **Q**: What is the objection? Q: They're early childhood friends; is A: My design development was 54,000, 4] [5] that right? 151 what is it based on, I don't remember exactly, MR. MANDEL: I withdraw the [6] but — phase I is entitled to 15 percent of — of [7] question, it doesn't matter. [7] about 170,000, so in my book, 15 percent of A: I don't know history. (8) 170,000 is about 25, but not 54. Q: Other than Mr. Vekselberg and Q: Were there any other objections that [10] Mr. Voronchenko telling you about this tenant, 10 Medallion had to invoice number 1? [11] did you have any other knowledge of the tenant? [11] A: There is nothing else. 1121 A: No. Q: Turning your attention to invoice [12] [13] Q: Did Mr. Voronchenko hear about the [13] number 2, did Medallion object to this invoice? [14] tenant from Mr. Vekselberg? [14] [15] A: Most likely. [15] Q: And when did it make that objection? [16] Q: Do you know how much — A: Immediately after receiving the [16] MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. [17] [17] invoice. Q: How much did Medallion suffer in [18] Q: And to whom did Medallion object? [19] damages as a result of the failure to rent this [19] A: Directly to Corelli. [20] apartment to the prospective tenant? Q: Did you make the objection? [20] MR. ISRAEL: If you know, you know, [21] [21] A: Yes. [22] but don't guess. [22] Q: And was it in writing or over the A: In terms of numbers? [23] [23] phone, if you can recall? Q: Yes. 1241 A: It was over the phone. [25] A: 30 -- 30,000 multiplied Q: And what were your objection or

Page 213	Page 215
[1] G. Braverman	C B
2) objections?	[2] to additional 15 percent, which, in our case is
[3] A: 15 percent of design development, 20	approximately 25,000. So only then would he have
[4] percent of construction documents, which I never	[4] been entitled to additional 25,000.
[5] saw, number one; and number two, I was always	[5] Once it's all approved then he is
[6] referring to the fact that how can you go into	6 obviously going to design development phase, and
design development if the schematic design is not	77] as he progresses, he can bill monthly.
[8] approved. Why would you draw — draw something	B Obviously if he — if overall for
[9] if you don't know what — what the final product	g this phase he's entitled to, let's say, 20
·	property and it took him, let's say three months
	[14] to do it, as he progresses he's entitled to bill
[12] construction documents, what construction? We're	[12] for certain percentage of the complete part of
[13] not — we're not even remotely close to where we	[13] this phase, this is my understanding.
[14] should be.	a see
On the condition of the order of	[14] Q: Was there any limit to the number of [15] changes or revisions or additional designs that
[15] G : Any other objections? [16] A : There is nothing else.	16 Medallion could request without incurring
[17] Q: What about the additional services?	16] Mediamon could request without incurring
[18] A: In terms of additional services, I	[18] A: No, we never discussed that.
[19] never understood those charges because phase I is	[19] Q : So —
[20] — per our contract is entitled to again 15	[20] A: I know that was some of his
[21] percent of certain amount, right, so in addition	[21] complaints, that I cannot make anything good
[22] to that he's charging for someone to prepare	[22] enough for Vladimir. So I tell him why don't you
those renderings, which in my opinion is wrong,	123] try submit not one or two renderings, three or
[24] when — when he needs to do some reimbursable	[24] four, there is nothing wrong with that. I mean,
25] expenses obviously it's natural and	[25] I have experience from this, no one — no one
Page 214	Page 216
[1] G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman
121 understandable, but I never understood the	[2] would submit one or two renderings for every
[3] concept of additional services.	[3] room, You want to look at a couple of options
[4] Q: Did you object to the additional	[4] and it's not a big deal, and then decide which
[5] services item on invoice 2?	[5] one you like. I like this one, but I would like
[6] A: Yes, yes.	[6] to change this and that. We are done. That's
7] Q: What did Mr. Corelli say in	[7] it.
[8] response?	[8] Q: Did Mr. Corelli have any other
[9] A: Truly, I don't know.	[9] complaints about how the project was going?
[10] Q: And did you object to the billing	[10] A: He always has some excuses. What I
[11] for a hundred percent of schematic design?	(11) do remember is that he would promise something
[12] A: Yes, I did, because at the time the	[12] for next week and next week never happened. What
[13] invoice is dated December 8th, it was not	happened? It will be ready next week and the
[14] approved.	[14] following week.
[15] Q: As of December 8, 2008, how much did	[15] Q: Understood.
[16] you think Triarch was entitled to in	[16] Did he have any other complaints or
[17] compensation?	[17] concerns about how the project was going that he
[18] A: My understanding was that he	[18] expressed to you?
[19] received a deposit that was initially agreed	A: I'm the one who should have
[20] upon. Once the professional received a deposit,	[20] complaints, not him.
pon. Once the professional received a deposit, begin the goes back to drawing board, and he must	[21] Q: So sitting here today, you can't
1211 he goes back to drawing board, and he must	[21] Q: So sitting here today, you can't
present the client with several options for every	[21] Q : So sitting here today, you can't [22] recall any other complaints that Mr. Corelli had?

Page 217 Page 219 [1] G. Braverman G. Braverman [2] Medallion have any objections to this invoice? Q: That would have been orally, over [2] A: Yes. And they are based on the 31 the phone, in the days after January 9th? [4] previous invoice. Why would someone do 71 A: It was always over the phone. [4] [5] percent of design development if the renderings Q: And turning your attention to @ are not signed off? [6] invoice 4, this was sent on February 5, 2009. Am Q: So the same objection — 171 7 I correct this was sent — A: You — you ultimate tool — your [8] MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. [9] client's ultimate tool is client signoff, Q: Am I correct that you received [10] approval, so to speak. Once it's done then you [10] invoice number 4 after Medallion had terminated [11] go into production. m Triarch? Q: Other than that objection, did you [12] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [12] [13] have any other objections to invoice number 3? If you know, you know. Don't guess. [†3] A: Same — same thing as additional [14] A: I don't remember. [15] services, which I never understood and [15] Q: Did you object to this invoice after [16] reimbursable expenses are fine. [16] it was received? Q: And did you communicate those [17] [17] A: I don't think so. [18] Objections to anyone at Triarch? [18] Q: Sitting here today, other than the A: Yes. [19] 1191 objections you've described with respect to the Q: Who was that? [20] 201 other invoices, that things were done in the A: Steve. I always spoken with Steven, [21] [21] wrong order and schematic design was not complete [22] specifically in regards to bill. [22] and you didn't understand the additional Q: And would that have been shortly [23] [23] services, other than those objections — [24] after January 9, 2009? MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. Let me A: Yes. [25] rephrase that. Page 218 Page 220 G. Braverman [1] [1] G. Braverman Q: Do you recall that e-mail we looked [2] Q: Am I correct that you had those same [2] 3 at earlier where around January 14, 2009 you told (3) objections that you described earlier for the [4] earlier invoice for this invoice as well? MR. ISRAEL: Objection. 6 between January 9, 2009 and January 14, 2009 you [6] You can answer. A: I don't think I had communicated [8] with him after February. Q: I'm not asking whether you MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] communicated with him. I'm asking, sitting here A: Well, I'm not really sure about this [11] today, taking a look at this invoice, do you have

[4] Mr. Corelli you have not received approval on [5] payment yet? You're saying that somewhere [7] objected to this invoice and then you sent him [8] that e-mail that said he's not approved payment [9] quite yet? [10] [11] [12] point, but — no, I will — I simply — I don't [13] remember. Q: So you don't remember one way or the [14] [15] other whether you objected to this invoice? A: I'm under the impression that I was [17] referring to second payment that was made to him, [18] I don't remember the date. So once again was [19] initial payment and then it was another payment, [20] but I don't remember the date. Q: So after all of that, I just want to [22] make sure we're clear on what your testimony is. [23] Did you object after receiving invoice number 3 [24] to Mr. Corelli?

A: Yes.

251

[12] any objections to it, and I'm assuming maybe I'm [13] mistaken, maybe you have the same objection for [14] invoice 4 as you had for the previously three [15] invoices; is that correct? A: Correct. [16] Q: Other than those invoices — excuse [18] me, other than those objections, do you have any [19] other objections to invoice number 4? [20] A: No. [21] Q: Turn your attention to invoice [22] number 1 again. Tell me if you need a moment to [23] look at invoice 4. Turning your attention to invoice [25] number 1, it says "Project address Voronchenko

Page 221	Page 223
[1] G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman
[2] residence." Was it your understanding when you	[2] MR. ISRAEL: If there are no
[3] received invoice number 1 that that apartment	[9] questions, great.
[4] that is at issue in this case was going to be the	Q: But if you have — if you're ready
[5] Voronchenko residence?	[5] for the next document?
[6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	[6] A: Yes, I am. I was just wondering how
7 You can answer.	[7] is that 21 plus 30,000 is 40,000. It is very
[8] A: No, it — I don't know why he calls	[8] creative way of giving customer credit.
191 it Voronchenko residence — well, because	9 Q: You are correct. I believe we have
probably he was dealing with Voronchenko.	indicated in discovery responses that that is a
Q: Did Triarch believe that	[11] math error, and we have corrected that to correct
[12] Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into that	[12] the actual number, which if memory serves, is
[13] apartment?	[13] somewhere between 50 and 51,000.
[14] MR. ISRAEL: When? At this time?	[14] A: So he is doing manual invoice in
[15] MR. MANDEL: Yes.	[15] 2009?
[16] Q : At the time of the September	[16] Q : I don't know how the invoices were
17] invoice, number 1.	[17] created.
[18] A: No, he had an apartment on Madison	[18] A: Okay.
(19) Avenue.	119 Q: Here is what has been marked as
[20] Q : At some point in time did you learn	Defendant's Exhibit 30. Have you ever seen this
[21] that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into the	[21] document before?
[22] apartment?	[22] A : No.
[23] A: No.	23 Q: Defendant's Exhibit 30 is entitled
[24] Q: Are you aware of whether	"Meeting Notes". You can take your time to
[25] Mr. Voronchenko lives in the apartment today?	glance through this exhibit, if you would like.
Page 222	Page 224
[1] G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman
[2] A: Yes.	[2] Do you know whether the dates listed
[3] Q : Does he live in the apartment today?	[3] on the first page of this document are dates on
[4] A: Yes.	
· ·	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or
[5] Q: And when did you become aware that	i e
	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or
[5] Q: And when did you become aware that	 [4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this
Q: And when did you become aware that he had moved into or was going to move into that apartment? A: About a year ago.	 [4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you
Q: And when did you become aware that he had moved into or was going to move into that apartment? A: About a year ago. G: So prior to a year ago, you had no	 [4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this
Q: And when did you become aware that he had moved into or was going to move into that apartment? A: About a year ago.	 [4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you
Q: And when did you become aware that he had moved into or was going to move into that apartment? A: About a year ago. G: So prior to a year ago, you had no	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right.
[5] Q: And when did you become aware that [6] he had moved into or was going to move into that [7] apartment? [8] A: About a year ago. [9] Q: So prior to a year ago, you had no [10] idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into [11] the apartment; is that correct? [12] A: No.	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your
[5] Q: And when did you become aware that [6] he had moved into or was going to move into that [7] apartment? [8] A: About a year ago. [9] Q: So prior to a year ago, you had no [10] idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into [11] the apartment; is that correct? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And did Triarch ever say anything to	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch?
[5] Q: And when did you become aware that [6] he had moved into or was going to move into that [7] apartment? [8] A: About a year ago. [9] Q: So prior to a year ago, you had no [10] idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into [11] the apartment; is that correct? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And did Triarch ever say anything to [14] you that indicated that it believed	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch? [14] A: I never do.
[5] Q: And when did you become aware that [6] he had moved into or was going to move into that [7] apartment? [8] A: About a year ago. [9] Q: So prior to a year ago, you had no [10] idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into [11] the apartment; is that correct? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And did Triarch ever say anything to	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch?
[5] Q: And when did you become aware that [6] he had moved into or was going to move into that [7] apartment? [8] A: About a year ago. [9] Q: So prior to a year ago, you had no [10] idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into [11] the apartment; is that correct? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And did Triarch ever say anything to [14] you that indicated that it believed [15] Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into the [16] apartment?	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch? [14] A: I never do. [15] Q: Did Triarch take notes at those [16] meetings?
[5] Q: And when did you become aware that [6] he had moved into or was going to move into that [7] apartment? [8] A: About a year ago. [9] Q: So prior to a year ago, you had no [10] idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into [11] the apartment; is that correct? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And did Triarch ever say anything to [14] you that indicated that it believed [15] Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into the [16] apartment? [17] A: No.	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch? [14] A: I never do. [15] Q: Did Triarch take notes at those [16] meetings? [17] MR. ISRAEL: Objection.
[5] Q: And when did you become aware that [6] he had moved into or was going to move into that [7] apartment? [8] A: About a year ago. [9] Q: So prior to a year ago, you had no [10] idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into [11] the apartment; is that correct? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And did Triarch ever say anything to [14] you that indicated that it believed [15] Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into the [16] apartment? [17] A: No. [18] Q: But you didn't object when they	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch? [14] A: I never do. [15] Q: Did Triarch take notes at those [16] meetings? [17] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [18] A: I don't know.
[5] Q: And when did you become aware that [6] he had moved into or was going to move into that [7] apartment? [8] A: About a year ago. [9] Q: So prior to a year ago, you had no [10] idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into [11] the apartment; is that correct? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And did Triarch ever say anything to [14] you that indicated that it believed [15] Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into the [16] apartment? [17] A: No. [18] Q: But you didn't object when they [19] listed the project address as Voronchenko	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch? [14] A: I never do. [15] Q: Did Triarch take notes at those [16] meetings? [17] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [18] A: I don't know. [19] Q: Did Mr. Voronchenko take notes at
[5] Q: And when did you become aware that [6] he had moved into or was going to move into that [7] apartment? [8] A: About a year ago. [9] Q: So prior to a year ago, you had no [10] idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into [11] the apartment; is that correct? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And did Triarch ever say anything to [14] you that indicated that it believed [15] Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into the [16] apartment? [17] A: No. [18] Q: But you didn't object when they [19] listed the project address as Voronchenko [20] residence, correct?	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch? [14] A: I never do. [15] Q: Did Triarch take notes at those [16] meetings? [17] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [18] A: I don't know. [19] Q: Did Mr. Voronchenko take notes at [20] those meetings?
Q: And when did you become aware that he had moved into or was going to move into that he had moved into or was going to move into that he apartment? Re A: About a year ago. Re C: So prior to a year ago, you had no he idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into he apartment; is that correct? A: No. Re C: And did Triarch ever say anything to he you that indicated that it believed hr. Voronchenko was going to move into the he apartment? A: No. Re But you didn't object when they he listed the project address as Voronchenko he residence, correct? MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch? [14] A: I never do. [15] Q: Did Triarch take notes at those [16] meetings? [17] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [18] A: I don't know. [19] Q: Did Mr. Voronchenko take notes at [20] those meetings? [21] A: Probably. But I'm — again, I don't
Q: And when did you become aware that he had moved into or was going to move into that rapartment? A: About a year ago. G: So prior to a year ago, you had no he idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into he apartment; is that correct? A: No. R: A: No. R: A: No. R: Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into he idea that indicated that it believed Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into the he idea apartment? R: No. R: No. R: No. R: But you didn't object when they his listed the project address as Voronchenko R: Israel: Objection. R: Never paid attention.	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch? [14] A: I never do. [15] Q: Did Triarch take notes at those [16] meetings? [17] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [18] A: I don't know. [19] Q: Did Mr. Voronchenko take notes at [20] those meetings? [21] A: Probably. But I'm — again, I don't [22] remember.
Q: And when did you become aware that he had moved into or was going to move into that apartment? Re A: About a year ago. Re Q: So prior to a year ago, you had no dea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into the apartment; is that correct? A: No. Re And did Triarch ever say anything to dea that indicated that it believed Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into the material material apartment? A: No. Re But you didn't object when they dea that indicated that it believed Mr. No. Mr. No. Mr. No. Mr. No. Mr. No. Mr. ISRAEL: Objection. A: Never paid attention. Mr. ISRAEL: Do you have a question?	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch? [14] A: I never do. [15] Q: Did Triarch take notes at those [16] meetings? [17] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [18] A: I don't know. [19] Q: Did Mr. Voronchenko take notes at [20] those meetings? [21] A: Probably. But I'm — again, I don't [22] remember. [23] Q: And does Medallion have any
Q: And when did you become aware that he had moved into or was going to move into that rapartment? A: About a year ago. G: So prior to a year ago, you had no he idea that Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into he apartment; is that correct? A: No. R: A: No. R: A: No. R: Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into he idea that indicated that it believed Mr. Voronchenko was going to move into the he idea apartment? R: No. R: No. R: No. R: But you didn't object when they his listed the project address as Voronchenko R: Israel: Objection. R: Never paid attention.	[4] which Triarch met with you and/or [5] Mr. Voronchenko? [6] A: Probably, yes. [7] Q: Other than the dates listed on this [8] document, were there any other dates on which you [9] or Mr. Voronchenko met with Triarch? [10] A: I'm not sure. I have to go back, [11] but it looks just about right. [12] Q: Did you take any notes in your [13] meeting with Triarch? [14] A: I never do. [15] Q: Did Triarch take notes at those [16] meetings? [17] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [18] A: I don't know. [19] Q: Did Mr. Voronchenko take notes at [20] those meetings? [21] A: Probably. But I'm — again, I don't [22] remember.

		Page 225			Page 227
[1]	G. Braverman		[†]	G. Braverman	
[2	Q: Does Medallion have any policies			or the most part. I don't believe	
[3]	concerning how it maintains its documents?			ve five e-mails from Medallion from	
[4]	A: No.			the 2009 —	
[5]	Q: After this lawsuit was filed, did			ISRAEL: Then you miscounted	
[6]	Medallion hold on to all of the documents related			se I turned over to you everything	
[7]	to this case?			e have. I'll take another look but	
[8]	MR. ISRAEL: Objection.			ave them to me, I turned them over.	
[9]	A: When you say "all of the documents,"			Oo you keep some e-mails in your	
[10]	whatever — whatever documents I had in my			outside of a folder or do you put	
	possession, I transferred to —			ning into folders?	
[12]	MR. ISRAEL: Me.			have broken down by subject, by	
[13]	Q: When did you do that?		2] A: I 3] folder,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
[14]	A: More than a year ago, right?			•	
[15]	Q: And did anyone ever advise you to			o you didn't have any — so some you delete, and those e-mails you don't	
[16]	not destroy any documents related to this case?		oj e-mano oj delete		
[17]	A: No.		-	- in the box.	
[18]	Q: Did you ever search through all your			- in the pox. - a hundred percent —	
[19]	e-mails to see all of your e-mails related to			o, no, no. Even before I store in	
	this case?			arate folder, I'll delete them if I feel	
[21]	A: I did.			cy're totally irrelevant and not worth	
[22]	Q: Did you find any e-mails?		g saving.	Ly ic totally ifferevalle and not worth	
[23]	A: Yes, they were all —		_	o, today, how many e-mails are in	
[24]	MR. ISRAEL: Yes, he did, he turned		your in		
[25]	them over to me and I produced them to you.	!	-	aximum, dozen.	
		Page 226			
[†]	G. Braverman	, ago 220	15	G. Braverman	Page 228
[2]	Q: About how many e-mails did you turn		‼ ո Ո ւ∩	ther than you turning over	
[3]	over to Mr. Israel?		a u : O a docum		
[4]	A: A dozen, ten, maybe ten.		-	MANDEL: Withdrawn,	
[5]	Q: Is it your practice to retain			ow many e-mail addresses do you	
[6]	e-mails?		have?	ow many c-man addresses do you	
[7]	A: Not every — not everything. I	į		together?	
[8]	would — I would delete something that is totally		Q: Ye	-	
[9]	irrelevant or not important, in my opinion, but I			ver 500.	
[10]	would keep the important ones, yes.			0 e-mail addresses. And how many	
[11]	Q: And would you put them in a folder	1		e-mail addresses did you use in	
[12] [that related to this project?			ion with this project?	
[13]	A: Yes.	1		ur or five.	
[14]	Q: And did you turn over that whole			d are your practices with respect	
[15] f	folder?	1		ng and organizing e-mails the same with	
[16]	A: Yes, yeah. I actually printed it			to those four or five e-mail accounts?	
[17] (out and then I sent it to — I printed out	[
[18] Y	whatever.	10		all of your e-mail addresses get	
19]	MR. MANDEL: I don't believe we	-		ed to a single e-mail account?	
20] f	eceived those from you.	6		a sorry, can you repeat the	
21]	MR. ISRAEL: You definitely, you	-	question		
22] (lefinitely have received them.	E			
23]	THE WITNESS: I saw them all.	1-		e four or five e-mail	
24]	MR. ISRAEL: I took out his files.	1		s that you used in connection with this	
25]	MR. MANDEL: Those were from our			they all get forwarded to one e-mail	
				= " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	

	Page 229 Page 2
[f] G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman
[2] account, or do you access them through different	[2] you stopped being involved?
accounts?	[3] MR. ISRAEL: Objection.
A: No, only through one account.	[4] A: I wouldn't.
S Q: And other than you providing e-mail	[5] Q: And when did Medallion first seek
6] to Mr. Israel, did Medallion make any other	[6] approval from the department of buildings to do
7] efforts to collect e-mails related to this	7] the project?
s) project?	[8] A: Immediately after getting an
MR. ISRAEL: It did, but he probably	(9) approval from the building.
ol doesn't know about it because he's not	[10] Q: And when did it first receive
1) working for Medallion now. But I'll	[11] approval from the department of buildings?
2] represent to you, on the record, that other	[12] A: Within few days after it was filed.
g people were involved, at least three other	[13] Q: And did Medallion make any
people marshaled documents including any	[14] additional department of buildings filings after
5] e-mails that were available and they were	[15] that initial filing?
6) produced to you.	[16] A: I don't know.
7) MR. MANDEL: Which three other	[17] Q : With respect to the —
8] people?	[18] MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn.
9j MR, ISRAEL: I'm not going to answer	[19] Q: Earlier you testified about a
oj your questions today, I'll say off the	[20] company that had done work on another similar
1] record, I'll even give you an e-mail	[21] apartment in the same building.
2] telling you who the people are, but I'm not	[22] A: Mm-hmm.
g going to answer questions on this — on the	[23] Q: Do you know if the name of that
record of this deposition.	[24] apartment — do you know if the name of that
5] MR. MANDEL: That is fine. I would	[25] professional was Jendretzki?
	Page 230 Page
(1) G. Braverman	(1) G. Braverman
appreciate an e-mail.	[2] A: Yes.
3 Q: Other than your providing e-mails to	
	[3] Q: And is that J-E-N-D-R-E-T-Z-K-I?
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have	[4] A: That's right.
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this	 A: That's right. Q: Other than Mr. Hayden and Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon,
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case?	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Kr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Who else performed services in connection with
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Kr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Who else performed services in connection with Kr. That's right.
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? B A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe.	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Kr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Who else performed services in connection with Kr. Hayden and A: You listed them all.
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and K: Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, K: Who else performed services in connection with K: the renovation and decoration of the apartment? K: You listed them all. MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes.
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. C: Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project?	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Kr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Who else performed services in connection with Kr. Hayden and Filip of Libracon, Kr. Who else performed services in connection with Kr. Hayden and Filip of Libracon, Kr. Who else performed services in connection with Kr. Hayden and Kr. Warden and Hayden and Kr. You listed them all. Kr. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. Kr. Hayden and Kr. Ha
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this are case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008.	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and K: Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, K: who else performed services in connection with K: the renovation and decoration of the apartment? K: You listed them all. K: MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. K: Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) K: A: That's right. K: Mr. Calderin and Filip of Libracon, K: Time renovation and decoration of the apartment?
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. Q: And when did it first obtain	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Kr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Who else performed services in connection with Kr. Hayden and Filip of Libracon, A: You listed them all. MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) (A brief recess is taken.) Time noted: 5:03 p.m.)
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. Q: And when did it first obtain approval?	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, who else performed services in connection with the renovation and decoration of the apartment? A: You listed them all. MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) (A brief recess is taken.) Time noted: 5:03 p.m.) CTime noted: 5:03 p.m.)
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. Q: And when did it first obtain approval? A: In August.	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Kr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Who else performed services in connection with Kr. the renovation and decoration of the apartment? MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. Kr. (Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) Kr. (A brief recess is taken.) Kr. (Time noted: 5:03 p.m.) MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes.
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. Q: And when did it first obtain approval? A: In August. Q: And after it obtained approval —	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Kr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Who else performed services in connection with Kr. That's right. Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Mr. Who else performed services in connection with Kr. That's right. Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Mr. Who else performed services in connection with Kr. You listed them all. MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes.
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. Q: And when did it first obtain approval? A: In August. Q: And after it obtained approval — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn.	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Kr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Who else performed services in connection with Kr. the renovation and decoration of the apartment? Kr. You listed them all. Kr. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. Kr. (Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) Kr. deficiences is taken.) Kr. (Time noted: 5:03 p.m.) Kr. Other than what you testified to Kr. MANDEL: Withdrawn. Kr. Other than what you've already
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. Q: And when did it first obtain approval? A: In August. Q: And after it obtained approval — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. Q: Am I correct you're referring to	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, who else performed services in connection with the renovation and decoration of the apartment? A: You listed them all. MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. (Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) (A brief recess is taken.) (Time noted: 5:03 p.m.) Q: Other than what you testified to sefore — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. Q: Other than what you've already sestified to here today, did Triarch breach its
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. Q: And when did it first obtain approval? A: In August. Q: And after it obtained approval — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. Q: Am I correct you're referring to August of 2008?	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Kr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Who else performed services in connection with Kr. Hayden and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, Who else performed services in connection with Kr. Hayden and Filip of Libracon, Kr. Wou listed them all. MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. Kr. (Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) Kr. (Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) Kr. (Time noted: 5:03 p.m.)
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. C: Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. C: And when did it first obtain approval? A: In August. C: And after it obtained approval — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. C: Am I correct you're referring to August of 2008? A: Yes.	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, who else performed services in connection with the renovation and decoration of the apartment? A: You listed them all. MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. (Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) (A brief recess is taken.) (Time noted: 5:03 p.m.) (Time noted: 5:03 p.m.) MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. G: Other than what you've already testified to here today, did Triarch breach its obligations under its contract with Medallion in any way?
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. Q: And when did it first obtain approval? A: In August. Q: And after it obtained approval — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. Q: Am I correct you're referring to August of 2008? A: Yes. Q: And after obtaining approval in	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, who else performed services in connection with the renovation and decoration of the apartment? MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. MR. McKEE: Let's
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. Q: And when did it first obtain approval? A: In August. Q: And after it obtained approval — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. Q: Am I correct you're referring to August of 2008? A: Yes. Q: And after obtaining approval in August of 2008, did it have to go back to get any	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, who else performed services in connection with the renovation and decoration of the apartment? A: You listed them all. MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. (Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) (A brief recess is taken.) (Time noted: 5:03 p.m.) Q: Other than what you testified to sefore — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. Q: Other than what you've already setsified to here today, did Triarch breach its seligo obligations under its contract with Medallion in any way? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a selegal conclusion.
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. Q: And when did it first obtain approval? A: In August. Q: And after it obtained approval — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. Q: Am I correct you're referring to August of 2008? A: Yes. Q: And after obtaining approval in August of 2008, did it have to go back to get any additional approvals from the building?	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, who else performed services in connection with the renovation and decoration of the apartment? A: You listed them all. MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. (Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) (A brief recess is taken.) (Time noted: 5:03 p.m.) Q: Other than what you testified to sefore — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. Q: Other than what you've already sestified to here today, did Triarch breach its selfon obligations under its contract with Medallion in any way? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a selfon of Libracon, MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a selfon of Libracon, A: I cannot judge.
Mr. Israel, and am I correct that you don't have any understanding as to what efforts Medallion took to collect and produce documents in this case? A: No idea. It happened recently, I believe. C: Q: When did Medallion first seek approval from the building to do the project? A: I'd say May or June of 2008. C: And when did it first obtain approval? A: In August. C: And after it obtained approval — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. C: Am I correct you're referring to August of 2008? A: Yes.	A: That's right. G: Other than Mr. Hayden and Mr. Calderin and Triarch and Filip of Libracon, who else performed services in connection with the renovation and decoration of the apartment? A: You listed them all. MR. McKEE: Let's take two minutes. (Time noted: 4:55 p.m.) (A brief recess is taken.) (Time noted: 5:03 p.m.) Q: Other than what you testified to sefore — MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. Q: Other than what you've already setsified to here today, did Triarch breach its seligo obligations under its contract with Medallion in any way? MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for a selegal conclusion.

Page 233 Page 235 G. Braverman [1] G. Braverman [1] 2 do under the Triarch-Medallion contract, right? A: No. [2] A: Right, right. [3] Q: Do you know who did the expediting Q: And you testified today about a [4] for approval at the department of buildings? is whole bunch of ways in which they didn't perform A: I don't know what expediting is. in their obligation under that agreement? Q: Do you know whether any engineers A: Okay. 7 did any work in the apartment? Q: Other than what you testified to A: I don't. n here today, are there any other ways that Triarch Q: Does Medallion have any disputes [10] failed to live up to their obligations that you no with anyone else who provided goods or services [11] haven't testified to? [11] in connection with this project? A: What else is there? MR. ISRAEL: Don't speculate. [12] Q: I just want to make sure. [13] A: No. [14] So just so the record is clear, just Q: Does Medallion -[14] [15] what you testified to here today, there is no [15] MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. [16] other way that Triarch has failed to live up to Q: Has Medallion failed to pay any [17] its obligations under the agreement? [17] amounts that it was invoiced for in connection A: No. [18] [18] with the project, other than the Triarch Q: Did Medallion ever copy any of [19] invoices? [20] Triarch's drawings? A: No. [20] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [21] Q: And do you know if that is true with [22] A: No. [22] respect to invoices received after you stopped Q: Did Medallion ever instruct anyone [23] working for Medallion? [24] else to copy Triarch's drawings? [24] A: No. A: Again, I can't speak for Medallion, [25] Q: Okay. I'm going to be asking some Page 234 Page 236 [1] G. Braverman G. Braverman [1] [2] I can, but only for this time frame I was [2] general questions. 3 involved in, the answer is no. As many times as you've made it Q: So with respect to the time frame [4] clear here today that there are periods of time (5) that you were involved with Medallion, Medallion s you know and periods of time you don't know, it 68 did not instruct anyone to copy any of Triarch's [6] is very important that your answers are clear drawings, but you don't know — correct? 🕅 with respect to whether you know or don't know MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn, Let me [8] for various periods of time. g start again. Withdrawn. Triarch provided Medallion with Q: For the time period you were [10] certain renderings, correct? [11] involved, Medallion did not instruct anyone else [11] A: Yes. [12] to copy Triarch's drawing, correct? Q: And Triarch provided Medallion with [12] [13] A: Correct. [13] certain drawings, correct? Q: And for the period of time you were A: I never saw any drawings. [15] not involved, you don't know whether Medallion [15] Q: You never saw any drawings? [16] instructed anyone to copy Triarch's drawings? [16] A: No. [17] A: I don't. Q: Okay. I'm handing you what has Q: Are you aware of whether work on the [18] [18] previously been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 7. [19] apartment — [19] Have you ever seen this document before? [20] MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. A: No. [20] Q: Are you aware of whether work on the [21] Q: Do you know if this document was [22] renovation project ceased at any point in time? [22] ever provided to Medallion? A: No, I'm not, [23] A: They were not. [23] Q: Do you know who did the construction Q: You're certain about that? [25] on the apartment? A: I never saw it.

Page 237	Page 239
[i] G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman
Q: Do you know if these documents were	[2] forgetting what this says on the lower right-hand
[3] ever provided to anyone that was working for	[3] corner, do you have any understanding as to when
Medallion?	141 these documents were created? By "these
[5] A: You want to know my opinion?	[5] documents" I'm referring to Defendant's Exhibit
[6] Q : I want to know — I don't think	[6] 7.
these are really opinion questions. I think	[7] MR. ISRAEL: Can I take a shot?
[8] either you know whether they were turned over or	[8] He wants to know if you have an
9) you don't know.	191 understanding as to when these documents
oj A: I don't know.	that you never saw before were created by
Q: Let's start with your opinion. Do	[11] somebody else outside of your presence; do
you have an opinion as to whether these documents	112] you have an understanding of when that
were ever provided to anyone at Medallion?	[13] happened?
A: I think they were done after	[14] A : No.
s) termination.	[15] Q: Okay. Did anyone incorporate any
Q: And why do you think that?	[16] aspects—
A: Otherwise I would have seen them.	MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn.
Q: Do you see that these documents are	[18] Q: Did any of the various professionals
19] dated December 23, 2008? You see that it says	[19] working for Medallion incorporate any aspects of
December 23, 2008 on there?	[20] Triarch's renderings in their designs or
A: I do, I do.	[21] drawings?
Q: Do you have any understanding as to	MR. ISRAEL: Objection.
whether they were actually created on or about	[23] A: I wouldn't know.
December 23, 2008?	[24] Q: Was anyone —
MR. ISRAEL: Other than what he just	[25] MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn.
Page 238 G. Braverman	Page 24 G. Braverman
[1] G. Braverman [2] said, right, because he has an	5 miles and francismology bo
[2] Said, right, because he has an	[2] Q: Were any of the professionals who [3] were working for Medallion, did they incorporate
REP RESIDED I I de uite monte en odifici	[4] any aspects of Triarch's drawings in any of the
[4] MH. MANDEL: I don't want to mouny	
is the question in any way	
[5] the question in any way. MR McKFF: You should read it back.	[5] professional designs or drawings?
MR. McKEE: You should read it back,	[6] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection.
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, then.	 [6] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know.
 MR. McKEE: You should read it back, then. MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you 	 [6] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's
 [6] MR. McKEE: You should read it back, [7] then. [8] MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you [9] read it back. 	 [5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, then. MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if	 [5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built?
6 MR. McKEE: You should read it back, 7 then. 8 MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you 9 read it back. 10 A: Why would you do the drawings if 11 none of your designs ever were approved?	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know.
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, then. MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you read it back. MY: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.)	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, then. MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.) A: Anyhow, I never saw it.	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of [13] Triarch's drawings?
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, then. MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.) A: Anyhow, I never saw it. Q: And do you have any understanding as	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of [13] Triarch's drawings? [14] MR. McKEE: Objection.
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, then. MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.) A: Anyhow, I never saw it. Q: And do you have any understanding as to when they were created?	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of [13] Triarch's drawings? [14] MR. McKEE: Objection. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Calls for
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, then. MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.) A: Anyhow, I never saw it. A: Anyhow, I never saw it. A: Do I have any understanding?	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of [13] Triarch's drawings? [14] MR. McKEE: Objection.
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, then. MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you go read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.) A: Anyhow, I never saw it. Q: And do you have any understanding as to when they were created? A: Do I have any understanding? Q: Yes.	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of [13] Triarch's drawings? [14] MR. McKEE: Objection. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Calls for [16] speculation.
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.) A: Anyhow, I never saw it. Q: And do you have any understanding as to when they were created? A: Do I have any understanding? Q: Yes. MR. McKEE: Do you know when —	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of [13] Triarch's drawings? [14] MR. McKEE: Objection. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Calls for [16] speculation. [17] A: No.
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.) A: Anyhow, I never saw it. Q: And do you have any understanding as to when they were created? A: Do I have any understanding? Q: Yes. MR. McKEE: Do you know when — A: Are you referring to my book or —	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of [13] Triarch's drawings? [14] MR. McKEE: Objection. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Calls for [16] speculation. [17] A: No. [18] Q: And how do you know that? [19] A: Let me get something straight. How
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.) A: Anyhow, I never saw it. Q: And do you have any understanding as to when they were created? A: Do I have any understanding? Q: Yes. MR. McKEE: Do you know when — A: Are you referring to my book or — Q: I'm referring to —	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of [13] Triarch's drawings? [14] MR. McKEE: Objection. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Calls for [16] speculation. [17] A: No. [18] Q: And how do you know that?
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.) A: Anyhow, I never saw it. Q: And do you have any understanding as to when they were created? A: Do I have any understanding? Q: Yes. MR. McKEE: Do you know when — A: Are you referring to my book or — [20] Q: I'm referring to — Q: I'm referring to read this date?	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of [13] Triarch's drawings? [14] MR. McKEE: Objection. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Calls for [16] speculation. [17] A: No. [18] Q: And how do you know that? [19] A: Let me get something straight. How [20] would Garth copy something that was already [21] approved, that was created by him and approved?
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, then. MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you go read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.) A: Anyhow, I never saw it. Q: And do you have any understanding as to when they were created? A: Do I have any understanding? Q: Yes. MR. McKEE: Do you know when — A: Are you referring to my book or — Q: I'm referring to — Q: I'm referring to read this date? Q: I understand. I understand that you	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of [13] Triarch's drawings? [14] MR. McKEE: Objection. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Calls for [16] speculation. [17] A: No. [18] Q: And how do you know that? [19] A: Let me get something straight. How [20] would Garth copy something that was already
MR. McKEE: You should read it back, MR. MANDEL: Yes. Why don't you read it back. A: Why would you do the drawings if none of your designs ever were approved? (The record is read.) A: Anyhow, I never saw it. Q: And do you have any understanding as to when they were created? A: Do I have any understanding? Q: Yes. MR. McKEE: Do you know when — A: Are you referring to my book or — [20] Q: I'm referring to — Q: I'm referring to read this date?	[5] professional designs or drawings? [6] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [7] A: I wouldn't know. [8] Q: And were any aspects of Triarch's [9] designs incorporated into the apartment as it was [10] built? [11] A: I don't know. [12] Q: Did Garth Hayden copy any of [13] Triarch's drawings? [14] MR. McKEE: Objection. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Calls for [16] speculation. [17] A: No. [18] Q: And how do you know that? [19] A: Let me get something straight. How [20] would Garth copy something that was already [21] approved, that was created by him and approved? [22] Copy what?

	Vol. 1, July 11, 2012
Page :	Page 243
(1) G. Braverman	(1) G. Braverman
27 drawings done by Garth, and approved by Garth.	[2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection.
[8] Q: So you're referring to Defendant's	MR. McKEE: Objection.
[4] Exhibit 7. You're saying Defendant's Exhibit 7	A: Well, they used someone else's
is was done by Mr. Hayden?	[5] ideas, yes.
MR. ISRAEL: Objection. First of	[6] Q: Whose ideas were those?
何 all, you're just going through what he's	7 MR. ISRAEL: Objection.
[8] never saw before and he's pointing to the	
[9] top of the document. So it's clear, he's	, were presented with
not referring to this document in any	9 some ideas that got created in Moscow by Filip.
[11] detail because we're all in the room and we	[10] MR. MANDEL: Can we go off the
[12] can all observe that,	[11] record for a second.
[13] Q: I definitely don't want to put any	(Discussion held off the record.)
[14] words in your mouth. I'm just trying to	[13] Q: I'm showing you what has been marked
[15] understand what it is you just said.	[14] as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 10. Do you
Is it your testimony that all of	[15] recognize that document?
Triarch designs were, in fact, copied from	[16] A: No. May I look?
[18] Mr. Hayden?	[17] Q: Yes. Please flip through it.
[19] MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	[18] A: Yes, I remember seeing it. Yes.
[20] MR. McKEE: Objection.	[19] Q: And when you said that — and I
[21] A: No, it is not what I said.	[20] don't remember your exact words, but a moment ago
[22] Q : So —	21) you referred to drawings that were provided by
A: What I said is that why would	[22] Filip. Were these — excuse me, you may have
[24] Mr. Hayden steal anything from these people if	[23] been referring to renderings and not drawings?
25] he's the one who initially created the floor plan	[24] A: Renderings.
and the one who initially created the floor plan	[25] Q: Are these the renderings that you're
Page 242	2
(1) G. Braverman	Page 244 [1] G. Braverman
[2] and had it approved.	2) referring to?
Q: Did Triarch present any original	(3) A: Yes.
41 designs to Medallion at any point in time?	
A: Original designs, meaning	(4) Q: Were these provided to Triarch? [5] A: Yes.
e renderings?	
7] Q: Yes, whether the designs were	[6] Q : At what time were they provided to [7] Triarch?
8] represented as renderings or drawings, I'm trying	
not to get bogged down on that issue. A design	B A: Definitely before they started to
you can write it down on paper one way or a	[9] work, definitely before they got involved.
different way, you can memorialize it on paper a	[10] Q: Right now you're referring to the
number of different ways. My question is: Did	[11] third page of Plaintiff's Exhibit 10. Is that a
Triarch, while it was working for Medallion,	[12] rendering of the foyer?
create any original designs whatsoever?	[13] A: Yes.
A: No.	[14] Q : Is it your testimony that
Q: Were all of its designs copies —	[15] plaintiff's —
MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn.	[16] MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn.
Q: Were all of its designs copied from	[17] Q: Is it your testimony that Triarch's
somewhere else?	rendering of the fover copied page 3 of Exhibit
MR. ISRAEL: Objection. He said he	[19] 10 here?
didn't create designs. What are you	201 A: No. What I said, they were based on
talking about?	21] this one, and this was given to them as their —
	something that we don't want.
Go ahead Sorm	
Go ahead. Sorry.	
Go ahead. Sorry. Q: Did Triarch copy all of its designs from someone else?	

	F	Page 245		Page 247
[1]	G. Braverman		(1) G. Braverman	
[2]	Q: When you say —	1	[2] Did Mr. Voronchenko request that	
[3]	MR. McKEE: What do you want, the		[3] palisander wood be used —	
[4]]	presentation book?		[4] A : Yes.	
[5]	MR. MANDEL: Yes.		[5] \mathbf{Q} : — in your response —	
[6]	Q: When you say this was given to them,		[6] A: Yes, yes, yes.	
[7]	this was given to Triarch, are you referring to		[7] Q : Is it possible that the use of wood	
[8]	all of Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 or just certain		[8] in both sets of renderings is because	
[9]	pages of Plaintiff's Exhibit 10?		[9] Mr. Voronchenko asked for it?	
0]	A: All of it.	1	A: Are you referring to similarity?	
[1]	Q: In addition to showing you		[11] Q : You pointed to — one of the	
[2]	Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 I'm showing you	,	similarities you pointed to is that you both used	
[3]	Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. And both of these		13) similar wood, I believe, and I'm just asking	
4)	exhibits show renderings of the foyer?		whether that necessarily means that Triarch's use	
15]	A: Mm-hmm.		of wood was based on Filip's use of wood; or is	
6]	Q: Is it your testimony that Triarch's		[16] it that Mr. Voronchenko asked for wood?	
	renderings of the foyer in Defendant's Exhibit 4	- 1	A: No, using wood is very natural. But	
	are based on the renderings of the foyer in	1	[18] in combination, in combination of other	
19]	Defendant's Exhibit 10?		materials, it looks like very similar to me, it's	
20]	A: Conceptually, yes, and this is an		[20] combination of wood and suede.	
21]	opinion.		[2i] Q : Am I correct that Filip only did	
22]	Q: And can you describe to me how		[22] renderings of the foyer and the living room?	
23]	conceptually Triarch's rendering is based on		[23] A: Yes.	
	Filip's rendering?		[24] Q : And just comparing Triarch's	
[25]	A: All the same colors and the		renderings from the living room in Defendant's	
		Page 246		Page 24
[†]	G. Braverman	Page 246	(I) G. Braverman	Page 24
[2]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living	Page 24
[2] [3]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your	Page 24
[2] [3] [4]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [5]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room?	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes.	Page 24
[2] [9] [4] [5] [6] [7]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings?	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close.	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question?	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings	Page 24
[2) [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever?	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same approach. The wood, the suede, even the colors.	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] A: Compared to this, or overall?	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same approach. The wood, the suede, even the colors. Yes, you have different shades but the colors are	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] A: Compared to this, or overall? [15] Q: Overall. Just did —	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same approach. The wood, the suede, even the colors. Yes, you have different shades but the colors are also the same. This is what I'm referring to.	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] A: Compared to this, or overall? [15] Q: Overall. Just did — [16] A: It's a question of taste, you either	Page 24
[2] [9] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same approach. The wood, the suede, even the colors. Yes, you have different shades but the colors are also the same. This is what I'm referring to. Q: Is it your understanding that	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] A: Compared to this, or overall? [15] Q: Overall. Just did — [16] A: It's a question of taste, you either [17] like it or not.	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [9] [10] [12] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [17] [18]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same approach. The wood, the suede, even the colors. Yes, you have different shades but the colors are also the same. This is what I'm referring to. Q: Is it your understanding that Filip's rendering these panels here on the very	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] A: Compared to this, or overall? [15] Q: Overall. Just did — [16] A: It's a question of taste, you either [17] like it or not. [18] Q: That would be whether it's good or	Page 24
[2] [3] [4] [6] [6] [7] [9] [10] [11] [13] [14] [15] [15] [16] [17]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same approach. The wood, the suede, even the colors. Yes, you have different shades but the colors are also the same. This is what I'm referring to. Q: Is it your understanding that	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] A: Compared to this, or overall? [15] Q: Overall. Just did — [16] A: It's a question of taste, you either [17] like it or not. [18] Q: That would be whether it's good or [19] not, I think. I'm asking whether Triarch used	
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [9] [10] [12] [12] [13] [14] [15] [15] [17] [18]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same approach. The wood, the suede, even the colors. Yes, you have different shades but the colors are also the same. This is what I'm referring to. Q: Is it your understanding that Filip's rendering these panels here on the very edge, that those are suede panels? A: Yes.	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] A: Compared to this, or overall? [15] Q: Overall. Just did — [16] A: It's a question of taste, you either [17] like it or not. [18] Q: That would be whether it's good or [19] not, I think. I'm asking whether Triarch used [20] any creativity or any original thought whatsoever	
[2] [9] [4] [6] [6] [7] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19] [19] [19]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same approach. The wood, the suede, even the colors. Yes, you have different shades but the colors are also the same. This is what I'm referring to. Q: Is it your understanding that Filip's rendering these panels here on the very edge, that those are suede panels? A: Yes. Q: Can you tell that from the rendering	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [8] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] A: Compared to this, or overall? [15] Q: Overall. Just did — [16] A: It's a question of taste, you either [17] like it or not. [18] Q: That would be whether it's good or [19] not, I think. I'm asking whether Triarch used [20] any creativity or any original thought whatsoever [21] in preparing its renderings?	
[2] [9] [4] [6] [6] [7] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [19] [19] [19]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same approach. The wood, the suede, even the colors. Yes, you have different shades but the colors are also the same. This is what I'm referring to. Q: Is it your understanding that Filip's rendering these panels here on the very edge, that those are suede panels? A: Yes. Q: Can you tell that from the rendering or have you worked on the project or both?	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] A: Compared to this, or overall? [15] Q: Overall. Just did — [16] A: It's a question of taste, you either [17] like it or not. [18] Q: That would be whether it's good or [19] not, I think. I'm asking whether Triarch used [20] any creativity or any original thought whatsoever [21] in preparing its renderings? [22] A: You want to know my opinion?	
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [10] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [20] [21] [22] [23]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same approach. The wood, the suede, even the colors. Yes, you have different shades but the colors are also the same. This is what I'm referring to. Q: Is it your understanding that Filip's rendering these panels here on the very edge, that those are suede panels? A: Yes. Q: Can you tell that from the rendering or have you worked on the project or both? A: As far as I remember, yes. I don't	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] A: Compared to this, or overall? [15] Q: Overall. Just did — [16] A: It's a question of taste, you either [17] like it or not. [18] Q: That would be whether it's good or [19] not, I think. I'm asking whether Triarch used [20] any creativity or any original thought whatsoever [21] in preparing its renderings? [22] A: You want to know my opinion? [23] Q: Yes.	
[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [10] [10] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [20] [21] [22] [23]	G. Braverman combination of colors, the same concept of using wood and — and — and suede. I would say that it is more refined than this one, but conceptually, conceptually, it's wood and using — it's the same materials of a different color, a different combination, but conceptually it is not entirely — this was giving them as an example of bad taste. This is what we don't want to see even close. What I'm saying is — I'm not saying it's the same, of course, this is much more refined. But conceptually it's the same approach. The wood, the suede, even the colors. Yes, you have different shades but the colors are also the same. This is what I'm referring to. Q: Is it your understanding that Filip's rendering these panels here on the very edge, that those are suede panels? A: Yes. Q: Can you tell that from the rendering or have you worked on the project or both?	Page 246	[2] Exhibit 4 with Filip's rendering of the living [3] room in Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, is it also your [4] testimony that Triarch's renderings of this room [5] were based on Filip's renderings of this room? [6] A: Again, conceptually, yes. [7] Q: Did Triarch supply any original [8] thinking in preparing its renderings? [9] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [10] A: Can you repeat the question? [11] Q: Sure. Do Triarch's renderings [12] include any originality whatsoever? [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] A: Compared to this, or overall? [15] Q: Overall. Just did — [16] A: It's a question of taste, you either [17] like it or not. [18] Q: That would be whether it's good or [19] not, I think. I'm asking whether Triarch used [20] any creativity or any original thought whatsoever [21] in preparing its renderings? [22] A: You want to know my opinion?	

Page 249 Page 251 G. Braverman [1] G. Braverman [1] [2] opinion. Q: Was Triarch's rendering of the [2] Q: And by taking it to the next level, [3] library based in any way on Filip's rendering of (4) did they use any creativity or originality? (4) the library? **15**1 A: No. [5] [6] Q: Is that true with all of the rooms, Q: Did Triarch base its designs on 161 [7] or just the living room? 77 anything other than Filip's renderings? A: Well, there is only living room and MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Calls for [9] hall — hallway in this renderings. [9] speculation. Q: In Filip's renderings? [10] A: Once again, I didn't pay much A: Yes. [11] [11] attention to this since it was Vladimir's [12] Q: And did Triarch use any originality [12] department. [13] in the renderings of the foyer? Q: So you don't know one way or the [13] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [14] [14] other? A: Some. A: I don't. [15] Q: The other renderings and the [16] [16] Q: Do you have any knowledge as to [17] other ---(17) whether Mr. Calderin copied any of Triarch's MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn. [181] [18] designs? Q: Am I correct that Filip didn't do A: I don't. [19] [20] any renderings of the library, correct? Q: Do you have any knowledge as to [20] A: I believe he did not, no. [21] whether Filip copied any of Triarch's designs? Q: So Triarch could not have based its [22] [22] A: I don't. [23] rendering of the library on Filip's renderings of [23] Q: Do you have any knowledge of whether [24] the library, correct? [24] Libracon copied any of Triarch's designs? A: Correct. [25] [25] A: Filip is Libracon. Page 250 Page 252 G. Braverman [1] G. Braverman Q: And the same is true of the bedroom, [2] Q: So it's the same answer? Is that a [2] iii the master bedroom? [3] yes? MR. ISRAEL: Objection. A: Yes. Yes. [4] A: Excuse me, I take it back, this is [5] Q: Did Medallion ever show any of the [6] the library. [6] designs Triarch provided to anyone other than Q: So you're pointing to a page — [7] Medallion? A: But it is different, it's different, 181 MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [8] 191 VCS. A: I don't know. **Q**: So — [10] Q: Am I correct that Mr. Voronchenko [11] MR. McKEE: Let's identify the [11] closely supervised the design aspects of the [12] project? MR. MANDEL: Yes. So the record is A: Yes. [13] [14] clear, Mr. Braverman is referring to [14] Q: Would it have been possible for [15] Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, to a page that is [15] Mr. Calderin to copy any of Triarch's designs [16] the second to last page in that exhibit, [16] without Mr. Voronchenko instructing him to do so? which is a rendering of the living room. [17] MR. McKEE: Objection. [18] And there is a large opening or doorway in MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for [18] [19] the living room that looks into the [19] speculation. [20] library. A: I don't know. [20] Q: Is that correct? [21] Q: Would it have been possible for A: Correct. [22] [22] Mr. Hayden to copy any of Triarch's drawings Q: And that rendering displays portions [23] without Mr. Voronchenko instructing him to do so? [24] of the library? MR. McKEE: Objection. A: Yes. [25] MR. ISRAEL: Objection, calls for

	Page 253
[1] G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman
[2] speculation.	[2] the meeting on the same day or the following day,
[3] A: I don't know.	[3] that he has no business to work on anything else
MR. MANDEL: Let's take a	[4] but phase I.
s] five-minute break.	[5] Q: And did you explain that to
(Time noted: 5:29 p.m.)	[6] Mr. Corelli?
(A brief recess is taken.)	77 A: I'm sure I did.
(Time noted: 5:31 p.m.)	[8] Q: Now, the e-mail on Plaintiff's
9) (Plaintiff's Exhibit 71, two-page	[9] Exhibit 71 also complains about the invoice being
oj document dated Wednesday November 5, 2008,	[10] calculated on a budget of approximately \$2.1
n marked for identification.)	[11] million; am I correct about that?
2) Q: Mr. Voronchenko (sic), do you	[12] A: No. What I'm referring to, that
3] recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 71?	based on the number that he billed, he's
4) A: I don't have 71.	[14] referring to the budget of 2.5 million -2.1 .
5] Q: I'm handing you what has been marked	[15] Q: Right, But am I correct that he
6) as Plaintiff's Exhibit 71 — actually, for the	[16] wasn't — that Triarch wasn't calculating invoice
7 record, that is an e-mail chain, the top e-mail	17 1 on the basis of an approximately \$2.1 million
8] of which is from Mr. Corelli to you dated	[18] budget, he was using an \$800,000 budget; is that
91 November 5, 2008.	ne correct?
Do you recognize this document?	[20] A: Yes.
MR. McKEE: Excuse me.	21 Q: But even with —
2] A: Yes.	MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn.
Q: Am I correct that this is an e-mail	Q: Am I correct that Mr. Corelli's
chain concerning an invoice that you received in	[24] e-mail resolves your concern about the budget
25] November 2008?	upon which Triarch was basing its calculation?
Pa	Page 254 Page
C Designation	Page 254 Page G. Braverman
G. Braverman	C Bravesman
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question?	[1] G. Braverman
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? G: Am I correct — I'm also handing you	[2] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection.
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? G: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you	G. Braverman MR. ISRAEL: Objection. G: Is that a yes?
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44.	G. Braverman MR. ISRAEL: Objection. G: Is that a yes? A: That he clarified the budget?
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? G: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm.	G. Braverman MR. ISRAEL: Objection. G: Is that a yes? A: That he clarified the budget? G: Yes.
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. Q: Am I correct that this e-mail chain	G. Braverman MR. ISRAEL: Objection. SI Q: Is that a yes? A: That he clarified the budget? C: Yes. A: Yes.
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? G: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. C: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on	G. Braverman MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Respond to the budget? Congression of the budge
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. Q: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44?	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct?
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. Q: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No.	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes.
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? G: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. C: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. C: Which —	G. Braverman MR. ISRAEL: Objection. C: Is that a yes? A: That he clarified the budget? C: Q: Yes. A: Yes. C: You still had other objections to B: the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? A: Yes, yes. C: A: Yes, yes. C: A: Yes, yes. C: A: Yes, yes.
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? G: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. C: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. C: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes.	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment?
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? G: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. C: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. C: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes. C: And in the e-mail chain that is	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment? [12] A: No.
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? G: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. C: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. C: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes. C: And in the e-mail chain that is contained on Plaintiff's Exhibit 71, you have an	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And you never saw any of his
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? G: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. C: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. C: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes. C: And in the e-mail chain that is contained on Plaintiff's Exhibit 71, you have an conjection to invoice number 1 and Mr. Corelli	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And you never saw any of his [14] drawings?
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. Q: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. Q: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes. Q: And in the e-mail chain that is contained on Plaintiff's Exhibit 71, you have an so objection to invoice number 1 and Mr. Corelli responds to your objection with an explanation.	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And you never saw any of his [14] drawings? [15] A: No.
A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. Q: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. Q: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes. Q: And in the e-mail chain that is contained on Plaintiff's Exhibit 71, you have an objection to invoice number 1 and Mr. Corelli responds to your objection with an explanation. Did Mr. Corelli's explanation	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And you never saw any of his [14] drawings? [15] A: No. [16] Q: And you never saw any of his
A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. Q: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. Q: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes. Q: And in the e-mail chain that is contained on Plaintiff's Exhibit 71, you have an objection to invoice number 1 and Mr. Corelli responds to your objection with an explanation. Did Mr. Corelli's explanation resolve your concern with respect to invoice	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And you never saw any of his [14] drawings? [15] A: No. [16] Q: And you never saw any of his
A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. Q: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. Q: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes. Q: And in the e-mail chain that is contained on Plaintiff's Exhibit 71, you have an objection to invoice number 1 and Mr. Corelli responds to your objection with an explanation. Did Mr. Corelli's explanation resolve your concern with respect to invoice number 1?	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And you never saw any of his [14] drawings? [15] A: No. [16] Q: And you never saw any of his [17] renderings? [18] A: No. [19] Q: I am handing you what has been
A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. Q: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. Q: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes. Q: And in the e-mail chain that is contained on Plaintiff's Exhibit 71, you have an objection to invoice number 1 and Mr. Corelli responds to your objection with an explanation. Did Mr. Corelli's explanation resolve your concern with respect to invoice number 1? A: No, it did not.	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And you never saw any of his [14] drawings? [15] A: No. [16] Q: And you never saw any of his [17] renderings? [18] A: No. [19] Q: I am handing you what has been [20] marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 54. Do you
A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you feel reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. Q: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. Q: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes. Q: And in the e-mail chain that is contained on Plaintiff's Exhibit 71, you have an objection to invoice number 1 and Mr. Corelli responds to your objection with an explanation. Did Mr. Corelli's explanation resolve with did not resolve	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And you never saw any of his [14] drawings? [15] A: No. [16] Q: And you never saw any of his [17] renderings? [18] A: No. [19] Q: I am handing you what has been [20] marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 54. Do you [21] recognize this document?
G. Braverman A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. Q: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. Q: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes. Q: And in the e-mail chain that is contained on Plaintiff's Exhibit 71, you have an so objection to invoice number 1 and Mr. Corelli responds to your objection with an explanation. Did Mr. Corelli's explanation resolve your concern with respect to invoice number 1? A: No, it did not. Q: No, the explanation did not resolve the concern?	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And you never saw any of his [14] drawings? [15] A: No. [16] Q: And you never saw any of his [17] renderings? [18] A: No. [19] Q: I am handing you what has been [20] marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 54. Do you [21] recognize this document? [22] A: No.
A: Yes. What is the question? Q: Am I correct — I'm also handing you what has been previously marked, that you reviewed, as Plaintiff's Exhibit 44. A: Mm-hmm. Q: Am I correct that this e-mail chain relates to Triarch invoice number 1 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 44? A: No. Q: Which — A: Well, yes, yes, yes. Q: And in the e-mail chain that is contained on Plaintiff's Exhibit 71, you have an objection to invoice number 1 and Mr. Corelli responds to your objection with an explanation. Did Mr. Corelli's explanation resolve your concern with respect to invoice number 1? A: No, it did not.	[1] G. Braverman [2] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [3] Q: Is that a yes? [4] A: That he clarified the budget? [5] Q: Yes. [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: You still had other objections to [8] the invoice that he did not clarify, correct? [9] A: Yes, yes. [10] Q: Am I correct that you never saw any [11] of Mr. Calderin's design for the apartment? [12] A: No. [13] Q: And you never saw any of his [14] drawings? [15] A: No. [16] Q: And you never saw any of his [17] renderings? [18] A: No. [19] Q: I am handing you what has been [20] marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 54. Do you [21] recognize this document?

-		D 057	
[1]	G. Braverman	Page 257	Page 259
[2]	A WILL 1		(i) G. Braverman
[3]	2009, correct?		[2] Libracon from that same period and asked you the
[4]	A: Yes.		[3] same questions, am I correct that your answers
[5]	Q: Do you know if this document is a		[4] would be the same?
[6]	set of drawings that was prepared by Libracon?		[5] A: Yes.
[7]	TIP IOP ACT		© Q: If I showed you other drawings from
[8]	know, you know.		[7] Garth Hayden for the period after Triarch was
[9]			[8] terminated, am I correct that you would have no
[10]	Q: Do you know if anyone instructed		[9] way of knowing whether Mr. Hayden copied any of
[11]	Libracon —		[10] Triarch's drawings?
[12]	MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn.		[11] A: I wouldn't know, I never saw them.
[13]	Q: Am I correct that February 14, 2009	1	12 Q: And you wouldn't know whether he
[14]	is shortly after Medallion terminated Triarch?	1	[13] copied them one way or the other? [14] A: No.
[15]	MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	[• •
[16]	A: Do I know for a fact?	1	[15] Q: I am showing you what has been [16] marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 53. Do you
[17]	Q: Setting aside the document for a		[17] recognize this document?
[18]	second, am I correct that Medallion terminated	1	[18] A: No, no.
[19]	Triarch at the end of January 2009?	ľ	Q: Have you ever seen this document
[20]	A: No, I believe the — it is dated		[20] before?
[21]	sometime in February — oh, okay, end of January.	1	A: No. I don't think so, no.
[22]	Q: And am I correct that February 14,		Q: Am I correct that you never saw any
[23]	2009 is after Triarch was terminated?	1.	23] drawings or designs prepared by the Italian
[24]	MR. ISRAEL: Objection. You want to		24) manufacturer?
[25]	know whether the month of February comes	[2	A: No, I never saw.
		Page 258	
[1]	G. Braverman		Page 260 (1) G. Braverman
	after the month of January; is that your		2 Q: I am handing you what has been
[3] (question?		[3] marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 22. Do you
[4]	MR. MANDEL: Yes, yes, more or less.		[4] recognize this document?
[5]	MR. ISRAEL: He wants to know		[5] A: No. Never saw it.
	whether the month of February comes after	1	[6] Q : Earlier you testified that you were
	he month of January; can you answer that		71 deposed in two separate cases, have you given
	juestion?	[[8] testimony in any other cases?
[9]	A: Yes, I think so.	1	[9] A: No.
[10]	Q: Do you know if after Triarch was erminated —	1-	og Q: And other than the two cases you
[†2]	MR. MANDEL: Withdrawn.		1) testified you were involved in as the plaintiff,
[13]	Q: Do you know if after Triarch was		2) have you ever been involved in any other
	erminated, Libracon copied any of Triarch's		3) litigation?
	rawings?	[14	
[16]	MR. ISRAEL: Objection. Calls for	[H:	
	peculation.		6) described, from the — was it the Oil and Gas
[18]	A: I don't know.		7 Institute In Ukraine, have you received any other
[19]	MR. ISRAEL: Do you know?	1	s) higher education or postgraduate degrees?
[20]	Q: Do you know whether Plaintiff's	Pt]	
[21] E	xhibit 54 was copied from any of Triarch's	[20	, and the contract
	rawings?		to here today, have you ever had any involvement
[23]	MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	[23	g in the architecture or design business? A: No.
[24]	A: No, I don't.	[24	
[25]	Q: If I showed you other drawings from	1	construction business, other than what you've
			- Compared Dubblicas, Other High what you've

Page 261	5	Page 263
(1) G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman	
[2] testified to here today?	[2] convicted of anything to do with his	
[3] A : No.	[3] ability to tell the truth, if it bears upon	
[4] Q : Do you hold any professional	[4] his ability to tell the truth, and he	
[5] licenses?	s answered the question that it does not.	
[6] A: No.	[6] Okay.	
Q: Have you ever held any professional	[7] MR. MANDEL: No, that is not okay.	
[8] licenses?	[8] MR. ISRAEL: Then take it to the	
[9] A: No.	19 judge.	
10] Q: Were you ever an engineer?	[10] MR. MANDEL: Okay.	
11] A: Yes.	[11] DIR Q. Were you convicted of conspireing to	
Q: Were you licensed as an engineer?	[12] defraud the United States?	
13] A: Yes.	[13] THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer	
(4) Q: Where was that?	[14] that?	
A: In the Soviet Union.	[15] MR. ISRAEL: No.	
16] Q: Was that before you came to the	[16] DIR Q. Okay. Did you serve any prison time	
7] United States?	[17] as a result of that conviction?	
18] A: Yes.	[18] THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer	
19] Q: Were you ever licensed in the United	[19] that?	
20] States?	[20] MR. ISRAEL: No.	
21] A : No.	[21] DIR Q. Did you plead guilty to conspireing	
Q: Were you ever disciplined in any	[22] to defraud the United States?	
23] way?	[23] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to	
24] A : No.	[24] answer that.	
Q: Have you ever been convicted of a	[25] DIR Q. What was the nature of the	
Page 26	2	Page 26
(1) G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman	
[2] crime?	[2] conspiracy?	
[3] A: No.	[3] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to	
[4] Q: You've never been convicted of a		
	[4] answer that.	
[5] crime?	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the	
[5] crime? [6] A : Yes.	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of?	
 [5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? 	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999.	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that.	
 [5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q.And what was the crime? 	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [9] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q.And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection.	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States?	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q.And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go.	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q.And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q.And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth?	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [19] not going to answer those questions. Do	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q.And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth? [14] THE WITNESS: No.	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [13] not going to answer those questions. Do [14] you have any questions beyond those?	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q.And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth? [14] THE WITNESS: No. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Then you don't have to	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [13] not going to answer those questions. Do [14] you have any questions beyond those? [15] MR. MANDEL: Let me just make my	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q. And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth? [14] THE WITNESS: No. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Then you don't have to [16] answer the question.	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [13] not going to answer those questions. Do [14] you have any questions beyond those? [15] MR. MANDEL: Let me just make my [16] record. I have a series of more questions	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q. And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth? [14] THE WITNESS: No. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Then you don't have to [16] answer the question. [17] DIR Q. Were you convicted of tax fraud?	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [13] not going to answer those questions. Do [14] you have any questions beyond those? [15] MR. MANDEL: Let me just make my [16] record. I have a series of more questions [17] about this criminal conviction —	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q.And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth? [14] THE WITNESS: No. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Then you don't have to [16] answer the question. [17] DIR Q. Were you convicted of tax fraud? [18] THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [13] not going to answer those questions. Do [14] you have any questions beyond those? [15] MR. MANDEL: Let me just make my [16] record. I have a series of more questions [17] about this criminal conviction — [18] MR. ISRAEL: Okay.	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q.And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth? [14] THE WITNESS: No. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Then you don't have to [16] answer the question. [17] DIR Q. Were you convicted of tax fraud? [18] THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer [19] that?	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [13] not going to answer those questions. Do [14] you have any questions beyond those? [15] MR. MANDEL: Let me just make my [16] record. I have a series of more questions [17] about this criminal conviction — [18] MR. ISRAEL: Okay. [19] MR. MANDEL: — which I regret, but	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q. And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth? [14] THE WITNESS: No. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Then you don't have to [16] answer the question. [17] DIR Q. Were you convicted of tax fraud? [18] THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer [19] that? [20] MR. ISRAEL: No, you don't have to	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [13] not going to answer those questions. Do [14] you have any questions beyond those? [15] MR. MANDEL: Let me just make my [16] record. I have a series of more questions [17] about this criminal conviction — [18] MR. ISRAEL: Okay. [19] MR. MANDEL: — which I regret, but [20] I have to ask them.	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q. And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth? [14] THE WITNESS: No. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Then you don't have to [16] answer the question. [17] DIR Q. Were you convicted of tax fraud? [18] THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer [19] that? [20] MR. ISRAEL: No, you don't have to [21] answer the question.	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [13] not going to answer those questions. Do [14] you have any questions beyond those? [15] MR. MANDEL: Let me just make my [16] record. I have a series of more questions [17] about this criminal conviction — [18] MR. ISRAEL: Okay. [19] MR. MANDEL: — which I regret, but [20] I have to ask them. [21] Q: Am I correct in understanding,	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q. And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth? [14] THE WITNESS: No. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Then you don't have to [16] answer the question. [17] DIR Q. Were you convicted of tax fraud? [18] THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer [19] that? [20] MR. ISRAEL: No, you don't have to [21] answer the question. [22] MR. MANDEL: Okay. Fraud is	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [13] not going to answer those questions. Do [14] you have any questions beyond those? [15] MR. MANDEL: Let me just make my [16] record. I have a series of more questions [17] about this criminal conviction — [18] MR. ISRAEL: Okay. [19] MR. MANDEL: — which I regret, but [20] I have to ask them. [21] Q: Am I correct in understanding, [22] Mr. Braverman, that you're going to refuse to	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q. And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth? [14] THE WITNESS: No. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Then you don't have to [16] answer the question. [17] DIR Q. Were you convicted of tax fraud? [18] THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer [19] that? [20] MR. ISRAEL: No, you don't have to [21] answer the question. [22] MR. MANDEL: Okay. Fraud is [23] admissible, as you know.	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [13] not going to answer those questions. Do [14] you have any questions beyond those? [15] MR. MANDEL: Let me just make my [16] record. I have a series of more questions [17] about this criminal conviction — [18] MR. ISRAEL: Okay. [19] MR. MANDEL: — which I regret, but [20] I have to ask them. [21] Q: Am I correct in understanding, [22] Mr. Braverman, that you're going to refuse to [23] answer any questions about the criminal	
[5] crime? [6] A: Yes. [7] Q: When was that? [8] A: In 1999. [9] DIR Q. And what was the crime? [10] MR. ISRAEL: Objection. [11] Does the crime have to do in some [12] way with your honesty, your predilection to [13] tell the truth? [14] THE WITNESS: No. [15] MR. ISRAEL: Then you don't have to [16] answer the question. [17] DIR Q. Were you convicted of tax fraud? [18] THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer [19] that? [20] MR. ISRAEL: No, you don't have to [21] answer the question.	[5] DIR Q. How much money did you defraud the [6] United States out of? [7] MR. ISRAEL: You don't have to [8] answer that. [9] Q: Did you conspire with anyone else [10] when you defrauded the United States? [11] MR. ISRAEL: All right. Let's go. [12] Are you done? I'm going to tell you, he's [13] not going to answer those questions. Do [14] you have any questions beyond those? [15] MR. MANDEL: Let me just make my [16] record. I have a series of more questions [17] about this criminal conviction — [18] MR. ISRAEL: Okay. [19] MR. MANDEL: — which I regret, but [20] I have to ask them. [21] Q: Am I correct in understanding, [22] Mr. Braverman, that you're going to refuse to	

Page 265 Page 267 G. Braverman [1] G. Braverman MR. MANDEL: We will take that up [2] that, like the full-sized set? [3] with the judge. A: No. [3] MR. ISRAEL: Okay. Good. [4] Q: Let me show you what was previously [4] 5 MR. McKEE: Are you done? [5] marked as Defendant's Exhibit 49. Your counsel MR. MANDEL: Yes, I have no further [6] can take a look at it quickly. 171 questions. I can't close the deposition Sir, the first page of that [8] because Mr. Israel and I are still working [8] references a presentation with a date, and then 191 on resolving some concern about his [9] there is a number of drawings, I'll call them, [10] production, so we have to reserve all of [10] that follow after that. [11] our rights, but I thank Mr. Braverman for Have you ever seen this collection [11] [12] his time today. [12] of drawings before? And I hope you make it to the airport [13] A: I believe I did. Yes. Yes. [14] on time. Q: Let me show you one that was marked MR. ISRAEL: I think we've used your [15] as Exhibit 50, Defendant's Exhibit 50, that is [16] eight hours today. [16] another collection of what we'll call drawings, MR. McKEE: I have some questions. [17] [17] with a cover sheet that has a presentation of a MR. ISRAEL: We produced everything [18] different date, it might be November of 2008. Do [19] that is required to be produced. There are [19] you recognize that document? 201 no open issues about it, and I'm going to A: No. [20] [21] respond that the deposition is over when Q: But looking at 49, you do believe [21] [22] this is over. As to your questions of [22] you've seen this before? [23] whether you get to probe into any earlier A: Yes, I do remember seeing this. [24] criminal conviction and any details about Q: It has a date on the front [25] that, you can write to the judge about 25] presentation October 26, 2008. Looking at that Page 266 Page 268 [1] G. Braverman G. Braverman [2] that. 2 date, does that refresh your recollection as to MR. McKEE: Let's try to do this as [3] whether you were shown that or something similar [4] quickly as I can. [4] to that in or around that date by Triarch? **EXAMINATION** [5] A: Yes. **f**51 [6] BY MR. McKEE: Q: Was that the kind of materials that Q: Mr. Braverman, my name is Wesley 77 Triarch was giving you in connection with their [8] McKee. I represent Garth Hayden, I'm going to [8] work on the project? m try to be very quick because I know you have to [8] A: Yes. [10] go. You were shown Defendant's Exhibit 7 Q: You looked at Exhibit 50; you don't [10] [11] earlier, correct? [11] recall this specific one? A: Yes. [12] A: No. I can look again. Q: I want to be sure, it's your Q: Let me show you one more along this [14] testimony that you've never seen this collection [14] line, it has previously been marked as [15] of documents? [15] Defendant's Exhibit 51. It is entitled A: No. [16] [16] "Presentation File January 14, 2009." Take a Q: Were you ever given what we'll call [17] look at that, please. [18] a full-size set of drawings from Triarch when [18] A: I certainly didn't see it. [19] they were working on a project; were you ever Q: And now that contains some drawings [20] given a set of drawings like this from Triarch? [20] and also some computer-generated — I forget what

[21] they're called now.

[25] before? No?

MR. MANDEL: Renderings?

Q: - renderings. Looking through that

[24] collection, have you ever seen that collection

Q: Were you ever given single sheets,

[25] apartment, were you ever given anything like

[24] sheets that might have had a layout of the

[23] maybe not collections like this, but maybe single

A: No.

[21]

Page 269	Page 271
III G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman
[2] A: No. No. But — but, again, I	[2] A: No.
[3] wasn't paying attention to any design related —	[3] Q : That is fine.
[4] Q: That was Vladimir's —	[4] A: No.
[5] A: That was his apartment.	[5] Q: During the period of time that you
[6] Q: You were shown what I think is	[6] were involved in the project, you had occasion to
[7] Plaintiff's 10, and you said, I think, that these	meet with Garth Hayden?
[8] were renderings which came from Libracon,	[8] A: Yes.
[9] correct?	Q: How many times do you figure you met
ioj A: Yes.	[10] with Garth Hayden?
Q: Other than what is in this little	[11] A: At least half a dozen.
booklet here, did Libracon provide you with any	[12] Q: Did you ever meet also with Mr. Pepe
other renderings, any other depictions,	[13] Calderin at the same time? Were you together
computer-generated depictions, anything other	[14] with both of them at the same time?
ts) than this?	[15] A: The meeting that we were discussing,
16] A: No, I don't think so.	[16] when Calderin came to New York, I believe
Q: Let me show you what was previously	[17] Vladimir — I was not involved already in the
18] marked as Defendant's Exhibit 3, which has a	project, but since Vladimir was not in town he
19] series of different renderings in it, and ask you	[19] asked me to introduce, since I knew them both.
20] if you recognize any of those.	[20] So I do remember having lunch with Calderin and
21) A: Yes.	[21] then Garth came over to the apartment. I
223 Q : Do you recall being presented with	[22] introduced them and I left.
23] any of those, maybe not the specific ones, but	[23] Q : I see.
things of that nature, from Triarch when they	124] A: So just a general introduction.
were still working on the project?	[25] Q : I know you said you never saw
Page 270	Page 272
[1] G. Braverman	[1] G. Braverman
	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of
[3] Q : Is that the kind of work they were	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of grawings dated December of 2008. I would be
Q: Is that the kind of work they were [4] giving you?	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a
Q: Is that the kind of work they were gly giving you? S A: Yes.	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct?
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct.
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection Of sketches and renderings which has been marked	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked of as Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct?
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [9] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct.
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those.	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection To of sketches and renderings which has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just of flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct?	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct?
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct? A: Yes. But aren't those the same as	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No.
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct? A: Yes. But aren't those the same as the other book?	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [9] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No. [14] Q: Okay. You were shown a new exhibit
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct? A: Yes. But aren't those the same as the other book? Q: Well, we're not going to take the	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No. [14] Q: Okay. You were shown a new exhibit [15] today marked P-64, and I'll give you my copy.
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked sa Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct? A: Yes. But aren't those the same as the other book? Q: Well, we're not going to take the time it compare each set.	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No. [14] Q: Okay. You were shown a new exhibit [15] today marked P-64, and I'll give you my copy. [16] Take a look at this e-mail.
Q: Is that the kind of work they were	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No. [14] Q: Okay. You were shown a new exhibit [15] today marked P-64, and I'll give you my copy. [16] Take a look at this e-mail. [17] Do you remember looking at this
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct? A: Yes. But aren't those the same as the other book? Q: Well, we're not going to take the time it compare each set. A: Well, yeah, I do remember seeing tist this.	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [9] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No. [14] Q: Okay. You were shown a new exhibit [15] today marked P-64, and I'll give you my copy. [16] Take a look at this e-mail. [17] Do you remember looking at this [18] earlier today?
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct? A: Yes. But aren't those the same as the other book? Q: Well, we're not going to take the time it compare each set. A: Well, yeah, I do remember seeing this. Q: You remember seeing some of the	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No. [14] Q: Okay. You were shown a new exhibit [15] today marked P-64, and I'll give you my copy. [16] Take a look at this e-mail. [17] Do you remember looking at this [18] earlier today? [19] A: Yes.
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked so Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct? A: Yes. But aren't those the same as the other book? C: Well, we're not going to take the sein time it compare each set. A: Well, yeah, I do remember seeing this. Q: You remember seeing some of the go renderings?	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No. [14] Q: Okay. You were shown a new exhibit [15] today marked P-64, and I'll give you my copy. [16] Take a look at this e-mail. [17] Do you remember looking at this [18] earlier today? [19] A: Yes. [20] Q: And there is reference in there to
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked so Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct? A: Yes. But aren't those the same as A: Yes. But aren't those the same as Well, we're not going to take the time it compare each set. A: Well, yeah, I do remember seeing this. Q: You remember seeing some of the renderings? A: Yes.	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No. [14] Q: Okay. You were shown a new exhibit [15] today marked P-64, and I'll give you my copy. [16] Take a look at this e-mail. [17] Do you remember looking at this [18] earlier today? [19] A: Yes. [20] Q: And there is reference in there to [21] them having been provided with AutoCAD; do you
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked sa Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct? A: Yes. But aren't those the same as the other book? C: Well, we're not going to take the time it compare each set. A: Well, yeah, I do remember seeing this. Q: You remember seeing some of the correctings? A: Yes. C: How about some of the sketches that	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No. [14] Q: Okay. You were shown a new exhibit [15] today marked P-64, and I'll give you my copy. [16] Take a look at this e-mail. [17] Do you remember looking at this [18] earlier today? [19] A: Yes. [20] Q: And there is reference in there to [21] them having been provided with AutoCAD; do you [22] see that?
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked sa Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct? A: Yes. But aren't those the same as the other book? C: Well, we're not going to take the time it compare each set. A: Well, yeah, I do remember seeing this. Q: You remember seeing some of the corrections? A: Yes. Q: How about some of the sketches that can are in there, does that, again, look familiar,	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No. [14] Q: Okay. You were shown a new exhibit [15] today marked P-64, and I'll give you my copy. [16] Take a look at this e-mail. [17] Do you remember looking at this [18] earlier today? [19] A: Yes. [20] Q: And there is reference in there to [21] them having been provided with AutoCAD; do you [22] see that? [23] A: Yes.
Q: Is that the kind of work they were giving you? A: Yes. Q: Let me show you another collection of sketches and renderings which has been marked sa Defendant's Exhibit 5, and ask you to just flip through that and ask you a general question about those. As you go through that you'll see that there are some renderings in there, correct? A: Yes. But aren't those the same as the other book? Q: Well, we're not going to take the time it compare each set. A: Well, yeah, I do remember seeing this. Q: You remember seeing some of the correctings? A: Yes. Q: How about some of the sketches that	[2] Exhibit 7 before, which is Triarch's set of [3] drawings dated December of 2008. I would be [4] correct then that you never saw Mr. Hayden with a [5] set of those; is that correct? [6] A: Correct. [7] Q: And you never saw Mr. Calderin with [8] a set of those, correct? [9] A: Correct. [10] Q: And that one occasion that you were [11] together with them, you didn't see a set of these [12] plans; is that correct? [13] A: No. [14] Q: Okay. You were shown a new exhibit [15] today marked P-64, and I'll give you my copy. [16] Take a look at this e-mail. [17] Do you remember looking at this [18] earlier today? [19] A: Yes. [20] Q: And there is reference in there to [21] them having been provided with AutoCAD; do you [22] see that?

				vol 1, July	11, 201
		Page 273			P
[1]	G. Braverman		l,	G. Braverman	Page 275
[2]	A: Hayden.		-	A: The one that he would come up with,	
[3]	Q: Garth Hayden?		· i	which I'm referring to that hopefully Vladimir	
[4]	A: Yes.		, _{[4}	will approve them, so at the time we were on	
[5]	MR. ISRAEL: He's got an		I.E	schedule, and he was planning to present them in	
[6]	appointment.		re	the mid-September, so they will get approved by	1
[7]	MR. McKEE: Yes.		17	the end of September, so we are on schedule.	
[8]	MR. ISRAEL: Can you make it quick?		[8]	— — —	
[9]	MR. McKEE: We'll be out of here by		1 .	You were shown Exhibit P-71. And this had do	
[10]	6:00,			with the billing. And correct me if I'm wrong, I	
[11]	Q: Let me show you what was previously		[11]	think you said something to the effect that you	
	marked as Defendant's Exhibit 1. Does this look		(12)	told him, meaning Corelli, that he had no	
[13] [familiar to you?			business to work on anything beyond phase I,	
[14]	A: Yes.			something to that effect?	
[15]	Q: And you'll note that down here there		[15]	• =	
	s a date of June 26, 2008. Do you recognize	Į.	1	repeating myself, every — on every telephone	
	hese as being the set of plans originally		[47]	conversation, I was always reminding him that he	
[18] [orepared by Mr. Hayden which —		[18]	has no business to focus on anything else, but to	
[19]	A: Yes.		[19]	finish phase I so we can get the production in	
[20]	Q: — you were both — you have to let			Italy going, then we can go to anything else.	
[21] 17	ne finish the question.		[21]	Q: And in order to get the Italians	
[22]	 which were originally approved by 	I	_	working on producing the panels, all the	
[23] b	oth the building and the Building Department?	;	[23]	custom-made stuff, what level of detail had to be	
[24]	A: Yes.		[24]	provided from Triarch in order for that to	
[25]	Q: And the AutoCAD that is referenced			happen?	
	_	Page 274			Page 276
[1]	G. Braverman		[1]	G. Braverman	1 499 210
	1 P-64, would that have been a version of these		[2]	A: Well, first they had to — to have	
	rawings?		[3]	the designs approved, the renderings. Then they	
[4]	A: Yes. He asked me to submit them		[4]	need to come up with the drawings for the	
	oproved plans and I sent him I believe in PDF,		[5]	Italians, the measurements and the — we went	
	od he asked me to resend them in Cad.			through this.	
[7]	Q: I'm going to show you my copy of] [[7]	Q: Yes?	
(a) w	hat was previously marked as D-37. There is an	1	[8]	A: In other words, how would	
(a) C -1	mail chain here. This is my handwriting, I'll	1	[9] 1	nanufacturer go into production with only the	
10) 110	ote for the record, but down here in this e-mail			enderings, without the actual drawings?	
	says, "You need them to concentrate on		11]	Q: When you stopped working on the	
or Dia	drafting the initial design," do you see that? case read it in context, please.	[1:	[2] [project sometime after Triarch was released, had	
4]		[13	3) a	ny work been done, any physical work on the	
-	My question to you is what design is			project?	
6] LLIA	at a reference to, what was the initial design?	[15	5]	MR. ISRAEL: Any construction?	
	A: It was referring to renderings. Q: To renderings?	[16	6]	Q: Any construction work, yes.	
	A: Yes.	[17	7)	A: No.	
		[18	8]	Q: Nothing had been built? Had any	
9j (ni tote	Q: The renderings which would have	[19	9] C	ontractors been hired yet?	
	edated the September 15th, those would have	[20	0]	A: No.	
	en the ones from Filip? 4: No.	[21]	1]	MR. McKEE: That is all I have.	
	4. No?	[22]	2) T	hank you.	
	A: The one — the —	[23]	3]	THE WITNESS: Thank you. Time.	
	2: Who?	[24]	ij	MR. MANDEL: Thank you very much.	
2	TY ALU;	[25]	5]	(Time noted: 6:04 p.m.)	

	Page 277		Page 279
	. ago 277	[†]	
[1]		[2]	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE
[2]	I, the witness herein, having read	[3]	WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GARRY BRAVERMAN MR. MANDEL 4
	the foregoing testimony, do hereby certify	(5)	MR. MCKEE 266
[4]	it to be a true and correct transcript,	[6]	
[5]	subject to the corrections, if any, shown	[7]	EXHIBITS PAGE LINE
	on the attached page.		PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT PAGE LINE 56, Document entitled 4 9
[7]		[9]	"Notice of Deposition
		[10]	
[8]		[11]	57, Document entitled 35 15
[9]		[12]	"Contract of Sa le , Condominium Unit"
[10]			58, Two-page document 39 2
[11]	GARRY BRAVERMAN		entitled "Assignment
[12]		[14]	and Assumption" bearing Bates numbers MED 159
[13]		[15]	
[14]			59, Document bearing Bates 39 15
[15]			numbers MED 167 -
[16]	Subscribed and sworn to	[17]	MED 170 60, Document bearing 40 17
[17]	before me this day	[10]	Bates numbers MED 171 -
	of2012.	[19]	
[19]		[20]	61, Document bearing 43 4
		[21]	Bates number MED 194
[20]		[4.1]	62, Document bearing 43 20
[12]		[22]	
[22]		[23]	63, Document bearing 46 9 Bates numbers MED 287
[23]		[24]	
[24]		1	64, One-page e-mail chain 112 21
[25]			
	Page 278		
[1]			
[2]	CERTIFICATE		
	STATE OF NEW YORK)		
[4]	OTATION TO THE TENTON		
	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)		
[6] [7]	and the state of the state of		
	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the		
	State of New York, do hereby certify:		
[10]	deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly		
	sworn by me and that such deposition is a true		
	record of the testimony given by such witness.		
[14]			
	any of the parties to this action by blood or		
	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in		
	the outcome of this matter.		
[18]	was a second of the second of		
	hand this 23rd day of July, 2012.		
[20]			
[20] [21]			
[22]			
[23]			
[24]			
175	1	1	

Page 28 [1] [2] INDEX(Continued.) [3] E XHIBITS [4] PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT PAGE LINE [5] 65, E-mail chain 142 24 [6] 66, E-mail chain 144 6 [7] 67, Document dated 161 2 Wednesday, [8] December 10, 2008 [9] 68, Two-page document 189 10 dated June 1, 2012	n
[2] INDEX(Continued.) [3] E XHIBITS [4] PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT PAGE LINE [5] 65, E-mail chain 142 24 [6] 66, E-mail chain 144 6 [7] 67, Document dated 161 2 Wednesday, [8] December 10, 2008 [9] 68, Two-page document 189 10 dated June 1, 2012	~
[3] E XHIBITS [4] PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT PAGE LINE [5] 65, E-mail chain 142 24 [6] 66, E-mail chain 144 6 [7] 67, Document dated 161 2 Wednesday, [8] December 10, 2008 [9] 68, Two-page document 189 10 dated June 1, 2012	
[4] PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT PAGE LINE [5] 65, E-mail chain 142 24 [6] 66, E-mail chain 144 6 [7] 67, Document dated 161 2 Wednesday, [8] December 10, 2008 [9] 68, Two-page document 189 10 dated June 1, 2012	
[5] 65, E-mail chain 142 24 [6] 66, E-mail chain 144 6 [7] 67, Document dated 161 2 Wednesday, [8] December 10, 2008 [9] 68, Two-page document 189 10 dated June 1, 2012	
[6] 66, E-mail chain 144 6 [7] 67, Document dated 161 2 Wednesday, [8] December 10, 2008 [9] 68, Two-page document 189 10 dated June 1, 2012	
 [7] 67, Document dated 161 2 Wednesday, [8] December 10, 2008 [9] 68, Two-page document 189 10 dated June 1, 2012 	
Wednesday, [8] December 10, 2008 [9] 68, Two-page document 189 10 dated June 1, 2012	
[8] December 10, 2008 [9] 68, Two-page document 189 10 dated June 1, 2012	
[9] 68, Two-page document 189 10 dated June 1, 2012	
dated June 1, 2012	
·	
[10]	
69, Document dated 191 4	
[11] July 1, 2010	
[12] 70, Document entitled, 200 20	
"Answer and	
[13] Counterloaims"	- 1
[14] 71, Two-page document 253 9	
dated Wednesday	
[†5] November 5, 2008	- 1
[16]	
[17] QUESTIONS DIRECTED NOT TO ANSWER	
[18] PAGE LINE	
[19] 262 9	
[20] 262 17	-
[21] 263 11	
[22] 263 16	
[23] 263 21	
[24] 263 25	
[25] 264 5	_

\$1,273,000 177:4 \$1,273,800 176:13 \$10 173:25 \$10,950,000 37:7, 10 \$11 million 37:24 \$2.1 million 255:10, 17 \$30,000 209:14; 211:6 \$70,000 152:2 \$800,000 255:18

1

1,029,000 62:5 1-5 171:16 1/2 12:23; 13:2, 3 1/2-minute 13:6 10 154:14; 155:5; 161:3, 8; 206:8; 243:14; 244:11; 245:9, 19; 248:3; 250:15; 269:7 10022 4:4 107644/2009 201:2 10th 158:6; 160:13, 23, 23; 166:15; 183:2, 4 11 37:16 11:22 52:25 11:26 53:3 12 98:12: 163:21 128 43:21; 44:6 14 171:8, 19; 172:4; 218:3, 6; 257:2, 13, 22; 268:16 141 35:20 15 95:13 **153** 36:13 154 36:13 157 35:21 159 39:4, 7 15th 274:20 16 186:6 **160** 39:5, 8 164 62:4; 99:8; 106:19 167 39:16; 40:9 17 64:5 170 39:16; 40:10 170,000 64:8; 212:7, 8 171 40:18, 22 173 41:19, 22 174 40:18, 22 18 143:4 18th 143:14; 144:9 194 43:5, 9 1970s 16:2 1971 14:11 1975 15:16 1997 4:25 1999 51:3; 262:8

19th 143:14

1:00 97:15 1:04 118:14 1:47 119:3 **1st** 71:17; 72:22; 77:11; 147:21; 194:9; 195:2; 196:19; 197:9; 202:17; 206:24

2

2-D 79:7 2.1 255:14 2.5 255:14 20 64:5; 66:8 200 66:15 200,000 66:15 2006 17:3, 18 2008 16:5; 18:25; 19:12, 19, 20; 22:2, 8; 23:19, 21; 24:6; 28:3, 6; 44:21; 59:11, 20; 95:13; 98:12; 99:16, 20; 100:4, 24; 110:8, 113:2; 119:24; 142:12, 22; 143:5; 144:25; 147:12, 21; 154:14, 15; 155:5; 161:3, 8; 162:16; 163:21, 164:25; 172:3; 183:9; 193:12, 20; 195:14, 23; 197:16, 25; 198:8, 12, 22; 201:15; 203:2, 3, 14; 206:22; 214:15; 230:12, 19, 22; 237:19, 20, 24; 253:10, 19, 25; 267:18, 25; 272:3; 273:16 2009 18:25; 44:22; 47:17, 18: 49:15; 51:6, 24; 55:19; 60:3, 5; 123:5; 153:17, 21; 154:7, 19; 171:8, 19; 172:4; 178:21; 179:20; 180:7; 183:15; 184:14; 186:14, 18; 187:8, 23, 24; 189:22; 190:2, 6, 19; 193:20, 24, 25; 194:3; 195:21; 197:12; 199:4, 16; 200:16; 201:14; 204:6; 209:13; 211:2; 217:24; 218:3, 6, 6; 219:6; 223:15; 227:4; 257:3, 13, 19, 23; 268:16 2010 190:19; 191:5, 12 2011 44:19; 95:6; 190:20 2012 55:18; 59:6; 111:20; 189:11; 277:18 21 178:21; 183:15; 184:14 21st 22:10, 15; 43:12; 180:11 22 260:3 22M 4:3 23 237:19, 20, 24 24 148:25; 149:17 25 144:25; 147:11, 21; 212:8 25,000 215:3.4

27th 154:22, 23 28 207:23 287 46:10, 14 288 46:10, 14 29 119:12

3

3-D 79:8 30 181:20; 223:20 **30(b)(6** 9:13; 12:7, 13; 57:4, 7, 17, 21, 23; 58:8, 14, 16 30,000 201:25; 202:4; 210:25; 223:7 30-day 206:18, 19; 207:3 300 4:3 300,000 67:16 31 22:2; 99:16, 20; 100:4, 24; 193:12, 20; 195:14; 197:16, 25; 198:8, 12, 22 31st 25:23; 101:10; 195:23; 196:8; 198:19; 199:19 35,000 181:20, 23 37 138:21 370 58:19; 59:2; 61:8 **39** 139:17 3:00 166:5 3:21 166:7

4

40 55:10; 140:17; 162:21 40,000 223:7 41 151:19; 154:13; 163:18 42 157:19; 166:8, 9 434 112:4, 5, 17 44 179:12; 180:21; 181:8; 211:10, 14, 21; 254:5, 9 45 167:13; 178:16; 180:10; 181:6, 7, 9; 182:3 **46** 153:9; 171:6; 175:5; 195:10 47 176:4 48 111:8,8 488 108:21 49 53:9; 267:5, 21 4:55 232:11

50 267:15, 15: 268:10 500 67:9; 228:9, 10 **51** 268:15 51,000 223:13 **515** 22:10, 14; 43:12 52 55:12; 58:22; 61:12 53 259:16 54 212:8; 256:20 54,000 212:4

55 61:16 56 4:9: 12:12 56th 4:3 **57** 35:15, 19 57th 6:8 **58** 39:2, 7, 22, 23 59 39:15, 19; 40:7; 95:11 5:03 232:13 5:29 253:6 **5:31** 253:8 5th 119:23

6

60 40:17, 21 600 177:21 600,000 67:9, 19 61 43:4,8 62 43:20; 44:5 63 46:9, 13; 52:8 64 112:21, 24 65 142:24 66 144:6, 20; 160:5 67 161:2.6 68 189:10, 18; 191:2 69 191:4; 192:22 6:00 273:10 6:04 276:25

70 200:20, 24 70K 151:23 70s 16:3 71 253:9, 13, 14; 254:14

8

875 25:22 8th 214:13

9

9th 219:3

A

A.J.K 42:6, 12 a.m 52:25; 53:3 Aaron 144:25 abandonment 122:25; 134:3; 136:7 Abe 109:15 ability 238:21; 263:3, 4 able 22:21; 68:21; 101:6, 17; 117:4; 190:23; 207:12 above 130:2, 9, 22, 23; 176:20; 205:6 absent 205:7

Absolutely 131:3; 133:11; 156:15; 188:6; 199:20 accept 66:17; 67:18; 103:21; 175:11; 103:10; 139:12; 141:24, 24; 177:9, 13 acceptable 103:7; 148:3; 166:22; 170:23; 176:15; 177:5; 193:19, 25; 206:5 access 229:2 accommodate 172:21; 173:7 accordance 134:11 According 154:14; 171:9, 21 Accordingly 192:11 account 228:19; 229:2, 4; 33:22; 228:16; 229:3 accurate 193:16; 195:17; 199:10, 14 acting 11:5,8 action 200:25 . active 59:9 actively 59:19 acts 11:9 actual 86:4; 87:13, 16, 20; 88:4; 126:2; 223:12; 276:10 actually 4:20; 66:14; 76:17; 88:4; 97:12; 110:21; 117:18; 123:3; 126:5; 137:12; 140:25; 174:7; 182:16; 226:16; 237:23; 253:16 addition 213:21; 245:11 additional 73:10; 89:15; 118:4; 129:25; 130:12, 16, 21; 131:5, 10, 12, 19, 21; 132:12, 15; 133:19; 172:12; 173:4; 181:2, 4; 196:2; 211:11; 213:17, 18; 214:3, 4; 215:2, 4, 15, 17; 217:14; 219:22; 230:23; 231:14 address 4:2; 108:20, 22, 24, 25; 220:25; 222:19; 211:16; 228:5, 10, 11, 18, 24; 10:4; 58:2 adjusted 174:14 admissible 262:23 admitted 57:8 advance 114:10 advice 33:14 advise 225:15; 206:9 advising 153:15; 186:2, aesthetic 49:3 affect 189:7 AFTERNOON 119:2; 147:6 again 10:22; 27:5; 37:18;

26 267:25; 273:16

27 153:17, 21; 154:6;

150:23; 164:16, 19;

59:7; 60:11; 84:9; 93:10;

107:16; 129:10; 138:7;

203:10; 206:19; 207:6; 213:20; 218:18; 220:22; 224:21; 233:25; 234:9; 248:6; 251:10; 268:12; 269:2; 270:23 against 76:19 agents 32:7, 15, 17 ago 4:19, 23, 24; 6:2; 37:19; 69:16; 99:6; 186:21; 222:8, 9; 225:14; 243:20 agree 27:21:58:6: 112:20; 143:16, 19; 71:9; 131:13; 200:6, 9; 214:19 agreement 36:8, 9, 19; 39:13; 69:5; 98:7, 9, 11, 16; 99:15, 18; 100:22; 102:11; 106:13; 107:23, 25; 111:14; 113:8, 11; 114:21, 22; 119:16, 18; 120:3, 10, 13; 121:20; 122:6, 10, 15, 20; 126:17; 134:8, 12, 18, 23; 135:2, 17, 22; 136:12, 21; 171:21; 174:20; 196:14; 208:25; 209:10; 233:6, 17; 30:18 ahead 58:17; 93:25; 129:6; 136:14; 169:13; 242:23 airport 265:13 allowed 192:25 allows 134:23 almost 118:2; 127:4; 145:24; 154:16; 200:12 along 69:11; 140:6, 8; 168:21; 268:13 altered 141:12, 13 alternative 166:25; 204:9 although 74:4 Altogether 228:7 always 71:2, 6, 10; 78:8; 90:14; 91:11; 99:3; 143:12; 174:12; 185:20, 23; 195:22; 196:4, 6, 11; 202:16; 213:5; 216:10; 217:21; 219:4; 275:15, 15, amended 107:25 amount 25:6; 61:23; 62:6, 11; 70:4; 140:25; 170:10; 172:14; 176:16; 213:21; 55:16; 61:20, 25; and/or 48:8; 61:8; 177:15; 224:4 angry 157:3 annual 138:13 answered 263:5 **Apartment** 4:3; 20:4, 6; 21:25, 22:10, 14, 15, 18, 19; 23:22, 23; 24:2, 8; 25:7; 26:4, 20; 27:7, 15, 19, 24; 28:5, 8, 11, 15, 21; 29:18; 34:3, 4; 36:10; 37:7, 9; 38:4, 6, 14, 15, 18, 19, 24; 40:14; 43:15; 45:21; 46:7; 47:7; 49:4; 51:11, 14;

52:4, 19; 53:15; 54:13, 25; 55:5; 56:23; 59:5, 10, 13; 61:9; 62:8, 12; 63:2; 67:11; 74:9; 75:5, 15; 77:2, 10; 79:23, 24; 88:9; 94:15, 24; 95:5, 9, 22; 102:13, 15, 18; 103:9; 105:2; 110:8; 111:15; 113:12; 145:7; 149:9; 157:12; 158:8; 186:14; 187:9, 14, 22; 188:7, 14; 189:6, 15; 190:4, 19; 195:6; 198:2; 200:4, 18; 201:13; 202:12, 14, 25; 203:22; 204:5, 10, 13; 205:15; 207:15; 209:6, 13; 210:20; 211:5; 221:3, 13, 18, 22, 25; 222:3, 7, 11, 16; 231:21, 24; 232:8; 234:19, 25; 235:7; 240:9; 256:11: 266:25; 269:5; 271:21; 23:14; 25:10 apologize 60:10, 24, 25; 113:20; 116:15; 194:18, Apparently 160:24 appear 42:19; 46:16, 22; 61:23; 62:4; 95:15; 112:25; 113:9 appointed 60:21 appointment 273:6 appreciate 45:2; 60:9, 9; 230.2 approach 150:9; 246:14 appropriate 134:24 approval 59:20; 84:19; 85:25, 25; 100:11, 19; 101:6, 7, 16, 24; 102:25; 103:3, 11, 13, 22; 104:6, 17; 217:10; 218:4; 230:11, 14, 16, 21; 231:6, 9, 11; 235:4; 99:25; 230:23 approve 64:20, 22; 77:13; 79:14; 82:3; 85:12, 18; 88:5; 91:17; 132:24; 139:6, 8; 149:14; 151:14, 16; 165:10; 275:4; 64:23; 70:18; 74:20, 20, 23; 75:19; 77:16; 78:11; 82:5; 83:3; 90:23; 102:22, 24; 103:6, 12, 14; 116:17; 117:8; 124:7; 144:10; 159:11, 23; 163:7, 8, 24; 164:3, 24; 165:5, 16, 19; 171:14; 172:10; 173:21; 181:2; 200:14, 17; 203:18; 213:8; 214:14, 24; 215:5; 218:8; 238:11; 240:21, 21; 241:2; 242:2; 273:22; 274:5; 275:6; 276:3 approximate 63:17 approximately 17:3; 18:22; 19:20; 29:16; 37:11; 55:9; 62:5, 22; 64:8; 67:16; 82:13, 15; 95:5, 16; 116:19; 154:18; 181:23; 215:3; 255:10, 17

April 60:5; 187:6

architect 22:23; 59:16,

17; 86:9; 94:4; 99:9; 102:5;

103:22; 104:11; 106:23; 121:8; 128:20; 130:11; 131:9; 133:2, 3, 4; 134:7; 136:8; 240:25; 59:12; 66:22; 102:18 architectural 101:24; 103:19; 104:16 architecture 260:22 argument 66:20; 141:25 Around 17:4; 49:14; 51:9; 60:2; 97:15; 117:25; 147:5; 148:13; 154:23; 163:21; 171:8; 178:21; 181:20; 183:4; 184:2, 2, 14, 15; 186:18; 187:5; 202:22; 218:3; 268:4 arranged 59:14; 102:19; 208:19 arrival 50:22 arrived 50:22 Article 121:6: 130:6, 12. 14, 15, 15; 131:10; 134:2; 136:5; 138:8 articulated 195:5 aside 90:17; 257:17 aspect 91:9; 206:11; 75:14; 150:23; 239:16, 19; 240:4, 8; 252:11 assign 39:12 Assignment 39:3 assist 27:6 associate 202:7; 46:6; 20:10 assume 8:22; 151:24; 205:2; 96:17 assuming 220:12 Assumption 39:4 assured 71:10 attached 277:6 attaching 113:10 attend 15:17; 186:17; 14:16 attention 27:5; 36:12; 39:21; 40:7; 41:19; 52:7; 58:19; 95:10; 99:7; 106:18; 111:24; 121:6; 122:24; 124:14; 129:10; 130:5; 133:25; 136:3, 5; 138:7, 24; 139:2; 145:2; 160:4; 168:6; 179:13; 180:20; 182:2; 192:21; 206:7; 207:22; 212:12; 216:24; 219:5; 220:21, 24; 222:22; 251:11; 269:3 attorney 36:3 August 22:7; 23:19; 101:16; 189:22; 190:2, 6; 208:11, 22, 23; 230:15, 19, authority 152:12; 165:10 authorized 183:18, 19 AutoCAD 174:5: 272:21. 24, 25; 273:25 automatically 173:23

available 204:6; 229:15

Avenue 6:8; 22:10, 15;

43:12; 108:21; 221:19
aware 20:2; 22:9; 40:4;
42:20; 44:16; 68:14;
94:23; 108:8; 150:13;
151:8; 156:12, 14; 165:5;
179:9; 181:12, 14; 185:25;
186:3; 209:3; 211:8;
221:24; 222:5; 234:18, 21
away 9:7; 28:23, 24;
167:9

В bachelor's 15:2, 8, 12 back 14:11, 14; 40:7; 44:25; 50:23; 59:7; 69:10; 74:14; 87:11; 102:12; 107:12; 111:22; 112:9; 144:11; 159:24; 160:4; 163:8; 174:10; 182:16; 183:15; 201:15; 214:21; 224:10; 230:22; 238:6, 9; 250:5 bad 246:9 ballpark 116:20 bank 33:21 bargain 95:11 base 103:10; 105:21; 251:6; 29:25; 72:13; 74:3, 23; 75:2; 89:3, 10; 111:18; 126:18; 127:6, 22; 192:23; 196:12; 199:6; 202:18, 18; 203:10, 10; 208:18, 18; 212:5; 217:3; 244:20, 23; 245:18, 23; 247:15; 248:5; 249:22; 251:3; 255:13 basically 90:7; 159:3; 160:15; 162:19; 167:3, 4 basing 142:5; 255:25 basis 72:9; 145:25; 187:12; 188:25; 255:17 Bates 35:20; 39:4, 7, 16; 40:9, 18; 43:5, 8, 21; 44:5; 46:10, 13; 112:18; 119:11 bath 177:19 bathrooms 73:24, 24; 83:8, 11, 22; 145:15; 146:16, 23; 198:8 bearing 39:4, 16; 40:18; 43:5, 21; 46:10; 155:23 bears 263:3 beast 172:25 became 155:21 become 22:17; 51:2; 222:5 bedroom 81:18; 145:12, 13, 14; 146:10, 13, 17, 22; 147:3, 7, 11; 159:17, 21, 25; 160:8, 9, 12, 22; 163:2, 4; 166:14, 19, 22; 167:5; 198:4; 250:2, 3; 83:7, 7, 14 began 157:12; 189:14; 190:15 begin 27:23; 72:22; 74:18; 75:6; 76:4, 8; 77:3,

133:13; 190:11; 35:20; 39:7; 40:9; 46:13; 119:11; 138:9 beginning 44:22; 47:18; 59:11, 24; 62:16; 71:19; 73:4; 114:13; 193:25; 195:19 behalf 9:9, 22, 23; 10:8, 24; 36:19, 22, 24; 44:10; 165:10:185:6 behind 90:24; 148:4, 9; 188:11 belief 72:10; 96:8 below 42:20 beneath 189:21 Besides 89:2 best 28:12; 64:21; 68:3; 165:4 better 50:8; 171:3, 3 beyond 64:2; 130:3, 22, 23; 131:13; 211:11; 264:14; 275:13 bidding 125:20, 22 big 54:7; 62:19; 216:4 biggest 70:13 **bill** 175:6; 215:7, 11; 217:22; 130:17; 172:14; 255:13; 214:10; 275:10 birthday 17:7, 8 bit 44:24; 90:13 board 88:11, 13; 100:20; 103:2; 214:21; 88:15, 18, bogged 242:9 book 80:7, 14; 212:7; 238:19; 245:4; 270:14 booklet 269:12 born 50:13, 14, 17 Both 18:5; 65:18; 66:12; 69:6; 134:23; 140:14; 144:18; 168:3; 245:13; 246:22; 247:8, 12; 271:14, 19; 273:20, 23 bottom 41:20, 22; 46:15; 52:8; 68:21; 108:20; 138:24: 139:3; 143:6; 161:9; 189:23; 190:10; 191:25 box 227:17, 24 Braverman 4:14; 12:7; 35:18; 40:20; 55:21; 57:6; 58:10, 15; 113:19; 143:5; 161:11, 12; 191:14; 250:14; 264:22; 265:11; 266:7; 277:11; 56:4; 57:13 Breach 5:22, 22, 23; 232:18 break 9:3, 6; 52:22; 97:14, 16; 112:14; 118:11; 165:23; 253:5 brief 53:2; 166:6; 232:12; 253:7 briefly 149:20 broken 227:12

17, 116:8; 117:4; 118:2;

buddies 14:9

budget 48:23; 62:16, 21; 63:22; 66:13, 24; 67:11, 12; 142:5, 6, 7; 151:2; 176:13, 15; 177:4, 17; 255:10, 14, 18, 18, 24; 256:4 build 25:13; 59:14, 21, 22; 75:20; 99:24; 100:9, 20; 102:14, 17, 22; 104:7; 105:3; 230:11, 23; 231:9, 21; 273:23, 23 builders 66:23 building's 100:11; 101:15 buildings 23:15; 25:11: 110:11, 16; 231:6, 11, 14; 235:4 built 85:11; 240:10; 276:18 bunch 233:5 busier 28:22 business 16:19; 17:23; 30:5, 6, 10, 25; 31:14; 32:23; 33:3; 41:5, 7; 66:11; 68:5; 72:14; 73:21; 170:2, 6; 201:22; 202:7; 209:8; 210:2; 255:3; 260:22, 25; 275:13, 18; 31:2, 16; 35:9 buy 30:17

C

C-O-L-O-M-E-R 46:18 cabinet 149:21, 25; 150:13 CAD 173:22; 274:6 cake 25:4; 101:12, 14 calculate 94:22; 255:10 calculating 255:16 calculation 255:25 Calderin 46:23, 24; 47:4, 5, 11, 14, 20, 25; 48:9, 14, 19; 49:2, 9, 10; 51:5, 23, 25; 52:9, 14; 186:17; 187:3; 189:22; 208:14, 15, 16, 20; 232:6; 251:17; 252:15; 271:13, 16, 20; 272:7; 187:7, 24; 256:11 call 76:20; 97:19, 20; 118:7; 165:24; 196:13; 214:25; 266:17; 267:9, 16; 6:9; 7:12; 14:19; 22:20; 46:3; 54:8; 68:8; 160:14; 173:22; 268:21; 32:9; 49:17, 21, 24; 51:5, 7, 24; 82:20; 105:5; 107:14; 120:15, 23; 121:14; 123:14; 134:19; 135:18, 25; 136:13; 137:6, 23; 138:17; 169:10; 192:7; 221:8; 232:21; 240:15; 251:8; 252:18, 25; 258:16 came 43:15, 18; 51:9; 57:16; 139:9; 261:16: 269:8; 271:16, 21 Can 7:4, 6; 9:3, 6; 11:16;

17:21; 23:5, 12; 24:10;

26:7; 27:12; 32:12, 13, 21; 41:17; 48:7; 52:23; 67:23; 69:4; 70:17, 20, 23; 71:11, 14, 16, 74:21, 75:2, 2; 77:3; 78:19, 21; 82:24; 84:18; 85:9; 86:15, 20: 87:3, 10; 89:22; 90:13; 93:8, 25; 94:22; 96:19, 22; 97:16; 98:19, 23; 101:13, 15; 105:18, 23; 107:11, 17; 111:3, 17, 21; 114:9; 115:5, 11; 116:21; 118:4, 6; 120:19, 24; 121:16; 122:3; 127:14; 129:19; 131:2; 132:14, 23, 24; 134:21; 135:7; 136:11; 138:2; 139:6; 141:17; 147:24; 148:7, 22; 149:12, 13; 151:16; 152:4, 22; 153:5; 161:20; 164:2; 165:13; 170:18, 20, 24, 24; 173:3, 25; 175:2; 177:8, 20; 180:13; 183:23; 191:2; 212:23; 213:6; 215:7; 220:6; 221:7; 223:24; 228:20; 234:2; 238:23; 239:7; 241:12; 242:10, 11; 243:10; 245:22; 246:21; 248:10; 258:7; 265:25; 266:4; 267:6; 268:12: 273:8; 275:19, 20 capacity 36:21; 118:2 care 99:11; 156:20 case 4:18, 20; 5:15, 16; 6:3, 12; 7:15, 16; 10:13; 11:4; 12:2; 18:6; 21:11; 26:5, 21; 27:7; 34:5; 36:10; 40:15; 45:21; 53:15; 54:21; 60:16, 22; 78:14; 125:10; 146:18, 20; 170:11; 174:13; 215:2; 221:4; 225:7, 16, 20; 228:25; 230:7; 5:3, 8; 26:14; 260:7, 8, 10 category 132:11 cause 211:6; 154:24 cc'd 190:20, 24 cease 121:21; 191:15, 16; 192:12; 121:23; 234:22 ceiling 73:18, 23; 79:19, 20; 84:16; 91:14; 176:19. 20; 177:4; 197:20; 84:7, 8; 198:9 century 6:2 certain 48:20; 60:18; 67:13; 70:4; 101:16; 116:6; 120:14; 125:11; 146:4; 151:11; 154:2; 163:10; 213:21; 215:12; 236:10, 13, 24; 245:8 certainly 50:10; 56:13; 58.6, 81:19; 83:7; 95:25; 136:15; 141:20; 142:3; 148:18; 268:18 certify 277:3 cetera 206:13 52:13 chain 112:22, 25; 113:4; color 79:18; 145:6; 246:7;

161:7; 189:19; 253:17, 24; 254:7, 13; 274:9 **chair** 9:21 chance 52:21; 143:25 change 19:15; 91:20, 25; 92:5, 12; 149:8, 24; 164:19, 20, 22; 176:23; 216:6; 141:10; 149:5; 150:15; 199:23; 204:13; 92:17; 103:8; 104:5; 127:4; 149:13; 150:19; 207:19; 215:15 changing 92:7; 149:3 charge 6:24; 7:2; 67:6; 172:16, 12; 213:19 charging 213:22 childhood 210:4 Christmastime 73:4 circle 112:9 circumstances 17:5; 131:4; 134:13, 16; 135:3, 14, 15 **CIS** 7:25 citizen 50:24; 51:2 city 75:20; 109:9, 19, 24 Civil 9:14 claimed 158:25 clarification 62:18; 142:17 clarified 256:4 clarify 256:8 clarity 60:10 cleaning 196:2 clear 11:2; 45:4; 60:8; 77:25; 112:17; 119:11; 127:19; 137:18; 141:21; 150:12; 153:25; 181:7, 13; 218:22; 233:14; 236:4, 6; 241:9; 250:14 clearly 57:3 client 52:11, 15; 56:23; 75:16, 18; 77:20; 79:9, 10; 85:18, 23; 94:3, 4, 7, 11, 13; 127:19; 170:23; 172:9. 9, 21; 173:3, 7; 192:25; 207:19; 214:22; 217:9, 9; 60:20 close 15:21, 24; 16:6, 7; 17:10, 11; 55:10; 102:24; 156:22, 25; 209:25; 210:2, 3; 213:13; 246:10; 265:7 closely 252:11 closet 147:8; 160:10, 13, 13; 198:4; 147:7; 160:9 clue 60:4 collect 229:7; 230:6 collection 266:14; 267:11, 16; 268:24, 24; 270:6; 266:23 college 14:9, 10, 13; 50:23 Colomer 46:17, 18, 20;

147:24; 245:25; 246:2, 14,

15 column 61:22 combination 246:2, 7; 247:18, 18, 20 comfortable 104:21 coming 143:16, 20; 144:9 commencement 200:13 comment 92:4; 93:12; 166:24; 69:8; 83:3; 139:21; 143:8, 9, 11; 166:13, 15, 18, 21; 167:3, 8;170:17 commercial 25:16: 26:10; 63:8 common 77:19: 121:9 communicate 19:8; 21:24; 45:17; 48:9, 19; 204:4; 217:17; 45:23; 185:15; 220:7, 10 communication 19:9: 50:2; 45:9, 13, 20; 51:25 companies 30:17; 42:22; 67:19 company 6:14; 7:15, 17, 18; 10:5; 11:6, 8, 15, 18, 21; 28:7; 29:25; 34:16; 42:7, 9, 14, 16, 24; 43:2, 11; 46:2; 52:15; 54:7; 68:7; 105:16; 231:20 compare 177:11; 270:16; 248:14 comparing 247:24 compensated 64:7; 136:8 compensation 27:8, 11, 13, 18; 106:8; 124:15; 128:20; 130:2, 12, 21; 131:6, 11, 21; 214:17 complain 159:14, 14: 163:11; 255:9 complaints 215:21; 216:9, 16, 20, 22 complete 8:18; 72:25; 73:12; 96:9; 99:16, 19; 101:10; 103:9; 104:8; 115:14; 120:13; 195:13; 198:7, 25; 199:14; 202:17; 215:12; 219:21; 8:16; 21:25; 48:20; 74:10; 75:5; 77:11, 16; 151:13; 159:5; 175:16; 195:20; 197:16, completing 24:7 completion 121:19 compliant 126:4 complicated 26:11; 79:24 complied 122:12, 19 comply 122:5, 9 component 67:13; 115:4 computer 170:17, 24 computer-generated 268:20; 269:14 concentrate 139:4: 274:11 concept 71:3; 150:14;

214:3; 246:2; 90:17 Conceptually 245:20, 23; 246:5, 5, 7, 13; 248:6 concern 70:13:78:8: 150:25; 254:18, 22; 255:24; 265:9; 111:14; 225:3; 253:24; 142:21; 216:17 conclusion 32:10; 107:15; 120:16, 23; 121:15; 123:15; 134:20; 135:19; 136:2, 13; 137:7, 9, 24, 25; 138:18; 192:8; 232:22 condition 205:16, 18 Condominium 35:16 conduct 32:23; 44:17; 33:2; 44:13 conflict 183:8 confused 112:8; 141:15, 18; 173:9 confusion 141:8, 22 connection 27:14, 19: 54:12; 59:4; 68:12, 15; 131:24; 132:3; 190:18; 228:12, 24; 232:7; 235:11, 17; 268:7 consent 193:3 consider 82:3; 152:9; 63:9; 150:4; 73:3; 183:10; 205:22, 23 consideration 67:13 consistent 99:10 conspiracy 264:2 conspire 264:9; 263:11, constant 17:17 constantly 151:9; 209:21 construction 22:22: 25:15; 26:10; 38:21, 24; 54:7; 75:4, 8, 11, 17, 17, 18, 21; 102:2; 103:19; 105:21; 114:8, 11; 116:25; 124:25; 125:4, 6, 16, 25; 126:8; 127:9; 128:2, 12, 21, 23; 129:12; 158:14, 15, 25; 159:2, 7, 9; 175:24; 176:8; 195:14, 19; 197:15, 17, 19; 199:2, 15, 21; 213:4, 12, 12; 234:24; 260:25; 276:15, 16 consulting 30:5, 7, 10 contact 70:3; 162:11 contained 254:14 container 7:11 contains 268:19 context 67:24; 274:13 contingent 99:22; 100:10 continue 28:10: 116:9: 39:8; 40:10, 22; 46:14 continuing 107:8 continuum 86:19 Contract 35:16; 63:23; 69:7, 12, 22; 99:5; 100:5;

121:3, 25; 123:7, 13, 19;

129:3, 24; 130:3, 24; 132:11, 18, 21; 133:9; 137:20; 138:15; 173:11, 12; 174:24; 181:3; 200:8; 206:21:207:8;213:20; 232:19; 233:2; 69:14, 18 contractor 104:19; 114:21, 23; 115:4, 15, 18, 25; 116:13; 117:14, 17; 125:4, 19, 24; 126:4; 128:7; 177:24; 178:5, 13; 32:8, 15, 18; 41:14; 114:16; 116:24; 117:3, 21; 276:19 contrary 82:4; 147:16 conversation 18:23; 19:22; 21:2, 5, 9, 19, 22; 22:6; 57:7, 12; 63:4; 105:22; 126:25; 127:3; 158:5, 18; 275:17; 18:13; 21:11; 78:4; 113:11; 127:5 conversationally 8:13 convicted 261:25; 262:4, 17; 263:2, 11 conviction 263:17; 264:17, 24; 265:24 copied 193:2; 241:17; 242:18; 244:18; 251:17, 21, 24; 258:14, 21; 259:9, copies 44:2; 90:21; 184:20; 242:16 copy 43:23; 58:23; 105:24; 112:14; 140:18; 191:9; 211:11; 233:19, 24; 234:6, 12, 16; 240:12, 20, 22; 242:24; 252:15, 22; 272:15; 274:7 copyright 121:10 Corelli 65:14; 66:4, 16; 67:2; 68:10, 13; 69:2, 6; 70:13, 23; 71:12; 74:8; 77:8; 89:6, 11, 12, 15, 25; 113:2, 4, 19; 114:6; 123:22; 126:12, 19, 25; 133:17; 140:5, 23; 141:2, 21; 143:5, 15, 18; 144:14; 151:21; 155:9, 21; 156:3, 13; 157:22, 25; 158:17; 159:6; 163:9; 169:9, 20; 170:3, 8; 171:7; 172:11; 175:5; 178:20; 180:11; 183:17; 184:4, 5; 185:16; 193:11, 21, 22; 196:9; 212:19; 214:7; 216:8, 22; 218:4, 24; 253:18; 254:15; 255:6; 275:12; 78:3; 155:16; 157:13; 254:17; 255:23 corner 239:3 corrected 69:9; 123:23; 223.11corrections 150:18; 151:5; 277:5 correspondence 158:18; 190:18, 20, 22

129:8; 175:24; 178:3, 9; 128:21 counsel 178:12; 267:5; 189:20 counterclaims 200:25 Countericaims 200:21 countless 158:22; 172:23 countries 7:20, 25; 68:5 country 14:19 couple 4:21; 16:12, 13; 47:12; 49:11; 52:3; 65:23; 92:9; 93:13, 15; 216:3 course 80:2; 111:5; 142:18; 149:3, 7, 10; 163:16; 191:3; 201:18; 246:12 court 8:6, 20; 37:20; 103:2; 200:25 cover 197:20; 267:17; 8:5; 11:13; 81:23; 197:21 coverings 86:22 crash 205:2 crazy 103:6 create 76:13; 84:12, 21, 25; 207:12; 242:14, 21; 85:6; 89:10; 121:13, 24; 125:11; 170:18; 178:11: 223:17; 237:23; 238:15; 239:4, 10; 240:21; 241:25; 243:9; 272:24; 173:23 creating 89:18 creation 124:22; 125:3, 12 creative 89:14, 19; 90:6, 13; 124:2; 174:6; 223:8 creativity 248:20; 249:4 credit 223:8 crime 262:2, 5, 9, 11 criminal 264:17, 23; 265:24 critical 206:10 crucial 70:2 crystal-clear 80:3; 154:3 currency 62:2 current 17:10 currently 29:21; 31:2 custom-made 275:23 customer 223:8 Cyrillic 111:11 D

D-37 274:8
D-E 7:5
D-E-J-A-N 189:21
daily 127:4; 145:24; 187:12
damages 210:19; 211:7, 8
dark 85:24
date 24:18; 48:20; 117:4, 16; 119:22; 120:14, 25; 147:21, 22; 148:17;

153:13: 154:2; 179:15; 180:2; 184:2; 195:24; 197:9; 202:15; 203:5; 206:22; 218:18, 20; 238:21, 23; 267:8, 18, 24; 268:2, 4; 273:16; 44:19; 55:18; 59:6; 95:12; 111:19; 112:2; 113:2; 144:25; 161:3, 8; 179:15; 189:11, 22; 191:5; 214:13; 237:19; 253:10, 18; 257:2, 20; 272:3; 45:3; 121:3; 224:2, 3, 7, 8 daughter 23:25 Day 74:15; 92:6; 140:7, 8; 141:22; 148:19; 154:8; 160:2; 176:18; 207:13; 255:2, 2; 277:17 days 69:9; 70:22; 93:10, 12, 13; 117:19; 134:8, 10; 135:4; 144:15; 145:5; 196:22; 197:2, 7; 206:12, 14; 219:3; 231:12 deadline 24:7;71:17; 100:5; 150:25; 154:16, 17; 151:11 deal 216:4; 221:10; 209:7 December 19:14, 20; 22:2; 28:6; 71:18; 73:3; 78:13; 88:10; 90:23; 99:16, 20; 100:4, 24; 101:10; 154:14; 155:5; 158:6; 160:13, 23, 23; 161:3, 8; 162:16; 163:21; 166:15; 182:17, 21, 24; 183:2, 4, 9; 184:8; 193:12, 20; 195:14, 23; 196:8; 197:16, 25; 198:7, 12, 18, 22; 199:19; 214:13, 15; 237:19, 20, 24; 272:3 decent 63:14; 83:21, 21, 22; 151:17 decide 19:5; 115:8, 12; 148:14; 152:25; 163:22; 216:4;103:21;152:14,19;179:8; 182:12; 183:20; 164:18 decision 20:16; 144:5; 155:11, 24; 182:15, 16, 20; 183:5, 13, 25; 188:4 decorated 188:8 decorating 23:14; 62:8, 12 decoration 38:21; 46:7; 52:19; 54:25; 59:5; 61:9; 74:9; 196:2; 232:8 decorative 132:3 deed 95:11, 12 defendant 5:6; 10:13; 206:9; 80:10; 87:7, 18; 119:7, 9: 138:21: 139:17; 140:17; 148:25; 149:16; 151:19; 157:19; 167:13; 171:6; 176:4; 223:20, 23; 236:18; 239:5; 241:3, 4; 245:17, 19; 247:25; 266:10; 267:5, 15; 268:15;

269:18; 270:8; 273:12

Define 13:15: 16:7; 75:7, 11, 11; 78:24; 86:16; 94:13 definitely 132:15; 152:23; 226:21, 22; 241:13; 244:8, 9 definition 86:25 defraud 263:12, 22; 264:5, 10 degree 14:23; 15:2, 8, 8, 11; 260:15, 18 Deiss 144:22, 24; 149:18; 161:8, 10; 164:15; 176:9; 161:12 Dejan 189:21 delay 103:13 delete 226:8; 227:15, 16, Deli 25:22, 23, 25; 26:16, 24; 27:3 deliver 7:9; 70:9; 73:25; 6:23delivery 73:2; 196:24, 25; 206:12 demanding 94:7 demands 192:11 demurrage 6:24; 7:3, 13 department 110:11, 15; 231:6, 11, 14; 235:4; 251:12; 273:23 departure 96:21 depending 115:6 depictions 269:13, 14 deposed 4:16, 21, 23; 5:10, 12, 15; 6:12; 8:3; 260:7 deposit 181:19; 214:19, 20 **Deposition** 4:10; 9:13; 12:21:50:12:56:5,6; 57:13; 194:24; 229:24; 265:7, 21 describe 15:6; 17:14; 245:22; 18:14; 51:4; 199:3; 219:19; 220:3; 260:16 Design 46:23, 24; 47:5, 14; 52:9, 14; 77:13; 79:22; 82:4; 83:4; 89:3; 90:4; 91:12; 92:2; 93:14; 115:10; 124:16, 18, 20, 22; 125:6, 8, 9; 126:6, 7; 127:10, 11; 128:3, 9, 14; 129:11; 132:25; 133:4; 139:5, 8; 144:2, 5; 149:6, 9, 13; 150:14, 23; 151:10, 13; 158:8; 162:25; 163:4; 166:22; 171:4; 172:10; 173:21; 174:21; 192:13, 18; 193:2; 200:14, 17; 212:4; 213:3, 7, 7; 214:11, 25; 215:6; 217:5; 219:21; 242:9; 252:11; 256:11; 260:22; 269:3; 274:12, 14, 15; 126:5; 82:5; 84:7, 15; 85:3; 86:11, 12; 89:17; 91:18; 92:13; 93:5; 107:7; 117:8, 9, 13; 121:24;

122:14; 124:7; 139:25; 140:13; 151:7, 14; 160:22; 163:22; 165:10, 16, 20; 206:11, 16; 215:15; 238:11; 239:20; 240:5, 9; 241:17; 242:4, 5, 7, 14, 16, 18, 21, 24; 251:6, 18, 21, 24; 252:6, 15; 259:23; 276:3 designated 57:17 designer 22:22; 76:13; 85:12; 86:9, 10; 88:3; 90:5; 94:4; 104:12, 18; 114:17; 133:2; 186:12; 207:15; 208:10; 240:25; 103:23 desire 49:3; 57:13 desist 191:15, 16; 192:12 destroy 225:16 detail 85:17; 153:10; 241:11; 275:23; 85:14; 20:3; 265:24 determination 62:25 determined 62:21 develop 170:20; 150:9 development 124:20, 22, 25; 125:6, 7, 8, 16; 126:2, 7, 8; 127:9, 11; 128:2, 13, 14; 168:4; 169:24; 212:4; 213:3, 7; 215:6; 217:5 difference 62:18; 125:5 different 6:18; 66:22; 67:8; 75:14; 77:21, 24; 81:22; 86:21; 91:5; 127:20; 129:10; 130:19; 150:9; 192:18, 18; 229:2; 242:11, 12; 246:6, 7, 15; 250:8, 8; 267:18; 269:19 difficult 94:4, 11, 13; 99:24; 156:21 digitally 119:20 dimensions 75:14; 78:23; 84:6, 15; 85:2 dining 145:8; 146:21 dinners 20:24 DIR 262:9, 17; 263:11, 16, 21, 25; 264:5 directly 34:15; 185:16, 17; 212:19 director 40:5; 42:3, 10, 17; 34:20; 41:23 disagree 143:17, 19; 205:10 disciplined 261:22 disconnect 11:7 discount 68:19, 19 discovery 223:10 discuss 52:4; 64:9, 13; 102:9; 126:10; 156:2, 6, 9; 167:20; 168:22; 18:6, 12; 20:25; 22:3; 48:5, 6, 24; 49:16, 20; 63:15, 16, 18, 21; 74:14; 126:12; 141:6; 145:19; 148:11; 188:19, 21; 200:5; 205:13; 215:18; 65:25; 70:12; 102:23; 126:15; 168:9; 178:19;

cost 63:24; 64:18; 65:3;

66:5, 7; 67:15; 128:23;

193:10; 271:15 discussion 20:21; 51:13; 97:23; 116:5; 126:14, 18; 133:10, 12; 148:24; 158:23; 166:4; 243:12 display 26:14; 250:23 disproportionate 174:22 disputes 235:9 dissatisfaction 158:10: 159:16; 167:21 divorce 157:4; 155:22 doable 71:11, 13; 193:21; 196:12 document 4:9; 12:14; 35:15; 36:5; 39:3, 9, 15; 40:11, 17, 23; 43:4, 9, 20; 46:9; 53:10; 55:13; 58:20; 61:17, 23; 79:15; 80:11, 19; 98:3; 108:6, 8; 111:9, 19, 25; 112:10, 11; 113:24; 114:2; 119:13; 138:17, 22, 25; 139:18; 140:19; 153:13, 14; 157:20, 23; 159:24; 161:2; 162:22; 163:19; 164:9, 11, 13; 166:10; 167:14; 176:5; 178:17; 186:7; 189:11; 191:4; 200:20; 201:3; 206:8; 207:23; 223:5, 21; 224:3, 8, 24; 236:19, 21; 241:9, 10; 243:15; 253:10, 20; 256:21, 23; 257:2, 5, 17; 259:17, 19; 260:4; 267:19; 13:4; 35:23, 24; 106:20; 114:24; 121:7, 12, 24; 136:16; 158:14, 15, 19; 159:2, 8, 10; 192:13, 15; 193:2; 209:4; 213:4, 12; 225:3, 6, 9, 10, 16; 228:3; 229:14; 230:6; 237:2, 12, 18; 239:4, 5, 9; 266:15 dollars 61:25; 62:22; 63:5, 15; 64:3, 10, 14, 19; 65:4; 66:14; 67:17; 142:6; 173:25; 177:20 domestically 70:25 done 20:12; 26:5; 56:21. 22; 70:25; 73:12, 18, 19, 25; 74:5; 79:21; 89:25; 100:24; 102:16; 125:18; 174:8; 175:9, 19; 197:11, 20, 21; 198:12, 13, 18, 21; 199:3, 19, 22; 202:19; 209:8; 216:6; 217:10; 219:20; 231:20; 237:14; 241:2, 5; 264:12; 265:5; 276:13 door 199:24, 25; 147:8; 160:10, 13; 198:4 door-to-door 103:17 doorway 250:18 doubt 165:22; 204:15, 16, 17, 18 down 8:7; 37:20; 58:7; 64:5; 66:21; 67:5; 92:22, 25; 93:22, 24; 97:5; 138:9; 193:7; 227:12; 242:9, 10;

273:15; 274:10 downtown 105:24 dozen 63:8; 170:25; 207:13; 226:4; 227:25; 271:11 draft 108:18; 199:5, 7; 120:3 drapes 198:17 draw 74:24; 130:5; 136:3, 4; 213:8, 8; 76:17; 145:2; 214:21; 234:12; 272:25 drawings 70:19; 71:15; 74:21, 22, 25; 75:3, 4, 8, 12, 13, 17, 19, 22, 24; 76:2, 7, 11, 20; 77:9, 12, 17; 78:15; 79:16; 84:5, 14; 85:2, 7; 106:21; 107:7; 114:25; 121:24; 122:14; 124:23; 125:3, 13; 128:7; 140:7, 8, 14; 145:6; 147:4, 24; 150:19; 160:21; 161:20, 22; 162:2, 4, 9, 17; 163:10, 23; 164:17, 18, 24; 166:14; 173:23; 184:21; 191:17; 192:4; 233:20, 24; 234:7, 16; 236:13, 14, 15; 238:10; 239:21; 240:4, 5, 13; 241:2; 242:8; 243:21, 23; 252:22; 256:14; 257:6; 258:15, 22, 25; 259:6, 10, 23; 266:18, 20; 267:9, 12, 16; 268:19; 272:3; 274:3; 276:4, 10 due 138:10 duly 4:5 during 13:4; 18:12; 19:22; 20:20; 21:19, 21; 51:14, 14; 59:19; 97:5, 6; 112:14; 155:22; 271:5

E

e-mail 45:18; 46:16, 22: 52:8; 53:18; 112:22, 24, 25; 113:3, 9, 13, 17, 18; 114:5; 139:2; 140:22; 141:3, 23; 142:24; 143:3, 4, 6; 144:3, 6, 16, 21, 21; 146:25; 147:14; 149:17; 151:20; 154:13; 158:2, 9, 18; 161:6, 7, 7, 9, 9, 11, 12; 164:4, 7, 15, 22; 167:17; 170:8; 171:7, 9, 10; 180:11; 182:4, 9, 22, 23, 25; 183:2; 189:18, 19, 19, 23, 25; 190:10; 218:2, 8; 228:5, 10, 11, 16, 18, 19, 23, 25; 229:5, 21; 230:2; 253:17, 17, 23; 254:7, 13; 255:8, 24; 272:16; 274:9, 10; 185:13; 168:8; 170:8; 184:9; 208:19; 225:19, 19, 22; 226:2, 6; 227:3, 9, 15, 15, 23; 228:15; 229:7, 15; 230:3 Earlier 53:17, 21; 96:8; 113:6, 17, 18; 116:5, 10;

177:7; 193:6, 9; 195:5; 218:3; 220:3, 4; 231:19; 260:6; 265:23; 266:11; 272:18 early 73:2; 90:23; 123:5; 172:2; 176:18; 210:4 **easier** 8:17 East 4:3; 25:23; 81:8, 8, 9 easy 94:3; 101:12 edge 246:19 edit 120:7; 69:11; 120:2; 150:19; 151:6 education 260:18 effect 92:25; 93:19, 22; 275:11, 14 effective 60:5; 211:2 effort 128:8, 13; 185:7; 204:9; 229:7; 230:5 eight 203:11, 11; 265:16 either 7:8; 85:24; 134:6; 143:9; 190:2; 237:8; 248:16 elaborate 86:12, 16, 17, 18, 20, 23, 24, 24 electrical 206:13 elements 91:11 elevation 160:17; 147:7; 160:9, 12, 15 else 18:4; 20:18; 21:18; 27:17; 34:10; 38:11; 48:3; 51:17; 90:4; 93:18, 21; 110:15; 120:7; 133:18; 151:5; 168:21; 185:9; 198:11, 13; 205:25; 212:11; 213:16; 232:7; 233:12, 24; 234:11; 235:10: 239:11: 242:19. 25; 255:3; 264:9; 275:18, 20; 89:3; 243:4 elsewhere 21:17 employed 13:10; 29:21 employees 31:25; 32:4; 41:13end 24:19, 24; 103:9; 104:9; 109:24; 114:6;

140:5; 148:19; 155:4; 172:12; 174:10; 203:6; 257:19, 21; 275:7 engineer 14:25; 261:10, 12; 14:16, 18; 235:6 English 50:2, 8, 12, 19, 21, 23 enough 85:17: 124:2: 144:8; 203:13; 207:17, 17; 215:22 enter 111:13; 98:11; 99:15; 100:22; 173:10, 11 entertaining 152:24 entire 63:24; 69:5; 75:5; 77:2; 96:15; 129:8; 175:14; 198:2, 2 entirely 18:19; 99:22; 246:8 entities 53:14 entitled 4:10; 35:16; 39:3; 124:10, 12; 129:7, 16;

130:15; 131:5; 171:12, 20; 174:23; 200:21; 212:6; 213:20; 214:16, 25; 215:4, 9, 11; 223:23; 268:15 entity 34:16: 53:14 equal 15:13 equipment 7:12; 26:13, 14 error 223:11 essentially 90:21 Essex 29:24; 30:7, 21 estate 168:4, 10, 12; 169:24; 205:24; 206:6 estimate 63:17; 106:17; 115:11; 175:24; 176:8; 66:22 euros 61:25 even 6:5; 8:14; 25:9; 70:14; 90:9; 103:5; 159:17; 160:24; 172:7; 190:24, 24, 25; 195:4; 196:15; 197:8; 213:13; 227:19; 229:21; 246:10, 14; 255:21 event 121:25; 130:22; 131:14, 15, 19; 135:21; 136:6, 20; 137:19; 138:14; 10:3, 6; 11:4, 16; 16:4; 156:15 Eventually 144:4 everyone 8:17; 118:12 evidence 96:18 ex-father-in-law 65:22, 24;68:16 exact 78:23; 243:20 exactly 17:9; 19:4; 20:23; 28:4; 37:8; 49:18; 56:12; 71:12; 89:11; 98:24; 99:4; 102:14; 103:15, 24; 104:8; 113:14, 22; 141:7; 148:17; 150:3, 6; 153:19; 208:17; 212:5 **EXAMINATION 4:12:** 266:5 examined 4:6 example 149:17; 244:24; 246:9 exceeded 66:23; 140:24 exceeding 124:13 except 60:6 exception 198:3 exchange 167:17; 78:4, exciting 168:18 exclusive 106:23 Excuse 15:16; 19:19; 36:13; 52:12; 54:23; 104:20; 112:5; 113:16; 149:4; 166:8; 178:12;

186:4, 16; 220:17; 243:22;

executed 106:25; 107:21

250:5; 253:21; 216:10

Exhibit 4:9; 12:12, 13;

22, 23; 40:17; 43:4, 20;

44:5; 46:9, 13, 16; 52:7;

35:15, 19; 39:2, 7, 15, 19,

53:9; 55:12; 57:8; 61:12, 16; 80:10, 17; 82:12; 83:24; 84:2, 13; 85:15; 86:12; 87:8, 10, 18, 19; 95:10; 97:25; 106:14, 18; 108:5; 111:6, 8; 112:12, 14, 21, 24; 119:8, 9; 138:21; 139:17; 140:17; 142:24; 143:3; 144:6, 20; 148:25; 149:17; 151:19; 153:9, 10; 154:13; 157:19; 160:5; 161:2, 6; 162:21; 163:11, 18; 166:8, 9; 167:13; 168:7; 171:6; 175:5; 176:4; 178:16; 179:12; 180:10, 21, 23; 181:6, 7, 7, 9, 14, 186:6; 189:10, 18; 191:4, 11, 23; 192:22; 195:10; 200:20, 24; 211:10, 13; 223:20, 23, 25; 236:18; 239:5; 241:4. 4; 243:14; 244:11, 18; 245:8, 9, 12, 13, 17, 19; 248:2, 3, 250:12, 15, 16; 253:9, 13, 16; 254:5, 9, 14; 255:9; 256:20; 258:21; 259:16; 260:3; 266:10; 267:5, 15, 15; 268:10, 15; 269:18; 270:8; 272:2, 14; 273:12; 275:9; 245:14 existing 90:3 expand 90:3 expect 205:24 expediting 235:3, 5 expeditiously 99:10 expenses 46:6; 213:25; 217:16 experience 23:13; 25:10, 13, 15, 16; 72:14; 203:11; 215:25; 207:14 expert 82:4; 175:4 explain 66:25; 144:14; 255:5; 104:2; 254:25; 112:7 explanation 60:14; 254:16, 17, 21 exported 7:22, 23 express 49:2: 78:8: 167:21, 195:12; 82:23, 24; 184:9; 216:18; 158:10 extend 6:5 extensive 26:4 extensively 116:10 extent 15:9 extra 117:25; 140:18; 172:13 eyes 9:19

Ŧ

F-I-L-I-P 53:19 fact 63:20; 87:8; 89:2; 90:22; 95:19; 147:2; 151:8; 154:4; 155:9, 21; 156:3, 6, 9, 12; 159:16; 179:9; 188:18; 208:9; 213:6; 241:17; 257:16; 56:19; 96:18 factor 155:11 factories 70:4 factors 204:23 factory 67:25 failed 233:10, 16; 235:16 fails 134:11; 135:5; 138:14 failure 210:19; 211:4 fairly 188:24 fall 95:5 false 172:18; 192:6; 193:4; 197:16; 208:2, 4 familiar 15:7; 42:7, 14; 178:13; 270:23; 273:13 familiarize 114:9 family 16:14; 68:11, 12, 14, 19, 109.8, 18, 21 family-based 68:19 far 44:16; 127:9; 135:24; 147:24; 150:12; 151:25; 181:14; 209:9; 246:23 fashion 101:8 father 155:16 favor 13:18; 27:22; 50:7 February 20:7; 23:21; 24:6; 28:2; 60:3; 95:13; 123:5; 194:3, 9; 195:2; 201:13; 202:17; 203:2; 204:6; 208:23; 209:13; 211:2; 219:6; 220:8; 257:2, 13, 21, 22, 25; 258:6 Federal 9:14 fee 66:4, 17; 67:10; 69:21; 128:22; 129:15; 171:20; 173:4; 174:14, 23; 175:7 teed 70:17 feel 60:24; 61:2; 227:20; 55:20; 193:23 fees 133:19; 215:17 fellow 70:3 felt 184:10; 199:10 few 18:8; 21:13; 47:15; 51:23; 65:19; 69:9; 78:4; 104:5; 117:19; 123:21; 144:15; 145:5; 155:6; 158:10; 186:21; 202:11; 231:12 few-minute 52:22 fifth 138:9 fight 57:18 figure 211:3; 271:9 figuring 11:24 file 164:21; 268:16; 225:5; 231:12; 226:24; 227:2 filing 102:5; 231:15, 14 Filip 51:20; 53:17, 18, 19, 19, 20; 89:8, 10; 90:12; 149:19; 161:8, 10, 14, 15, 19; 162:8; 185:12, 25; 232:6; 243:9, 22; 247:21; 249:19; 251:21, 25; 274:21; 67:24; 72:13; 89:14; 90:21; 164:15;

245:24; 246:18; 247:15; 248:2, 5; 249:10, 23; 251:3,7 final 70:14; 116:22; 117:7, 7, 9, 12; 139:2; 161:22; 163:23; 164:16; 213:9 finally 166:14 financial 49:23; 54:17 find 11:14; 22:22; 59:12; 103:22, 23; 204:9; 225:22 fine 12:9; 60:23; 79:13, 14; 135:11; 137:9; 166:2; 217:16; 229:25; 271:3 finish 8:9, 11; 20:4; 24:10, 16, 17, 23; 94:14; 101:17; 115:10; 196:7; 273:21; 275:19; 124:10; 193:19 firm 21:17; 22:22; 86:10; 105:7; 189:20, 20 first 4:5; 5:15; 14:8; 16:25; 22:17; 24:5; 35:22; 46:15; 49:8; 59:24; 78:11; 83:25; 114:7; 117:12; 126:21; 127:8, 18; 136:5; 137:13; 144:23; 145:2, 3; 147:4; 159:10; 167:7; 174:21; 187:8; 190:3; 192:10, 21; 193:10; 201:11; 202:24; 211:21; 214:24; 224:3; 230:10, 13; 231:5, 10; 241:6; 267:7; 276:2 fit 67:16; 71:4; 116:4 five 37:18: 51:11: 69:16; 71:4; 96:4, 14; 97:5; 99:6; 116:18; 117:21; 129:10; 200:12; 207:10; 227:3; 228:13, 16, 23; 246:24 five-minute 253:5 five-year 6:6 fixtures 132:3 flexible 70:15 flip 243:17; 270:9 floor 22:11, 15; 43:12; 73:19; 84:8, 16; 102:17; 108:21; 241:25; 73:23; 115:5; 197:20; 198:8 focus 275:18; 126:8 folder 226:11, 15; 227:10, 13, 20, 11 follow 267:10: 147:6; 159:25; 173:18; 216:14; 255:2; 4:7; 119:5; 130:17 foregoing 277:3 forget 268:20

forgetting 197:9; 239:2

107:15; 174:16; 188:16;

forward 114:9; 228:19,

34:25; 35:3, 5; 143:22

forgot 165:20

former 68:4

form 91:22: 105:4;

forth 69:10; 135:2

forwarder 6:15, 17, 19, found 59:15; 102:13, 17; 134:4; 193:18 four 6:2; 18:2; 26:2; 67:7; 71:4; 83:25; 84:12; 116:18; 117:2, 21; 130:18; 175:13; 181:15; 207:21; 215:24; 228:13, 16, 23 fourth 138:8 foyer 81:3; 84:2, 13; 145:7, 11; 146:21; 244:12, 18; 245:14, 17, 18; 247:22; 249:13 fragment 130:14 frame 59:8; 71:5, 8; 73:20; 90:18; 94:22; 103:4; 104:8; 121:5; 234:2, 4; 60:6 frank 81:23 fraud 262:17, 22 free 136:15 Freedom 44:13, 17, 25; 45:5 freight 6:15, 16, 19, 21; 7:9, 12; 72:7 French 7:5, 7 frequent 93:9 friend 13:18, 21; 15:21; 20:3; 27:22; 50:4; 59:15; 156:23, 25; 194:7, 10; 202:6; 15:24; 16:6, 7; 17:14; 189:3; 210:2, 4 front 67:15; 99:23; 196:10; 267:24 full 57:12 full-size 266:18; 267:2 fully 122:12 funds 43:14, 17 furnished 206:10 furnishing 200:4; 198:15 furniture 131:22, 24; 198:17 further 57:11; 119:5; 265:6 future 146:4 G

G-A-U-T-H-I-E-R 46:17 gap 208:22 Garry 161:11; 277:11 Garth 98:8; 100:6; 103:25; 104:4; 108:13; 162:25; 189:20; 208:20; 240:12, 20; 241:2, 2; 259:7; 266:8; 271:7, 10, 21; 273:3; 99:21 Gas 14:20; 15:15; 30:23; 260:16 Gauthier 46:17 gave 89:7; 104:4; 106:5; 110:15; 161:11; 162:12; 184:13; 191:8; 192:15;

227:8

general 92:4; 104:18; 114:15, 21, 23; 115:14, 18, 25; 116:13, 23; 117:3, 14, 17, 21; 125:4, 19, 24; 126:3; 128:7; 177:24; 178:5, 12, 12; 236:2; 270:9; 271:24 generally 45:17 generate 78:16, 21 George 7:19 given 60:14, 15; 244:21, 24; 245:6, 7; 260:7; 266:17, 20, 22, 25 giving 134:8, 24; 177:25; 223:8; 246:8; 268:7; 270:4 glance 223:25 goal 25:2; 81:24; 48:10 goes 35:20; 40:21; 119:12; 173:21; 196:5; 214:21 Good 4:14, 15; 17:14; 50:11; 63:10; 94:9; 106:17; 112:13; 118:11; 142:16, 17; 166:24; 189:3; 215:21; 248:18; 265:4; 6:23; 7:21, 22, 23; 54:11; 73:7; 235:10 government 44:24 graduate 14:21; 15:14, 6 gray 79:5, 25 great 50:3; 223:3 greater 83:5 ground 8:4 Group 46:3, 5; 52:16, 18 arowina 92:6 quess 34:11; 54:14; 62:13; 81:23; 82:25; 94:22; 109:2, 4, 10, 11, 13; 111:16; 130:25; 146:25; 153:23; 173:9; 186:11; 210:22; 219:13; 257:7 guest 177:19 quilty 263:21 guy 51:20; 63:9; 64:22; 97:19; 202:3

H

half 26:18; 95:17, 20;

96:13, 16; 154:16, 18;

guys 44:2

190:19; 271:11 hall 163:22; 164:17, 24; 165:2; 249:9 hallway 83:8; 198:5; 249:9 Hamptons 59:25; 65:23 hand 12:11; 35:18; 39:6; 40:20; 43:7; 44:4; 46:12; 53:8; 88:19; 112:23; 119:8; 144:19; 161:5; 166:8; 191:7; 200:23; 53:4; 55:11; 61:15; 97:24; 108:4; 111:7; 138:20; 139:16; 140:16; 151:18;

153:8; 157:18; 162:20; 163:17; 167:12; 171:5; 176:3; 178:15; 179:11; 186:4, 5; 189:17; 195:9; 211:9; 236:17; 253:15; 254:3; 256:19; 260:2 handshake 209:9, 9 handwriting 274:9 hang 149:22 happen 275:25; 11:17; 96:20; 97:13; 154:24; 203:24; 204:3; 216:12, 13; 230:8; 239:13 happy 136:17; 173:7 hard 44:23; 63:20 hard-and-fast 177:3 hard-line 63:18 Hardy 109:15 Haroutounian 41:24; 42:5 Hayden 98:8; 100:22; 101:19, 21, 23, 25; 102:9; 104:10, 21; 106:13; 107:4, 8, 9, 20; 108:15; 163:12; 186:11; 203:14; 208:20; 232:5; 240:12; 241:5, 18, 24; 252:22; 259:7, 9; 266:8; 271:7, 10; 272:4; 273:2, 3, 18; 162:25; 189:20 head 8:20, 21; 37:21 hear 210:13; 42:24; 46:2, 19,24 heavily 59:12, 22, 25 held 97:23; 148:24; 166:4; 243:12; 261:7 help 22:21; 30:17, 18, 19; 38:21; 118:4; 125:22; 59:12; 22:4 helpful 60:6; 110:20 hereby 277:3 herein 277:2 Hermitage 36:15, 19, 22; 37:2; 39:11 hesitate 62:17; 97:2 high 103:20 higher 260:18 highly 90:5 hindsight 188:2 hire 115:18; 116:13; 117:10, 14; 118:4; 47:8, 11; 59:23; 74:12; 75:18; 89:11, 12; 90:3, 4; 101:23; 114:17; 115:15, 24; 117:5; 133:2; 172:3; 187:4; 196:10; 208:8, 16, 18; 240:24; 276:19 hiring 89:15; 117:17 historically 100:13 history 210:8 hold 225:6; 261:4; 7:11 Holdings 54:8

holidays 73:4 honesty 262:12

hope 50:10; 265:13

hopefully 275:3 hopelessly 148:4, 9 hoping 182:17 host 55:25; 56:16 hour 173:25; 7:10; 29:19; 65:23; 93:15; 170:25; 172:2, 24; 265:16 hourly 130:17, 18 house 65:24 huge 6:24 hundred 50:16; 64:8; 66:15; 115:14; 214:11; 227:18

I

idea 9:18; 31:18; 35:4; 50:4; 55:15; 61:19, 24; 62:6; 73:14; 90:2, 16; 149:21; 150:5, 8; 169:20; 190:5; 222:10; 230:8; 90:3, 7, 16, 20, 25; 91:2, 6; 149:6; 150:7, 7; 169:8; 243:5, 6, 9; 248:24 ideal 195:5; 197:8 ideally 139:5 identification 4:11; 35:17; 39:5, 17; 40:19; 43:6, 22; 46:11; 112:22; 142:25; 144:7; 161:4; 189:12; 191:6; 200:22; 253:11 identify 250:11 ignored 170:16, 16 II 125:14 Immediately 27:25: 118:2; 142:19; 192:12; 212:2, 16; 231:8 imminent 165:24 implying 56:13 import 7:20 import-export 6:14 important 8:7, 9, 11; 226:9, 10; 236:6 impossible 100:14, 15, 16, 17, 18; 133:6, 8; 165:18; 196:11 impression 218:16 improve 91:11 improvements 182:18 impulse 8:15 in-house 116:18; 177:11 in-person 51:22; 185:18 inaccurate 195:16 inbox 227:10 Inc 4:11; 9:10, 20, 22, 24; 10:8, 11, 12, 16, 21, 23; 12:6, 8, 14; 13:11, 14, 17: 16:9; 28:7, 10; 39:13; 40:5, 14; 42:3, 7, 13, 17, 22; 192:5 include 128:22; 199:2; 248:12; 100:5; 130:11; 131:10; 132:11

including 59:24; 121:10; 195:13; 196:24; 198:25; 199:15; 229:14 incorporate 239:15, 19: 240:3; 34:22; 41:2; 240:9 incorrectly 112:11 increased 205:6 increases 205:9 incurring 215:16 independent 32:8, 15, 18; 41:14; 90:5 index 201:2 indicate 189:25; 172:6; 222:14; 223:10; 147:2 indication 156:16 individually 80:15 industries 30:9 Industry 14:20 info 162:11 Information 44:13, 17; 45:2, 6; 57:15; 74:16; 82:24; 110:10; 192:23; 196:18; 197:10; 209:15 informed 63:11; 114:17; 145:24 initial 64:4; 70:17; 126:25; 127:3; 139:5, 22, 25; 140:12; 149:14; 174:15; 181:18; 204:3; 207:18; 218:19; 231:15; 274:12, 15 Initially 22:3; 63:21; 65:21; 89:6, 24; 102:25; 126:15; 150:14; 214:19; 241:25; 243:8 inquiry 44:14; 45:6 install 72:6, 8, 10; 73:15, 18; 74:2; 73:13; 197:22 installation 71:20, 21; 73:7; 197:4; 206:13 instance 154:7 Instead 93:15: 102:7 Institute 14:20; 15:15; 260:17 instruct 162:8; 233:23; 234:6, 11; 162:16; 184:12; 234:16; 257:10; 252:16, instruction 184:14; 199:6 instruments 106:22; 121:21 insult 238:23 intended 150:14 intends 38:20 intention 104:15; 177:14; 196:7 interest 138:13; 168:11, 25; 188:14; 20:5; 49:7; 150:22; 169:7, 15, 19, 23, 25; 170:5 Interestingly 144:8 International 42:6, 13 interpretation 126:20

into 38:15; 45:12; 51:5; 67:17; 78:12; 94:24; 95:5; 98:11; 99:15; 100:22; 110:7; 111:14; 170:2; 173:10, 11; 187:16; 196:13; 201:13; 202:14; 209:13; 213:6; 217:11; 221:12, 21; 222:6, 6, 10, 15; 227:11; 240:9; 250:19; 265:23; 276:9 intricate 86:22 introduce 271:19; 103:25; 271:22 introduction 271:24 invested 35:12 invoice 59:3; 140:24; 141:10, 16, 19; 142:2, 3, 22; 158:13; 171:8; 178:20, 24; 179:3, 8, 13, 14, 16, 18; 180:6, 9, 15, 18, 22, 24, 181:5, 8; 182:3, 6; 211:17, 20, 22; 212:10, 12. 13, 17; 214:5, 13; 216:24; 217:2, 4, 13; 218:7, 15, 23; 219:6, 10, 15; 220:4, 4, 11, 14, 19, 21, 23, 24; 221:3, 17; 223:14; 253:24; 254:8, 15, 18; 255:9, 16; 256:8; 235:17; 54:11; 55:17; 142:5; 151:25; 180:25; 181:11, 15; 211:10; 219:20; 220:15, 17; 223:16; 235:19, 22 involve 70:24; 125:11; 21:2; 22:17; 26:20, 23; 27:2; 29:10, 13; 30:13, 16; 31:2, 17; 38:2, 23; 44:21; 47:6, 10; 48:12, 14; 49:7; 51:16; 55:19; 56:24; 59:12, 19, 23; 60:2; 69:2; 79:23; 85:5; 97:7, 9; 115:20; 126:10; 127:23; 187:11; 190:7, 24; 200:3: 229:13; 231:2; 234:3, 5, 11, 15; 244:9; 260:11, 12; 271:6, 17; 70:18; 86:18 involvement 31:6; 54:20, 24; 55:22; 59:8, 8; 115:24; 163:3; 173:20; 260:21, 24 Irrelevant 169:13; 226:9; 227:21 isolation 136:11 ISRAEL 9:15, 25; 10:25; 12:5, 9, 16; 17:20; 23:3; 26:6, 9; 32:9, 20; 33:7, 9, 16, 18; 34:2, 11, 23; 35:6, 13; 36:3; 41:16; 43:3; 48:21; 49:5; 52:21; 54:14, 22; 55:2; 56:3, 9; 57:4, 9, 10, 22, 25; 58:7, 9, 12, 15, 17, 23; 62:13; 66:6; 67:22; 69:3; 78:18; 82:20; 84:17; 85:8; 86:14; 87:2; 89:21: 91:23; 92:3, 18; 93:7, 23; 94:6, 12, 17, 25; 95:7, 18, 21; 96:17; 97:10; 98:2, 4, 18, 22; 101:2; 105:5; 106:11; 107:10, 14; 108:7;

109:3, 11, 25; 110:12, 22;

111:16; 112:16; 117:6: 120:4, 15, 22; 121:14; 122:2, 7, 11, 16, 21; 123:8, 14, 20; 126:11, 22; 127:14; 128:15, 24; 129:5, 17, 19; 130:25; 132:13, 22; 134:5, 19; 135:6, 18, 25; 136:10, 24; 137:4, 6, 12, 16, 23; 138:16; 142:13, 18; 144:23; 147:13; 148:6, 16, 22; 149:11; 150:16, 21: 152:3, 16, 21; 153:3, 18, 22; 154:10; 155:2; 156:24; 157:24; 161:24; 162:7; 163:25; 165:12, 21, 23; 166:23; 168:15, 169:4, 10, 13, 21; 170:4; 173:16; 174:17, 25; 175:10; 177:6; 179:17, 21, 25; 180:12; 181:10; 182:5; 183:22; 184:4; 186:8; 189:16; 191:9, 10, 13, 20; 192:7; 194:23; 210:21; 218:10; 219:12; 220:5; 221:6, 14; 222:21, 23; 223:2; 224:17; 225:8, 12, 24; 226:3, 21, 24; 227:5; 229:6, 9, 19; 230:4; 231:3; 232:21; 233:21; 235:12; 237:25; 239:7, 22; 240:6, 15; 241:6, 19; 242:20; 243:2. 7; 248:9, 13; 249:14; 250:4; 251:8; 252:8, 18, 25; 256:2; 257:7, 15, 24; 258:5, 16, 19, 23; 262:10, 15, 20, 24; 263:8, 15, 20, 23; 264:3, 7, 11, 18; 265:4, 8, 15, 18; 273:5, 8; 276:15 issue 34:4; 36:10; 40:15; 45:21, 24; 53:15; 77:21; 100:9; 109:21; 183:13; 221:4; 242:9; 55:25: 56:16; 144:5; 265:20 Italian 67:25; 68:7; 70:3, 9; 162:4, 9, 17; 189:13; 190:14; 196:18, 22; 197:10, 19; 206:10, 16, 23; 207:4; 259:23; 70:9, 20, 24; 71:6, 16; 72:11, 21, 25; 73:8, 12, 15; 74:17, 17; 75:6; 76:3, 3, 8, 8, 22; 116:8; 139:4; 146:7, 9; 158:16; 197:18; 198:3; 199:22, 22; 275:21; 276:5 ltaly 67:14, 20; 70:17; 71:19; 72:5, 7; 161:21, 23; 190:12; 275:20 item 214:5; 61:7, 13; 147:5 ITG 7:19, 20

1

J-E-N-D-R-E-T-Z-K-I 232:3 James 191:12 January 20:6; 28:6; 71:20; 73:2, 5; 74:6, 10; 123:5; 153:17, 21; 154:6,

19, 22, 23; 171:8, 19; 172:3; 178:21; 179:15, 19: 180:7, 11; 182:23; 183:15; 184:14; 187:23; 195:7, 21, 25; 197:12; 199:4, 15; 200:16; 208:23; 217:24; 218:3, 6, 6; 219:3; 257:19 21; 258:2, 7; 268:16 Jendretzki 231:25 job 21:17; 50:11; 73:23; 75:2; 102:3, 4; 114:16; 133:6 John-Pierre 39:19; 41:23 judge 96:22; 172:19; 232:23; 263:9; 265:3, 25 Julie 157:13 July 101:16; 104:7; 109:24; 110:8; 163:8; 186:14, 18, 187:8, 24; 191:5, 12; 203:20; 208:11, 22, 23 June 189:11; 203:20: 230:12; 273:16

K

Katherine 46:23, 24 Kathy 52:9, 13 Kaufman 157:13 keep 88:18; 143:12; 167:11; 187:19; 226:10; 227:9; 228:15 kept 114:16 kids 145:8, 11; 146:22 kind 6:12; 7:2; 14:23; 29:5; 33:14; 74:22; 268:6; 270:3 kitchen 26:14; 83:17, 20, knew 15:22; 50:5; 66:12; 67:15; 70:2; 71:9, 10; 99:23; 100:13; 101:17 193:11; 195:6, 19; 196:21; 201:16; 203:18; 271:19 knowing 64:21; 71:5; 78:22; 92:5; 259:9 knowledge 28:12:68:4: 111:18; 165:4; 210:11; 251:16, 20, 23 knowledgeable 11:3 known 13:24; 14:5; 16:22 knows 11:23, 25; 94:8

L

labeled 106:19 Labor 74:15; 115:6 landlord 5:17, 20, 23 language 173:10 large 250:18 last 8:3; 12:23; 46:17; 65:12; 92:9; 114:8; 134:3, 6; 145:4; 191:25; 192:22; 194:13, 22, 23; 207:23; 250:16

interrupt 8:8; 137:15

measurements 76:18;

late 95:5; 123:5; 147:5, 6; 154:19; 203:3 later 21:13; 47:9; 143:9; 144:15; 151:23; 160:2; 168:22; 202:15 latest 74:7; 143:10 law 9:20; 44:13, 18; 45:2, 6:121:9 laws 41:2 lawsuit 11:13; 16:5; 22:11; 225:5 lawyer 8:4; 33:8; 44:9; 5:12; 33:5, 10, 12, 19 layout 102:16; 266:24; 131:22, 24 lead 196:5 learn 50:18, 21; 92:6; 221:20; 201:12, 15 lease 5:22, 24; 6:5 least 4:24; 16:13; 63:8; 86:17, 19; 186:3; 196:22; 197:5, 6; 229:13; 271:11 leather 76:15 leave 75:9 led 83:24 left 51:12; 60:3; 71:4; 188:18; 271:22 legai 32:10; 33:14; 107:14; 120:16, 23; 121:15; 123:15; 134:20; 135:19; 136:2, 13; 137:6, 8, 24, 25; 138:18; 192:8; 232:22 lengthy 165:25 less 17:17, 19; 26:3, 17; 29:20; 62:11; 86:11; 127:16; 134:8; 171:23; 172:8; 173:19; 174:9; 176:17; 204:22; 258:4 letter 69:9; 108:12, 16, 18, 21; 110:11, 23; 123:6; 148:19; 191:12, 15; 192:2, 9; 195:11; 200:11; 184:12 level 248:25; 249:3; 275:23 Libracon 54:8; 55:16; 111:13; 190:2; 232:6; 251:24, 25; 257:6, 11; 258:14; 259:2; 269:8, 12 library 81:15, 16; 83:9; 91:14; 145:8, 11; 146:22; 163:23; 164:17, 24; 165:2; 249:20, 23, 24; 250:6, 20, 24: 251:3, 4 licensed 261:12, 19 licenses 261:5, 8 life 156:19; 157:15 light 85:24; 132:3 liked 92:13 likely 20:12; 61:2; 210:15; 211:15 likes 63:10 limit 215:14

177:18, 19; 268:14; 116:18 lion 175:13, 14, 6, 8, 18 listed 222:19; 224:2, 7; 232:9 literate 170:17 litigation 260:13 little 8:2; 90:13; 95:16; 102:12; 269:11 live 24:2; 50:20; 55:4, 7; 87:15, 205:21, 222:3, 233:10, 16; 205:17; 221:25 living 81:6, 13; 83:9; 145:4, 11; 198:5; 247:22, 25; 248:2; 249:7, 8; 250:17, 19 LLC 29:24 local 125:18 located 138:5 logistics 30:12, 19 long 13:24; 14:5; 16:22; 25:24; 26:15; 43:8; 44:5; 71:21;94:14;96:5; 101:15, 21; 102:2; 106:14; 116:5, 23; 117:16; 147:22; 157:2; 188:12, 14; 203:15 long-time 157:2 look 38:18; 49:3; 59:7; 76:14; 77:2, 14; 79:5, 10; 85:13, 18, 21; 103:16; 104:18; 111:3; 133:5; 134:5; 136:11; 150:24; 192:18; 203:21; 216:3; 220:11, 23; 227:7; 243:16; 267:6; 268:12, 17; 270:23, 24; 272:16; 273:12; 218:2; 268:10; 21:16; 81:6; 84:13, 22; 89:9; 90:2; 114:15; 207:19; 267:21, 25; 268:23; 272:17; 85:22; 138:8: 165:24; 224:11; 247:19; 250:19 loose 88:11 lost 188:13 lot 55:21; 60:11; 67:21; 68:2; 69:18; 170:15; 172:15; 185:13; 187:17 loves 63:11 lower 239:2 lunch 51:10, 14; 97:15; 112:14; 167:18, 19, 24; 168:3, 8; 271:20 luncheon 118:14 luxury 205:23; 206:5, 5

M

Madison 108:21; 221:18 maintained 121:12 maintains 225:3 maker 144:5; 206:10 makes 154:5; 173:25 Management 29:24; 30:5, 7, 10; 42:6; 114:12 manager 81:25; 150:24 managing 53:24 MANDEL 4:13; 12:4, 10; 30:24; 39:20; 40:8; 41:21; 43:25; 44:7; 52:12, 23; 53:6; 54:23; 56:8; 57:2, 18, 23; 58:6, 10, 13, 22; 61:21; 64:25; 65:7; 72:17, 19; 75:9; 77:7; 80:6, 16; 82:10; 87:10; 91:4; 92:23; 93:20; 96:6; 97:16, 21; 98:15; 100:3; 104:24; 107:17; 109:17; 110:5; 111:5, 21; 112:7, 20; 113:16; 114:19; 115:22; 116:15; 117:11; 118:10; 119:6, 10; 120:5, 11, 19; 122:8; 129:2, 23; 131:16; 132:8; 133:16; 134:15; 137:2, 8, 14; 140:4, 11; 141:9; 142:9, 16; 143:2; 144:13; 146:19; 152:8, 10, 18; 155:19; 157:8, 10; 163:14, 16; 164:6, 12, 14; 165:8; 166:2; 169:17; 175:22; 179:6, 23; 180:5; 181:12; 187:15, 190:9; 191:3, 8, 11; 193:8; 196:16; 203:23; 204:2; 210:6, 17; 211:19; 219:8, 24; 221:15; 222:24; 224:25; 226:19, 25; 228:4; 229:17, 25; 230:17; 231:18; 232:16; 234:8, 20; 235:15; 238:4, 8; 239:17, 25; 242:17; 243:10; 244:16; 245:5; 249:18; 250:13; 253:4; 255:22; 257:12; 258:4, 12; 262:22; 263:7, 10; 264:15, 19; 265:2, 6; 268:22; 276:24 manner 148:3; 192:13 manual 114:8; 223:14 manufacture 70:5; 73:16; 84:20, 21; 67:14; 72:12; 73:7, 13; 125:18 manufacturer 75:2; 161:21, 23; 162:5, 10, 17; 190:15; 196:18, 22; 197:10; 206:12, 17, 24; 207:4; 259:24; 276:9; 124:24; 189:14 manufacturing 70:6, 11; 72:22; 73:2; 74:18, 19; 75:6; 76:4, 9, 18, 22; 77:3; 116:8; 125:12, 13; 189:14; 190:11; 196:23, 25 many 5:8; 12:25; 86:21; 92:5; 96:2; 172:2; 173:3, 3, 3; 226:2; 227:23; 228:5, 10; 236:3; 271:9 marble 76:15 March 23:21; 24:6; 28:2; 98:12; 187:5, 5; 203:14 margins 174:10 marked 4:11; 12:12; 35:17, 19; 39:5, 6, 17;

40:19, 21; 43:5, 7, 21;

55:11; 61:15; 80:10;

44:4; 46:11, 12; 53:5, 8;

97:24; 108:4; 111:7; 112:22, 23; 119:8; 138:20; 139:16; 140:17; 142:25; 144:7, 20; 151:19; 153:9; 157:18; 161:3, 5; 162:21; 163:17; 167:13; 171:5; 176:3; 178:15; 179:11; 186:5; 189:11, 17; 191:5; 195:10; 200:22, 23; 211:9; 223:19; 236:18; 243:13; 253:11, 15; 254:4; 256:20; 259:16; 260:3; 267:5, 14; 268:14; 269:18; 270:7; 272:15; 273:12; 274:8 market 204:12, 23 marshaled 229:14 master 81:18; 145:13, 14; 146:10, 13, 16, 22; 147:3, 7, 11; 159:17, 20, 25; 160:8, 9, 12, 22; 163:2, 4; 166:13, 18, 22; 167:4; 198:4; 250:3; 15:8, 12, 13 material 79:11, 13; 87:13; 88:4; 115:7, 7; 59:3; 76:16; 85:20; 87:5, 8, 19, 20, 21, 24; 88:8; 171:3; 184:25; 185:4, 8; 206:16, 23; 207:4; 246:6; 247:19; 268:6 math 223:11 matter 68:20; 93:10; 116:20; 210:7; 11:24; 49:23 **Maximum** 227:25 may 8:4; 11:7, 21, 22, 23; 32:10; 44:23; 55:21; 60:13; 96:24; 112:10, 12; 113:16; 134:7; 140:17; 163:15; 165:25; 171:15; 177:22; 230:12; 243:16, 22 maybe 29:19; 59:6; 148:20, 21; 220:12, 13; 226:4; 266:23, 23; 269:23 Mazel 58:9, 12 McKEE 43:23; 44:3; 54:2; 58:20, 24; 71:24; 72:3; 75:7; 80:13; 91:22; 97:14, 18; 100:25; 101:11; 105:4; 107:11; 111:3, 6; 127:12; 128:16; 163:15; 174:16; 188:16; 191:2; 232:10; 238:6, 18; 240:14; 241:20; 243:3; 245:3; 250:11; 252:17, 24; 253:21; 265:5, 17; 266:3, 6, 8; 273:7, 9; mean 7:8; 24:13; 28:24; 32:11; 33:7; 64:21; 68:15; 70:2; 75:8; 85:17; 92:20; 94:18, 21; 96:21; 105:23; 131:11; 142:14; 159:14; 161:14; 172:20; 173:6, 9; 177:9, 12; 206:2; 207:14, 16; 215:24; 232:24; 238:23; 79:4; 141:16, 19; 242:5; 275:12; 9:16;

78:15; 190:4; 276:5 Mechanical 14:25 MED 35:20, 21, 24; 39:4, 5, 7, 8, 16, 16; 40:9, 10, 18, 18, 22, 22; 43:5, 9, 21; 44:6; 46:10, 10, 14; 119:12, 12 Medallion 4:10; 9:9, 20, 22, 24; 10:8, 11, 12, 15, 21, 23; 11:3; 12:6, 8, 14; 13:10, 14, 17; 14:2; 16:9; 28:7, 10; 31:5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 22, 25; 32:4, 7, 14, 17, 23; 33:2, 5, 10, 19, 21, 24; 34:5, 8, 10, 15, 22, 25; 35:10, 12, 25; 36:2; 37:6, 9; 39:13; 40:5, 14, 25; 42:3, 10, 17, 22; 44:10; 55:24; 57:20; 59:4; 60:18, 21; 62:7, 10; 68:11; 69:6; 74:4; 83:10, 13, 16; 88:8, 14, 19, 21, 24; 89:4, 16; 92:14; 98:7; 99:15; 100:23; 106:12, 14; 108:25; 110:7; 111:13; 114:20; 115:18, 24; 116:6, 13; 119:16; 120:7; 121:23; 122:9, 12, 13, 14, 19; 123:5, 12, 18; 131:20; 134:17; 135:16, 21, 23; 136:20, 21; 137:20, 20; 138:12; 142:10; 149:5, 8, 24; 150:8, 14; 153:16; 163:22; 165:11; 166:17; 167:4; 173:11; 178:24; 179:2, 7, 19, 24; 180:2, 7; 181:16; 182:11, 14; 183:4, 9, 12, 20; 184:24; 185:7; 191:15, 16; 192:5, 11, 14, 16, 25; 193:18; 200:16; 203:21; 204:8; 205:10, 14, 18; 208:25; 210:18; 211:6, 22; 212:10, 13, 18; 215:16; 217:2; 219:10; 224:23; 225:2, 6; 227:3; 229:6, 11; 230:5, 10: 231:5, 13; 232:19; 233:19, 23, 25; 234:5, 5, 11, 15; 235:9, 14, 16, 23; 236:9, 12, 22; 237:4, 13; 239:19; 240:3; 242:4, 13; 252:5, 7; 257:14, 18; 34:18, 20; 36:3; 72:20; 73:6; 74:3; 96:8; 132:9; 155:23; 177:14; 193:23; 197:23; 200:24 medical 14:15 meet 14:8; 16:25; 47:13; 65:20; 151:11; 177:16; 271:7, 12; 13:4, 7; 17:6; 48:5, 13; 49:8; 51:17, 19; 59:14, 24; 65:15, 18; 88:2; 102:19; 114:10; 124:3; 140:23; 141:5, 6, 11;

142:11, 22; 167:18, 18;

223:24; 224:13; 255:2;

meetings 20:24; 49:9;

271:15

186:17, 20, 24, 25; 208:20;

131:2, 18; 247:14

meant 121:22

limitation 56:2; 66:24

51:23; 88:16; 90:9; 185:18, 21; 224:16, 20 memorialize 242:11 memory 223:12 mention 78:10; 202:9; 24:9; 64:2; 114:13; 124:4, 5; 186:21, 22; 188:18; 194:8; 201:25; 202:2, 3, 24; 209:17, 19 message 50:4 met 12:23; 17:17; 21:7; 47:15, 24; 59:14; 65:21, 89:6, 24; 102:19, 20; 157:7, 14, 15; 224:4, 9; 271:9 Miami 47:17, 19, 22; 52:2 mid-December 164:25 Mid-January 197:13 mid-September 275:6 middle 39:23; 170:7 Midtown 25:23; 26:16; 27:3 might 64:10, 14; 77:21; 80:15; 92:8; 100:23; 101:9; 110:20; 203:15; 207:16, 19; 266:24; 267:18 miles 50:16 million 37:17: 62:16, 18. 19, 22; 63:5, 15; 64:3, 10, 14, 18; 65:4; 66:13; 67:17; 142:6; 176:19; 177:4, 17; 255:14 million-dollar 142:7 mind 19:15; 66:24; 70:8; 91:21; 92:2, 13, 17; 114:24; 149:3, 6, 8, 24; 150:15; 162:19 minimal 103:8 minor 104:5 minute 56:3; 12:24, 25; 13:3; 51:12; 186:21; 207:10, 10; 232:10 mirror 149:21 mischaracterizes 177:7 miscounted 227:5 misheard 171:15: 194:18 missing 112:13, 16, 17, 18 mission 100:14, 16, 17, 18 misspoken 113:17 Misstates 97:10; 153:3 misstating 96:23 mistaken 71:17: 220:13 misunderstood 177:22 MIT 15:5, 6 Mm-hmm 81:4; 107:2; 151:22; 195:15; 231:22; 245:15; 254:6 Mobili 59:3; 68:8 modifications 151:6 modify 238:4 moment 220:22; 222:25;

243:20

money 49:20; 61:24; 62:7, 11, 11; 264:5 month 16:12, 31:5, 10: 103:4; 154:18; 160:2; 201.25; 202:4; 203:11, 12, 12; 207:16; 209:14; 211:6; 257:25; 258:2, 6, 7; 21:13; 25:6; 26:2, 18; 28:18; 47:12; 70:9; 71:4, 6; 96:2, 4, 10, 14; 154:16; 200:12; 202:11; 208:7; 215:10 monthly 215:7 more 15:11; 17:17, 19; 18:9; 25:8; 26:3, 11, 19; 37:13, 16, 17; 62:10; 63:5; 64:18; 65:3; 79:24; 86:23; 89:13; 90:13; 92:11, 17; 102:7, 7; 107:18; 115:11; 127:9, 15, 25; 128:2, 7, 13; 134:10; 135:4; 137:19; 155:6; 171:13, 21; 172:15; 176:17; 188:8; 199:13; 203:12; 207:16; 225:14; 246:4, 12, 24: 258:4: 264:16; 268:13; 275:8 morning 4:14, 15; 147:5 Moscow 17:2; 89:8; 90:11; 243:9 most 15:4; 20:12; 28:23; 35:23; 89:9; 117:23; 174:14; 209:7; 210:15; 211:15; 227:2 Mostly 45:19 mouth 241:14 move 24:13; 38:15; 61:5, 6; 110:7; 201:13; 202:14; 209:13; 221:12, 21; 222:6, 10, 15; 94:24; 95:4; 222:6 move-in 203:5 moving 116:4 much 8:17; 26:11; 28:22; 29:16; 37:14; 60:9; 63:11; 67:4; 79:24; 86:23 130:13; 136:18; 173:18; 174:9; 209:9; 210:16, 18; 214:15; 246:12; 251:10; 264:5; 276:24 multiplied 210:25 must 8:19; 214:21 mutual 59:15; 64:6; 121:5 myself 69:18; 82:3; 114:10; 275:16

N

name 7:18; 14:13, 17; 39:25; 42:5, 12; 46:18; 51:20; 65:13; 105:8, 13; 157:13, 16; 194:14, 16, 22; 231:23, 24; 266:7; 144:24; 25:20; 42:19 naming 194:20 natural 213:25; 247:17 naturally 158:24 nature 4:20; 6:3; 168:17; 169:8; 172:24; 263:25; 269:24

necessary 71:15; 146:6 need 11:20; 37:21; 52:22; 60:24; 70:4, 8, 22; 71:6; 75:5; 76:2, 7, 25; 77:13; 78:14; 87:13; 103:11; 105:24; 116:24; 117:9, 17; 130:13; 133:3; 136:17; 139:4; 159:11; 164:19; 207:5; 220:22; 222:24; 274:11; 276:4; 72:22; 74:16; 77:10; 159:7; 174:7; 196:18; 197:11; 206:17, 24; 213:24 negatively 189:7 negotiate 68:22; 177:18; 64:3, 5; 65:9, 16; 69:6, 15; 98:16, 21; 99:2, 4, 5; 107:3; 113:8, 15, 23; 114:3 negotiating 63:22: 66:14; 69:2, 12, 21, 23 negotiation 38:3, 3; 68:20; 125:21, 22, 23; 48:14; 66:2, 12 neighbor 65:22; 157:2, 2 neither 127:21 net 62:5 New 4:4, 4; 21:14; 25:18; 29:25; 31:19, 23; 32:2, 5, 8, 15, 18, 24; 33:3, 6, 10, 19, 22, 25; 34:6; 48:7; 51:9; 72:7; 102:20; 109:9, 19, 24; 118:4; 138:5; 139:6, 9; 167:4; 186:12, 17; 187:8, 9; 190:3; 199:25; 208:21; 271:16; 272:14 next 8:10; 129:13; 140:7, 8; 145:5; 159:12; 165:25; 200:11; 216:12, 12, 13; 223:5; 248:25; 249:3 nicely 143:16, 21; 144:10 night 12:23 nine 25:5; 96:9; 203:12. 12 Ninth 6:8 nods 8:20; 37:21 non-performance 123:23, 24 None 27:16; 55:3; 78:10; 83:2; 155:25, 25; 163:5; 180:25; 238:11 nor 127:21 normal 66:11 Notary 4:5 note 159:13, 15; 175:6; 273:15; 274:10; 52:25; 53:3; 166:5, 7; 232:11, 13; 253:6, 8; 276:25; 69:8; 223:24; 224:12, 15, 19 Notice 4:10; 12:13; 57:7; 58:8; 134:9, 24 November 18:24, 25; 19:12; 71:18; 78:12; 82:15; 142:11, 22; 143:4; 144:8, 25; 147:11, 21;

159:24; 253:10, 19, 25;

necessarily 247:14

267:18 nowhere 151:24 number 35:20; 36:4; 37:8; 40:9; 43:5, 21; 45:14, 16; 46:14; 63:14, 18; 66:12, 13; 70:15; 79:18, 18; 97:25; 119:11; 162:21; 172:7; 179:14, 18; 180:6; 201:2; 211:17, 20; 212:10, 13; 213:5, 5; 215:14; 216:25; 217:13; 218:23; 219:10; 220:19, 22, 25; 221:3, 17; 223:12; 242:12; 243:14; 254:8, 15, 19; 255:13; 267:9; 39:4, 16; 40:18; 46:10; 80:25; 81:2; 116:22; 210:23



o'clock 118:10 oath 4:6 object 128:17; 171:10; 211:18, 22, 25; 212:13, 18; 214:4, 10; 218:23; 219:15; 222:18; 218:7, 15 Objection 9:15; 17:20; 23:3; 26:6; 32:9, 20; 33:16; 34:2, 23; 35:6, 13; 41:16; 48:21; 49:5; 54:22; 55:2; 66:6; 67:22; 69:3; 78:18; 82:20; 84:17; 85:8; 86:14; 87:2; 89:21; 91:22, 23; 92:3, 18; 93:7, 23; 94:6, 12, 17, 25; 95:7, 18, 21; 96:17; 97:10; 98:18, 22; 100:25; 101:2, 11; 105:4, 5; 106:11; 107:10, 15; 109:3, 25; 110:12, 22; 117:6; 120:15, 22; 121:14; 122:2, 7, 11, 16, 21; 123:8, 14, 20; 126:11, 22; 127:12; 128:15, 24; 129:17; 132:13, 22; 134:19; 135:6, 18, 25; 137:5, 5, 8, 23; 138:16; 142:13; 147:13; 148:6, 16; 149:11; 150:16, 21; 152:3, 16, 21; 153:3, 18, 22; 154:10; 155:2; 156:24; 161:24; 163:25; 165:12, 21; 166:23; 168:15; 169:4, 10, 21; 170:4; 173:16; 174:16, 17, 25; 175:10; 177:6; 179:2, 21; 180:12; 182:5; 183:22; 188:16; 192:7; 212:3, 15, 20, 25; 217:7, 12; 218:10; 219:12; 220:5, 13; 221:6; 222:21; 224:17; 225:8; 231:3; 232:21; 233:21; 239:22; 240:6, 14, 15; 241:6, 19, 20; 242:20; 243:2, 3, 7; 248:9, 13; 249:14; 250:4; 251:8; 252:8, 17, 18, 24, 25; 254:15, 16; 256:2; 257:15, 24; 258:16, 23; 262:10; 212:9; 213:2, 15; 217:2, 13, 18; 219:19, 23; 220:3,

12, 18, 19; 256:7 objective 71:5; 48:10 obligated 136:21 obligation 10:16; 233:6; 232:19; 233:10, 17 observe 241:12 obtain 101:24; 184:20; 185:3, 7; 230:13; 59:21; 101:8; 104:6; 192:23; 230:16, 21 obviously 63:23; 69:20; 70:16; 86:18; 114:16; 141:4; 147:22; 168:17; 171:3; 184:10; 186:2; 188:10; 213:25; 215:6, 8 occasion 18:9, 10; 271:6; 272:10; 16:17; 18:11 occurred 10:3, 6; 97:8; 141:22; 184:25; 190:6 October 18:25; 19:12; 71:17, 18; 72:22; 77:11; 78:12; 147:21, 22; 154:15; 196:19; 197:9; 206:24; 267:25 off 53:6; 97:21, 23; 147:25; 148:22, 24; 166:3, 4; 217:6; 229:20; 243:10, 12 offense 135:12 offer 27:8; 67:19, 18 office 5:13; 31:19, 23; 41:10; 43:12; 88:17 officers 34:18; 42:20, 22 Often 8:13; 16:8, 10, 11; 17:25; 52:2 Oil 14:20; 15:15; 29:7, 7, 8, 11, 14; 30:12, 14, 23, 25; 260:16 old 14:19; 55:9; 199:24 Once 7:9, 9; 10:22; 18:14: 59:10, 23; 73:21; 79:9, 14; 103:16; 104:17; 115:4; 116:14, 16, 20; 157:14, 15; 172:9, 9, 9; 173:20, 20; 174:7; 196:9; 206:3; 207:6; 214:20, 24; 215:5; 217:10; 218:18; 251:10 one 6:14; 15:4; 18:9, 10; 20:9, 24, 24; 21:9; 25:22, 23; 43:8, 24; 44:5; 45:14; 53:6; 60:7, 20; 68:4; 70:16; 72:20; 79:14; 82:4; 90:2; 97:22; 105:15; 107:18; 109:20; 117:10; 123:25; 129:13; 130:14; 135:3; 137:19; 140:17; 143:23; 149:25; 150:8; 151:4; 157:16; 162:15; 181:18. 24; 187:20; 192:19; 199:25; 207:20; 208:19; 211:11; 213:5; 214:23; 215:23, 25, 25; 216:2, 5, 5, 19; 218:14; 228:25; 229:4; 241:25; 242:10; 244:21; 246:4; 247:11; 251:13; 259:13; 267:14; 268:11,

13; 272:10; 274:24; 275:2,

one-page 112:21 ones 226:10; 269:23; 274:21 only 12:18; 48:12; 58:2; 71:3; 73:16; 75:19; 93:9; 96:13, 22; 101:23; 105:15; 134:13, 16, 22, 135:2; 140:15; 167:2; 207:20; 215:3; 229:4; 234:2; 247:21; 249:8; 276:9 open 265:20; 250:18 opinion 63:13; 95:23; 143:23; 178:2; 213:23; 226:9; 237:5, 7, 11, 12; 245:21; 248:22; 249:2 opportunities 168:5, 10 opportunity 168:12, 20, 25; 169:8, 19, 25 opposed 80:14: 193:20 opposite 79:7 option 6:6; 207:20; 166:25; 207:21; 214:22; 216:3 oral 105:22 orally 105:18; 106:2; 144:17; 219:2 order 60:16; 78:16; 108:14; 116:7, 8, 11; 139:3; 158:19; 159:8; 219:21; 275:21, 24 orderly 99:11 organized 167:11 organizing 228:15 original 112:12; 124:2; 242:3, 5, 14; 248:7, 20 originality 248:12; 249:4, 12 Originally 66:3; 273:17, 22 originated 52:16 others 83:6; 192:24 otherwise 23:5; 74:11; 88:4; 109:5, 12; 192:3; 237:17 out 28:24; 45:3; 65:3; 102:13, 17; 149:21; 151:10; 199:8, 11, 24; 211:3; 226:17, 17, 24; 264:6; 273:9 outside 16:8; 227:10; 239:11 over 8:4; 20:6; 22:20; 37:24; 49:12; 51:10; 64:10, 14; 65:16, 25; 66:2, 2; 69:8; 74:15; 88:7, 19; 90:11; 95:16; 145:5, 19; 149:3, 6, 9; 184:9; 212:22, 24; 219:2, 4; 225:25; 226:3, 14; 227:6, 8; 228:2, 9; 237:8; 265:21, 22; 271:21 overall 67:11, 12; 85:13, 18; 125:9; 150:25; 166:24; 175:7, 18; 178:2; 215:8; 248:14, 15 overseas 6:25; 125:12, 18; 198:14

oversee 199:21; 56:21; 59:17; 126:3 owed 55:16; 140:25 own 28:10, 22; 29:3; 34:10; 72:6; 90:7, 16, 19 owned 6:4, 13; 104:25 owner 64:2; 105:16; 130:10; 131:9; 134:7; 136:8; 121:20 ownership 106:20; 121:12; 192:4 owns 34:3, 8, 15, 15; 37:4

P

P-64 272:15; 274:2 P-71 275:9 p.m 118:14; 119:3; 166:5, 7; 232:11, 13; 253:6, 8; 276:25 pace 88:22, 23; 97:8 page 35:20, 21; 36:12; 39:23; 40:9; 41:19, 20, 22; 43:8; 44:5; 46:14, 14, 15; 59:2; 61:8, 22; 62:4; 80:24, 24; 81:2; 99:8; 106:19; 111:25, 25; 112:3, 5, 13, 16, 19; 113:9; 119:11; 124:15; 126:23; 128:25; 134:2; 136:25; 138:25; 139:3; 143:7; 161:9; 168:7; 170:7; 179:13; 180:21; 192:9; 206:7; 207:22, 24; 211:21; 224:3; 244:11, 18; 250:7, 15, 16; 267:7: 277:6: 61:12: 80:23; 82:11; 245:9 paid 52:18; 53:14; 89:15; 179:10; 222:22 paint 79:12, 13, 18, 18, 16 palisander 247:3 Panama 41:2, 5, 8, 11, 14 panel 77:3; 70:6; 73:16, 17, 22; 74:2, 24, 25; 76:23; 197:22; 198:5; 246:18, 19; 275:22 paper 242:10, 11 Paradise 25:22, 25; 26:24 paragraph 99:8; 106:19; 114:7; 123:2; 130:9, 13; 138:9, 10; 140:6; 145:3, 3, 5; 158:12; 159:13; 192:2, 10, 22; 206:8; 207:9, 11, 23, 23, 25 Park 22:10, 14; 43:12 part 30:20; 52:18; 181:3; 200:8; 215:12; 227:2 partial 83:9 participated 21:6; 48:13 participating 168:12 particular 49:3; 99:5; 125:10, 13 partners 210:2

14; 135:5; 192:5 pass 168:20 past 31:17; 147:22; 154:17 pattern 123:23 pay 46:5; 54:11; 106:7; 135:23; 136:22; 137:21; 138:13; 173:4; 178:24; 179:8; 201:24; 202:4; 235:16; 251:10 payable 138:10 paying 7:11; 269:3 payment 138:14; 178:20; 180:18; 181:19, 23; 182:8; 183:18, 20; 218:5, 8, 17, 19, 19; 124:12, 15; 128:20; 138:9; 181:17, 21, 25 PDF 274:5 pejorative 159:15 penalties 7:11 pending 120:17 people 50:5, 6; 72:5; 102:2; 103:17; 104:3; 118:7; 185:13; 229:13, 14, 18, 22; 241:24 Pepe 46:23, 24; 47:3, 5, 8, 14, 15; 51:9; 52:9, 14; 271:12 Per 16:14; 69:14; 209:14; 211:6; 213:20 percent 66:5, 17, 20; 68:11, 22; 115:14; 124:11, 13; 127:7, 7, 7; 128:21, 22; 129:8, 15, 21; 130:22; 138:14; 158:13; 171:13, 14, 16, 20, 22, 23; 172:6; 200:8; 212:6, 7; 213:3, 4, 11, 21; 214:11; 215:2, 10; 217:5; 227:18 percentage 64:4, 4; 70:14; 129:13, 15, 20; 171:19; 174:14, 22; 215:12 percentage-based 63:24 percentages 127:6; 129:14 perfectly 60:23; 69:17 perform 30:6; 99:9; 134:11; 135:5; 233:5; 16:9; 27:14; 131:19; 135:24; 136:9, 22; 137:22; 138:3; 232:7; 20:14; 130:2 performance 99:22 perhaps 60:19 period 96:22; 97:6: 155:22; 206:18, 19; 207:3; 234:10, 14; 259:2, 7; 271:5; 188:15; 236:4, 5, 8 permission 104:3; 105:10, 17, 25; 106:3 permits 22:4 person 6:17; 20:8; 40:4; 41:24; 42:2, 21; 53:24; 56:20; 57:14; 63:7; 65:15, 18, 20; 92:17, 20; 101:25; party 5:3; 17:7, 8; 134:10, 105:14, 15; 173:25; 39:25

personal 155:15; 156:19, 22, 25; 205:12 personally 7:14; 120:4; 156:5: 205:8 perspective 81:8, 10, 12 Ph.D 15:8, 12 phase 124:11, 16, 21; 125:2, 6, 7, 8, 14, 16, 25; 126:7, 7, 8; 127:9, 10, 11, 15; 128:3, 4, 13, 14; 129:11, 12; 151:10, 13; 159:5; 172:7; 174:21; 175:12, 15, 15, 16; 181:4; 212:6; 213:19; 214:24; 215:6, 9, 13, 255:4; 275:13, 19; 126:9, 10, 21; 127:8, 18, 24; 129:10, 13; 175:13 phone 22:20; 49:12; 51:5, 23; 65:17; 66:2; 69:8; 90:11; 97:19; 145:20; 212:23, 24; 219:3, 4 phrase 198:25 physical 276:13 physically 21:4 picture 79:4, 7, 8; 85:16, 21; 87:14; 150:25; 81:3; 87:19 piece 25:3; 87:14, 16; 101:12, 14; 205:24; 206:6; 73:13; 116:4 place 47:23; 50:16; 63:14; 97:18; 115:4; 116:21; 126:14; 142:15; 205:21; 55:16 placement 76:19 plain 79:24 plaintiff 5:5, 7; 7:15, 16; 12:6; 206:9; 260:11; 4:9; 12:12, 12; 35:15, 19; 39:2, 15; 40:17, 21; 43:4, 20; 46:9, 13; 53:9; 55:12; 58:22; 61:16; 97:25; 106:13; 108:5; 111:8; 112:21, 24; 142:24; 143:3; 144:6, 20; 153:9; 161:2, 6; 162:21; 163:18; 166:9; 178:16; 179:12; 180:10, 21, 23; 181:6, 9, 13; 182:3; 186:6; 189:10, 18; 191:4, 11, 22; 192:22; 195:10; 200:20, 24; 211:10, 13, 21; 243:14; 244:11, 15; 245:8, 9, 12, 13; 248:3; 250:15; 253:9, 13, 16; 254:5, 9, 14; 255:8; 256:20; 258:20; 259:16; 260:3; 269:7; 60:17 plan 102:21; 103:6, 10, 10, 12, 14, 15; 104:3, 4, 21; 105:21; 164:21; 203:18; 241:25; 109:23; 110:7; 275:5; 101:24; 103:23; 104:25; 105:11; 106:9; 117:8; 163:7, 8; 164:19; 272:12; 273:17; 274:5 plead 263:21

pleadings 56:19 pleasant 205:21 please 8:24: 96:23; 97:2; 107:12; 114:9; 120:20; 163:15; 166:24; 191:2; 243:17; 268:17; 274:13, 13; 91:9 plumbing 118:6; 206:13 plus 223:7 point 23:8; 24:11; 28:13; 39:11; 48:15; 81:25; 88:6; 89:24; 90:15; 116:12; 117:24; 127:22; 129:20; 133:3; 143:25; 145:9; 146:3; 147:20, 25; 148:4, 10; 152:6, 11, 20; 155:10; 167:10; 171:18; 175:20, 23; 177:16; 182:11, 14; 183:16; 184:6; 199:21; 204:4; 211:22; 218:12; 221:20; 234:22; 242:4; 247:11, 12; 45:3; 241:8; 250:7; 96:24; 99:5; 124:3, policies 225:2 poorly 92:10 portion 69:21; 129:15; 136:16, 250:23 position 77:21 possession 24:11, 12; 194:3, 4, 9; 195:2; 225:11 possibility 64:9, 13; 200:7 possible 24:10, 16, 21; 80:4; 84:4, 12, 25; 96:14; 101:9; 165:6, 15, 19; 203:5; 206:20; 207:2; 247:7; 252:14, 21 possibly 12:18; 113:8; 132:24 postdate 55:22 postgraduate 260:18 potential 170:23 practically 60:3; 184:18; 186:15 practice 226:5; 228:14 precise 78:15; 92:11; 115:11 Precisely 77:4; 89:20, 23; 102:15; 203:17 predated 274:20 predilection 262:12 preliminary 182:20 preparation 13:7; 73:22; 132:10 prepare 12:21; 60:16; 75:21, 24; 84:4; 159:8; 213:22; 86:4, 7; 106:24; 107:8; 158:20; 163:11; 199:6; 257:6; 259:23; 273:18 preparing 128:6, 8; 248:8, 21 presence 239:11 present 20:20, 25; 21:8; 38:9, 11; 47:24; 48:3;

87:23; 88:3, 4; 185:20; 214:22; 242:3; 275:5; 59:22; 83:4, 8; 87:22; 88:2; 243:8; 269:22; 133:14 presentation 172:13; 245:4; 267:8, 17, 25; pressing 151:9 pressure 71:8 prestigious 15:4 Pretty 16:11; 50:11; 52:2; 62:19; 78:3; 106:17 previous 66:22; 134:2; 158:22; 159:4, 24; 178:6; 217:4 previously 162:20; 220:14; 236:18; 254:4; 267:4; 268:14; 269:17; 273:11; 274:8 price 37:11, 15, 24; 65:9, 16, 68:11, 11, 200:8 principal 14:2, 3 printed 112:11; 226:16, 17 prior 50:22; 77:12; 86:4; 102:10; 115:23; 136:22; 137:22; 140:23; 185:4; 204:21; 222:9 priority 70:16 prison 263:16 Probably 15:13; 19:14; 23:20; 25:14; 63:7; 85:18; 93:9; 127:20; 128:10; 172:8; 203:3, 6; 204:20; 205:3, 3; 206:4; 221:10: 224:6, 21; 229:9 probe 265:23 problem 18:15; 19:24; 20:10, 11; 89:4, 16; 90:8; 118:9; 50:2; 88:24; 90:18 Procedure 9:14 process 69:12; 82:9, 13; 85:25; 97:5; 103:14; 108:14; 116:12; 125:24; 142:4 produce 36:3; 71:14; 79:15; 207:3; 230:6; 206:17; 225:25; 229:16; 265:18, 19 producing 78:9; 275:22 product 30:18; 72:11; 184:22; 189:15; 191:17; 213:9 production 70:22; 196:19; 217:11; 265:10; 275:19; 276:9 professional 99:10: 171:2; 207:11; 214:20; 231:25; 240:5; 261:4, 7; 67:5; 130:19; 239:18; progress 99:11; 147:19; 158:7; 159:19, 22; 187:13, 21; 215:7, 11; 88:23; 148:2 project 22:5, 23; 47:11; 48:7, 10, 12, 16, 19, 23; 49:7; 53:25; 59:9, 16, 17;

60:5; 63:25; 64:10, 14, 18, 24; 65:3, 25; 67:14; 70:2, 13, 23; 73:11; 74:5; 79:20; 81:24; 82:6; 85:6; 92:22, 25; 93:2, 22, 24; 96:3, 16; 97:9; 100:23; 101:22; 102:10; 104:9, 12; 106:15, 24; 115:21, 24; 118:4; 120:14; 121:19; 124:11, 17; 126:16, 16; 129:8, 11; 134:9; 135:3; 136:7; 138:4; 147:20; 149:3, 7, 10; 150:23, 24; 158:11, 20, 24; 167:22; 168:3; 169:23; 170:14; 172:5; 173:6, 8, 15, 18; 174:6, 8, 11, 15, 22; 175:14, 19, 25; 178:2; 184:17; 188:19, 21, 21; 190:15; 191:18; 193:11, 19; 195:13; 198:25; 199:14; 200:13; 201:17; 203:16; 206:11; 216:9, 17; 220:25; 222:19; 226:12; 228:12; 229:8; 230:11; 231:7; 234:22; 235:11, 18; 246:22; 252:12; 266:19; 268:8; 269:25; 271:6, 18; 276:12, 14; 28:23; 29:3, 5; 63:9; 68:2, 3; 178:6 promise 196:12; 202:18; 216:11; 143:12 promising 159:25 property 33:24; 34:5; 106:23 proposal 103:20; 168:17; 170:6; 172:23; 177:10, 12, 15, 23; 178:9, 11; 67:8; 114:8, 12, 15; 116:18; 177:11, 15 proposed 69:7; 88:9; proposing 67:5; 177:23, prospective 194:8, 19; 202:24; 209:2, 5, 12, 16; 210:20; 211:5 proved 203:19 provide 13:16, 19; 27:8, 10, 13, 17; 33:15; 66:19; 75:13; 76:15, 16; 88:7; 99:19; 116:7; 125:23; 130:11; 131:9, 23; 132:2; 140:13; 161:12; 176:9; 269:12; 27:19; 30:10; 31:11; 57:9; 59:3, 16; 74:17; 76:3, 7; 92:14; 93:5; 110:11; 130:23; 162:4; 166:14; 211:12; 235:10; 236:9, 12, 22; 237:3, 13; 243:21; 244:4, 6; 252:6; 272:21; 275:24; 129:25; providing 31:9; 85:19; 87:4; 133:7; 229:5; 230:3 provision 121:22; 122:6, 10, 19; 131:18; 134:25; 130:7, 16

19; 37:6, 24; 38:4, 20; 39:12; 22:19; 23:22, 23; 28:5, 8; 37:9; 38:7; 40:14; 54:12; 59:10; 61:8, 13; 63:3; 94:19; 95:10; 102:13; 202:12, 25; 204:25; 205:4, 18 purely 86:19 purpose 35:5 push 151:13 put 57:2; 121:3; 145:22; 172:2; 199:25; 226:11; 227:10; 241:13

quote 115:5

quite 44:24; 65:19; 78:4;

quickly 188:8; 266:4;

153:11; 158:10; 218:9

267.6

ranging 67:9 rate 68:24; 130:17, 18; 138:13; 211:5 reach 114:20, 22: 183:12 reaction 139:22; 151:15 read 62:20; 107:12, 13, 19; 111:11, 23; 120:21; 121:7; 130:13, 14; 134:3; 136:10, 15; 190:25; 207:24; 238:6, 9, 12, 21, 23; 274:13; 277:2; 113:23; 164:13; 207:7 ready 82:2; 158:14; 162:2; 195:6; 216:13; 223:4 real 168:4, 10, 12; 169:24; 205:24: 206:6 realistic 202:16; 203:7, 9 realized 148:8; 182:18 really 4:19; 15:3, 24; 20:2; 48:11, 13; 90:24; 91:14, 15; 127:21; 150:22; 165:5; 207:7; 208:10; 218:11; 237:7 reask 37:21: 136:19 reason 66:19; 90:24; 103:5; 145:21, 23; 153:20, 135:9; 188:11 reasonable 24:25; 103:7 recall 48:22; 82:8, 12; 88:6, 12; 92:15; 98:25: 105:9, 13; 114:11, 18; 120:2; 121:2, 4; 126:13; 139:13; 140:3; 143:10; 147:18; 161:13; 169:6, 16, 18; 178:19; 181:22; 191:19; 212:23; 216:22; 218:2; 268:11; 269:22 receive 14:24; 71:19;

117:12; 129:25; 130:21; 131:21; 145:6; 231:10; 15:11; 52:10, 14; 67:7; 82:13; 89:8; 124:12; 140:24; 160:12, 21, 21; 180:6; 214:19, 20; 218:4; 219:9, 16; 221:3; 226:20, 22; 235:22; 253:24; 260:17 receiving 211:23; 212:2, 16; 218:23 recently 102:17; 230:8 recess 53:2; 118:15: 166:6; 232:12; 253:7 recognize 36:5; 39:8, 25; 40:11, 23; 43:9; 53:9; 55:13; 61:16; 98:3; 108:5, 9; 111:8; 119:13; 138:21; 139:17; 140:18; 157:19; 162:22; 163:18; 166:9; 167:14; 176:4; 178:16; 186:6; 211:13; 243:15; 253:13, 20; 256:21; 259:17; 260:4; 267:19; 269:20; 273:16 recollection 19:11, 18; 37:23; 51:6; 69:23: 109:20; 110:3, 6; 113:14, 24; 114:2; 139:23; 147:15; 148:20; 153:12; 154:21; 162:15; 186:25; 190:14; 268:2 recommended 90:6 reconcile 177:2 record 8:20; 40:8; 45:4; 57:2; 62:20; 97:21, 23; 105:23; 107:13, 19; 111:23; 119:10; 120:21; 137:16, 17, 18; 143:2; 148:23, 24; 166:3, 4; 181:6, 13; 229:12, 21, 24; 233:14; 238:12; 243:11, 12; 250:13; 253:17; 264:16; 274:10 redo 83:10, 13, 16 redrafting 139:5; 274:12 reduced 142:2, 3; 172:14 refer 129:14; 159:18; 209:5; 9:13; 19:23; 22:14: 44:9; 53:17; 160:5; 181:5, 8; 243:21; 113:9, 22; 151:24; 180:23 reference 272:20; 274:15; 53:18; 113:18; 273:25; 267:8 referring 13:22: 16:2: 35:23; 52:8; 53:21; 58:21; 109:10; 114:12; 130:4; 131:12; 149:19; 158:22; 159:23; 173:17; 174:3; 180:10, 16, 17; 182:4, 9, 24; 197:18, 18; 199:17; 213:6; 218:17; 230:18; 238:19, 20; 239:5; 241:3, 10; 243:23; 244:2, 10; 245:7; 246:16; 247:10; 250:14; 255:12, 14; 274:16; 275:3 refined 246:4, 13

refinery 29:7, 8, 11, 14: 30:14, 19 refining 30:12 reflects 113:11 refresh 113:14; 114:2; 139:23; 148:20; 190:13; 268:2; 153:12; 113:24 refrigeration 26:14 refuse 264:22; 6:4 regard 133:23; 167:17; 186:24; 193:14; 57:12, 15; 113:12; 142:21; 186:12; 209:16; 217:22 registered 41:4 regret 264:19 regular 188:25 reimbursable 213:24; 217:16 reimbursements 130:4 rejected 102:25 relate 5:16; 11:4, 12: 45:21, 38:3; 125:9; 159:17; 225:6, 16, 19; 226:12; 229:7; 269:3; 254:8 relating 160:22 relationship 16:20; 17:15, 16, 23; 18:17; 21:16; 155:15, 23; 189:7 relative 56:19 relay 50:4; 82:24 released 276:12 relied 20:3 relocate 109:8, 19, 23 relocation 109:21 remain 106:22; 189:3 remember 4:20: 6:3: 20:23; 23:12, 12; 28:4; 36:23; 37:8; 48:25; 49:19; 51:8; 63:2; 65:12; 69:13, 14, 21, 25; 70:7; 73:15; 83:2, 6, 8; 88:20; 90:14; 91:10, 13; 99:4; 102:14; 105:8; 109:14, 20; 110:23; 140:9, 10; 147:17; 148:17; 150:3, 6; 153:6, 19; 154:7, 11; 157:14, 15, 16; 158:3; 161:25; 162:12; 164:8; 168:9, 16, 19; 169:5, 14: 180:8; 181:17, 20; 208:18; 212:5; 216:11; 218:13, 14, 18, 20; 219:14; 224:22; 243:18, 20; 246:23; 267:23; 270:17, 19; 271:20; 272:17 reminding 275:17 remotely 213:13 removed 149:22 rendered 147:4 rendering 76:13; 78:22; 85:6, 11; 88:5; 160:18; 167:7; 244:12, 18; 245:23, 24; 246:18, 21; 248:2; 249:23; 250:17, 23; 251:2, 3; 74:20, 23; 77:13, 16; 78:10, 17, 24; 79:4; 80:7;

purchase 27:25; 36:8, 9,

Public 4:5; 105:23

81:16, 21; 82:2; 83:5, 25; 84:4, 12, 22, 22; 85:14; 86:4, 7; 89:3, 8, 9, 14; 90:9, 20, 22; 93:5; 114:25; 116:16, 17; 124:19; 125:11; 128:9; 132:10, 17, 20, 25; 133:7, 10, 14, 20; 140:13, 15; 149:15; 150:20; 159:8, 10; 160:15; 166:16; 170:9, 14, 20; 171:14; 184:21; 191:17; 207:12, 13, 18, 20; 213:23; 215:23; 216:2; 217:5; 236:10; 239:20; 242:6, 8; 243:23, 24, 25; 245:14, 17, 18; 247:8, 22, 25; 248:4, 5, 8, 11, 21; 249:9, 10, 13, 16, 20, 23; 251:7; 256:17; 268:22, 23; 269:8, 13, 19; 270:7, 12, 20; 274:16, 17, 19; 276:3, 10 renovate 25:7; 43:15; 95:22; 205:14; 49:4; 188:8 renovating 23:13; 25:10; 62:7, 12 renovation 24:7, 10; 25:24; 26:3, 4, 15, 19, 20, 24; 27:3, 7, 24; 28:14; 29:17; 38:24; 46:6; 47:6; 52:19; 53:14; 54:12, 25; 59:4; 61:8; 66:5; 74:9; 86:5; 94:15; 95:16; 96:9, 12; 97:7; 99:16; 101:10; 105:2; 117:18; 187:22; 200:18; 204:14, 21, 24; 205:5, 7, 9; 232:8; 234:22; 56:22, 24; 73:11 rent 201:24; 203:22; 204:9; 210:19; 211:4; 209:6 repair 170:15 repeat 27:12; 32:13; 76:5; 80:21; 101:13; 120:19; 141:17; 228:20; 248:10; 275:16 rephrase 8:25; 96:25; 142:19; 219:25 replacement 208:7 reporter 8:6, 20; 37:20 represent 36:2; 55:24; 60:21; 95:3; 229:12; 266:8; 55:23; 56:25; 57:3; representations 56:10, 14, 18; 58:3, 5 representative 11:5, 9, 15, 18, 21; 12:3 request 44:18; 45:2; 130:10; 131:9, 20; 215:16; 247:2 require 127:15; 206:14; 73:11; 120:13; 123:21; 130:3, 24; 132:10, 17, 21; 137:21; 138:13, 15; 174:20; 265:19; 127:9 resend 274:6 reserve 265:10; 121:10

222:20 residential 25:15; 63:8 resolve 254:18, 21; 142:22, 23; 255:24 resolving 265:9 respect 44:14, 18; 82:11; 117:20; 123:25; 126:6; 136:4; 140:12; 153:13; 160:8; 166:18; 219:19; 228:14, 16; 231:17; 234:4; 235:22; 236:7; 254:18 respond 66:9; 141:2; 145:16; 157:22, 25; 265:21; 136:12; 158:3; 254:16 response 214:8; 247:5; 223:10 responsibilities 175:19 responsibility 148:11; 151:16 responsible 10:2; 56:20; 95:19;96:15 rest 65:25; 70:23; 73:23; 174:8 restate 189:23 restaurant 6:4, 7; 26:13, 22; 25:14, 17, 21; 29:3; 85:10:86:3.5 result 6:24; 158:9; 204:13; 210:19; 263:17 resumed 119:4 retain 121:9; 226:5; 195:12 return 39:21; 83:24; 190:12; 27:5; 106:18; 129:9; 133:25; 154:12; 182:2 review 13:3; 82:2; 93:5; 199:7; 254:5 revised 113:8, 10 revisions 173:3; 215:15 rid 20:13; 60:2 right 9:6; 12:5; 17:21; 22:9, 13; 36:4; 52:23; 57:25; 58:2; 60:17; 61:22; 68:23; 76:20, 23, 24; 77:19; 79:17, 25; 85:23; 86:2; 95:6; 97:12; 98:13; 104:21; 106:8; 107:21; 112:8; 121:20, 23; 123:12, 18; 127:8; 135:16; 142:18; 146:24; 160:2; 162:8; 165:2; 177:22; 183:14; 185:14, 19, 22, 24; 188:4, 23; 195:16; 197:5; 198:14, 15; 204:25; 205:2; 207:11; 210:5; 213:21; 224:11; 225:14; 232:4; 233:2, 3, 3; 238:2; 244:10; 255:15; 264:11, 25; 39:12; 121:10; 265:11 right-hand 239:2 Robert 33:13; 44:8; 108:23; 153:15; 184:11 role 82:5

room 79:8; 81:6, 13; 83:9;

145:4, 8, 8, 11, 11; 146:21,

22; 198:6; 207:21; 216:3; 241:11; 247:22, 25; 248:3, 4, 5; 249:7, 8; 250:17, 19; 91:18; 145:6, 10, 18; 146:4, 5, 6; 150:2; 159:18, 20; 198:9, 9; 249:6 roughly 154:18 Rowland 191:12 Rule 9:13; 12:13; 57:4; 8:4;9:14 run 22:4 Russia 18:3; 50:7; 54:7; 55:7; 68:2; 209:7 S **S.A** 36:16, 19, 22; 37:2; 39:12 safe 205:20 safer 209:9 **Sale** 35:16; 37:11, 14; 95:11 same 6:17; 42:19; 45:3; 49:14; 51:9; 59:13; 61:4, 11, 13, 14; 81:22; 102:15, 16; 103:15; 114:5; 116:19, 20; 126:20, 23; 137:3; 142:6; 160:16, 16, 18; 204:21; 205:4; 207:8; 213:11; 217:7, 14, 14; 220:2, 13; 228:15; 231:21; 245:25; 246:2, 6, 12, 13, 16; 250:2; 252:2; 255:2; 259:2, 3, 4; 270:13; 271:13, 14 samples 76:16; 85:19; 88:8, 11, 12 satisfied 167:6 saving 227:22 saw 21:14; 38:9; 40:24; 52:3; 75:25; 80:22, 24; 90:12; 159:3; 164:4; 191:21; 207:14; 211:15; 213:5; 226:23; 236:14, 15, 25; 238:13; 239:10; 241:8; 256:10, 13, 16; 259:11, 22, 25; 260:5; 271:25; 272:4, 7 saying 56:11, 12; 87:13, 25: 91:13: 99:3: 123:6; 145:17; 147:23; 179:25; 218:5; 241:4; 246:11, 11 scale 86:23 scenario 73:5; 203:19 schedule 148:9; 195:4, 5; 275:5, 7; 106:21 schematic 124:16, 18; 126:6; 127:10; 128:3; 129:11; 174:21; 200:14. 17; 213:7; 214:11, 25; 219:21 school 14:15, 16, 18;

15:17,4

screen 149:23

scroll 207:9, 10

search 225:18

second 6:11; 53:7; 97:22;

111:25; 112:4; 113:9; 117:13; 121:8; 138:25; 145:4; 148:23; 170:7; 180:17, 18, 21, 22, 23; 181:22; 190:19; 191:8; 192:2, 9, 10; 218:17; 243:11; 250:16; 257:18; 170:19, 21; 207:8 Secretarial 42:13 section 121:8; 134:4 seeing 110:23; 132:25; 143:20, 24; 147:17; 161:25; 162:13; 164:8; 180:8; 243:18; 267:23; 270:17, 19 seek 230:10; 231:5 seem 60:13; 25:3; 189:25 sees 69:18 send 50:4; 69:9; 70:17; 90:10; 140:6, 8; 143:8; 144:12; 155:6; 161:20; 162:16; 179:22; 184:12; 191:14; 69:7; 147:4, 23; 154:13; 162:9; 164:16, 21; 180:3; 181:25 Senor 184:4,5 sense 15:10; 77:19; 86:25; 146:20; 159:15 sent 90:9; 123:6, 22; 140:22; 141:23; 143:10, 13; 151:20, 25; 154:9; 155:4, 8; 158:19; 161:23; 164:7; 179:19, 23; 180:2, 4, 19; 181:15, 18; 182:22; 218:7; 219:6, 7; 226:17; 274:5 sentence 121:8; 131:8, 11; 134:3, 6; 136:5; 139:2; 191:25; 192:10, 23; 195:11; 200:12; 18:8 separate 137:10; 227:20; 260:7; 155:10, 22 separating 156:4, 13 separation 157:4 September 22:7; 23:19; 74:14; 113:2; 119:23; 144:11; 163:9; 172:3; 206:22; 221:16; 274:20; 275:7 September-October 202:22 series 113:6; 264:16; 269:19 seriously 184:8 serve 263:16; 57:9; 223:12 service 103:17; 106:22; 121:21; 13:16, 19; 16:9; 19:25; 27:14, 18; 30:7, 11; 31:9, 11; 42:6, 13; 48:7; 54:11; 64:7; 65:9; 88:25; 89:17; 99:9; 104:16; 130:2, 11, 16, 23; 131:10, 13, 20, 23; 132:2, 12, 16; 135:23; 136:9, 22; 137:21; 138:3; 181:2, 4; 213:17, 18; 214:3, 5; 217:15; 219:23; 232:7; 235:10

serving 10:5 session 114:14; 119:2 set 139:25; 140:12; 206:22; 257:6; 266:18, 20; 267:2; 270:16; 272:2, 5, 8, 11; 273:17 sets 135:2; 247:8 **Setting 257:17** seven 134:8; 203:11 seventy 66:15 several 4.23; 18:11; 114:14; 209:17; 214:22 shades 246:15 shaking 8:21 shall 99:9; 106:22; 121:9, 21; 130:11; 131:9; 136:8 shapes 84:6; 86:23 **share** 175:6, 8, 13, 14, 18 sheet 267:17; 266:22, 24 ship 70:7 Shop 6:10 short 97:15 shortly 158:6; 217:23; 257:14 shot 239:7 show 12:17; 35:24; 80:9; 84:5, 14; 85:22, 23; 87:8; 245:14; 252:5; 267:4, 14; 268:13; 269:17; 270:6; 273:11; 274:7; 85:2; 89:25; 102:21; 258:25; 259:6; 83:25; 147:4; 243:13; 245:11, 12; 259:15 shown 58:23; 266:10; 268:3; 269:6; 272:14; 275:9; 277:5 sic 253:12 sides 134:23 sian 79:15; 98:9; 108:15; 110:19; 119:18, 20, 22; 133:9; 36:18, 24; 69:10; 106:12; 107:24; 108:13; 110:18; 120:8; 126:17; 147:25; 196:13; 206:21; 217:6; 36:22; 102:10; 120:3 signature 36:13; 39:22; 110:24; 111:2 significantly 172:13 signoff 217:9 silly 60:13 similar 15:5, 11; 50:6; 105:2; 231:20; 247:13, 19; 268:3 similarities 247:12 similarity 247:10 simply 64:20; 101:5; 124:3; 149:22; 165:16, 20; 170:16; 196:11; 218:12 single 200:13, 17; 228:19; 266:22, 23 sitting 9:20; 33:8; 69:22; 117:25; 147:18; 154:4, 20;

162:14; 188:3; 193:7;

residence 221:2, 5, 9;

216:21; 219:18; 220:10 situation 112:15 six 16:24; 96:4, 14; 117:2 six-month 97:6 **sixty** 64:8 sketch 159:17, 25; 160:25; 70:18; 71:15; 270:7, 22 skill 99:11 slow 78:9; 92:22; 99:24; 123:25; 93:24; 97:5; 92:25; 93:22 small 14:14, 14 social 16:17 socially 52:6 soft 173:22 software 173:22; 174:5 sole 106:23 somebody 11:20, 23, 25; 239:11 someone 15:6; 20:5; 24:13; 51:17; 69:17; 76:17; 89:3; 90:4; 92:16; 110:15, 19; 144:24; 204:4; 213:22; 217:4; 242:25; 243:4 sometime 4:25; 23:18; 74:5; 190:2; 193:19; 195:7, 20; 199:15; 208:11, 21; 257:21; 276:12; 22:7; 44:24; 49:25; 88:10; 91:24; 93:4, 11; 113:23; 156:21; 172:22 somewhere 18:4; 154:8; 218:5; 223:13; 242:19 son 54:19 son-in-law 156:22 soon 24:10, 16; 101:6; sorry 6:20: 13:25: 25:12: 43:25; 71:24; 76:5; 80:21; 84:9; 100:17, 20; 101:13; 161:15; 228:20; 242:23; 244:23 sort 56:2; 116:4, 11; 117:25; 150:23 sound 37:10; 95:6 source 209:15; 102:15 south 81:10, 11 Soviet 261:15 speak 7:7; 9:23; 10:23; 11:16; 16:10; 49:11; 50:18; 59:9; 66:13, 23; 82:6; 103:18; 195:24; 217:10; 233:25; 9:22, 25; 10:7; 56:15; 185:14; 138:17 specific 24:18; 69:24; 86:22; 102:8; 268:11; 269:23 specifically 47:19; 56:5; 80:14; 217:22 specifications 106:21 specified 60:7 speculate 23:4, 7; 109:5;

123:9; 235:12 speculation 82:21; 105:6; 169:11; 240:16; 251:9; 252:19; 253:2; 258:17 speed 108:14 spell 7:4, 6, 7; 194:13 spend 15:23; 29:17; 63:5; 172:22 spent 62:7, 10; 65:23; 172:15 spoke 24:5; 105:14; 149:20; 164:17 spoken 8:19; 217:21 spring 49:14; 51:6, 24; 203:3 stage 47:9; 63:14; 142:4; 174:15; 173:18 stamped 35:25; 43:8; 44:6 **stamping** 112:18 stand 119:4; 35:25 standard 107:5 standpoint 170:24; 173:19 start 7:11; 8:12; 71:20; 94:14; 162:9; 177:18; 196:19; 208:10; 234:9; 237:11; 70:12, 20; 117:18; 146:23; 244:8; 195:23; 211:17, 20 state 149:18; 151:23; 200:25; 18:4; 28:25; 99:8; 106:20; 113:10; 114:6; 128:21; 130:16; 134:6; 136:6; 140:6; 143:7, 15; 145:5; 147:3; 158:12; 160:7; 161:10, 19; 164:16; 170:8; 172:11; 175:5; 190:11: 192:10: 195:11: 200:12; 206:8; 261:17, 20; 263:12, 22; 264:6, 10 statement 57:10: 58:2: 66:10; 143:17; 172:18; 175:12; 192:6; 193:4; 195:16, 17; 197:14; 208:2, 2.3 stating 4:2 status 11:11 statutory 121:9 stay 206:4: 51:11; 47:23; 137:3 steal 241:24 step 72:20; 158:23 Steve 63:21: 217:21 Steven 65:10; 217:21; 65:12 still 19:2; 30:13; 47:10; 106:19; 147:23; 151:24; 158:16; 160:3; 175:12, 12; 184:16; 186:13; 188:3; 197:11; 256:7; 265:8; 269:25 stipulate 12:6; 158:13

188:20; 231:2; 235:22; 276:11 store 227:19 story 102:23 straight 79:19; 240:19 Street 4:3; 6:8 strict 64:22 stuff 72:6; 198:18; 275:23 subcontracted 118:7 subcontractors 118:5, 8 subject 16:5; 22:11; 78:5, 7; 193:24; 227:12; 277:5 submit 71:16; 172:23; 177:10; 178:9; 207:17; 215:23; 216:2; 274:4 submitting 159:10; 207:20 Subscribed 277:16 substance 45:13; 78:7 substantially 134:11; 135:5 sue 6:21 sued 6:14 suede 246:3, 14, 19; 247:20 suffer 210:18 sufficient 25:6, 8 sufficiently 89:18: 159:19; 161:22 suggested 108:13, 15 suing 5:17, 20 suit 11:24 suitable 208:7 sum 37:10; 78:6 summarize 96:25 super 119:11 supervised 252:11 supplier 6:16, 15 supply 248:7 supposed 24:2; 70:21; 73:16; 74:24; 133:4; 145:10; 232:25 sure 5:25; 7:6; 17:8; 20:22; 21:2; 22:16; 31:21; 32:14; 36:23; 37:12, 18; 49:6, 18; 67:24; 69:19; 76:10; 78:3; 81:22, 25; 84:11; 85:4; 86:17; 87:6, 6, 21; 88:16; 92:9; 94:21; 96:20; 101:14; 106:16, 16; 115:19; 118:13; 141:12; 145:19; 152:5; 160:17, 20; 170:5; 182:10; 202:8; 206:20; 211:3; 218:11, 22; 224:10; 228:22; 232:24; 233:13; 248:11; 255:7; 266:13 Surgei 54:18; 55:4 surrendered 54:3 suspended 134:9; 135:4 suspension 122:25; 134:2; 136:7

sworn 4:5; 277:16

T T-E-N-A 194:22 talented 207:15 talk 45:13; 90:11; 168:3, 4; 11:10; 69:15; 117:22; 145:3, 24; 160:16; 208:13; 209:21; 242:22 target 195:24; 196:17; 197:9; 206:22 taste 63:10; 94:9; 246:9; 248:16 tax 262:17 technical 74:25; 78:15; 84:5, 14; 85:2, 7; 172:10 telephone 45:18, 19; 127:4; 184:10; 275:16 telling 20:15; 183:16, 19; 184:7, 209:12, 14; 210:10; 229:22 Temper 59:3 Tempor 68:8 Tempora 190:3 ten 25:5; 51:12; 96:9; 226:4, 4 tenancy 209:16 tenant 5:18, 19; 194:2, 5, 8, 17, 19, 21, 22, 25; 201:12, 20, 23; 202:5, 13, 25; 203:22; 204:4, 9; 206:3; 209:2, 5, 12, 23; 210:10, 11, 14, 20; 211:5; 194:16 tentative 183:5 tenth 108:21 term 7:5; 79:2; 107:3; 15:7; 32:11; 63:16; 68:25; 69:11, 24; 71:13; 98:21, 25; 99:24; 113:7, 15, 22; 114:3; 134:12; 144:5; 173:20; 174:20; 210:23; 213:18 terminate 18:16; 19:5; 20:16; 123:13, 18; 134:7, 17, 23; 135:16, 22; 142:8, 10, 14; 148:15; 152:12, 15, 17, 20, 25; 153:16; 154:19, 22, 24; 155:7, 11, 24; 156:22; 182:12, 21; 183:6, 21; 19:13, 19; 21:15; 28:19; 31:6, 11; 47:9; 121:25; 122:15; 136:21; 137:20; 184:22; 187:23; 188:9; 192:16; 219:10; 257:14, 18, 23; 258:11, 14; 259:8 terminating 123:6; 148:12; 152:9; 183:7, 10; 184:6; 185:8; 188:4 termination 19:16; 115:23; 121:20; 122:25;

134:2; 135:2; 136:6, 23;

137:22; 148:19; 153:15;

237:15

terrible 205:16

154:6; 184:12, 25; 185:4;

territory 187:17 testified 4:6; 35:9; 53:13; 65:8; 88:21; 95:4; 96:7; 116:10; 119:5; 149:19; 176:19; 186:23; 193:6, 9; 195:18; 202:23; 231:19; 232:14, 18; 233:4, 8, 11, 15; 260:6, 11, 20; 261:2 testifyina 9:9 testimony 77:8; 80:4; 84:3; 96:24, 25; 97:4, 11; 131:18; 153:4; 154:3, 15; 160:11; 164:23; 176:21, 24; 177:3, 7; 193:14; 198:24; 218:22; 241:16; 244:14, 17; 245:16; 248:4; 260:8; 266:14; 277:3 therefore 64:3; 124:9, 9, thickness 76:19 thinking 103:11; 147:19; 148:5; 155:6; 183:7; 248:8 Third 25:22; 168:6; 179:13; 195:10; 244:11 though 6:5; 25:9; 155:14 thought 37:12; 71:2; 110:20; 152:24; 194:20; 195:18; 248:20; 153:6 thousand 177:20 Three 18:2; 26:18, 18; 42:19; 63:3; 67:7; 68:5; 69:14; 70:6, 8; 71:6; 95:16, 20; 96:12, 15; 126:9, 10, 21; 127:8, 18, 24; 156:15; 166:18, 21; 167:2; 206:3; 207:8, 10, 21; 215:10, 23; 220:14; 229:13, 17 three-dimensional 78:16, 22 three-month 73:19 thus 135:24; 151:25 tight 71:9, 10; 74:5; 193:13 tile 206:10, 14 time-consuming 173:19; 174:9 timeline 71:13; 72:18, 20; 74:3, 4; 102:9; 193:10, 11, 12; 197:8 timely 101:8 times 4:21, 22; 5:10; 16:12, 13; 18:2; 45:14, 15, 16; 47:15; 49:12; 52:3; 65:19; 91:25; 137:11; 158:10, 21; 209:18; 236:3; timewise 172:8 today 9:4, 9, 21; 10:8, 17, 20; 11:9, 11, 21; 12:22; 13:7; 22:13; 23:8; 28:11; 35:24; 53:13, 21; 55:22, 23, 25; 57:8; 60:12; 69:22; 77:23; 79:3; 118:7; 140:18; 143:9; 147:18;

stop 28:20; 96:23; 97:2;

28:14; 31:4, 9; 48:15; 97:9;

176:21; 186:24; 188:3;

149:20; 154:4, 20; 162:14;

220:11; 221:25; 222:3; 227:23; 229:20; 232:18; 233:4, 9, 15; 236:4; 260:21; 261:2; 265:12, 16; 272:15, 18 together 15:23; 20:23; 65:24; 116:4; 143:16, 20; 144:9; 271:13; 272:11 toilet 177:20 told 18:15; 19:12; 20:10, 12; 21:15; 38:19; 44:17; 55:25; 57:5; 58:15; 77:9; 101:19; 102:23; 104:16; 110:19; 156:15; 165:17; 178:8; 202:18; 203:6, 15; 218:3; 275:12 Tom 7:19 tomorrow 92:8; 143:9; 147:6 took 50:23; 66:2; 93:16; 95:16, 20; 96:12; 102:24; 126:14; 142:15; 170:15; 188:11; 215:10; 226:24; 230:6; 248:25, 25 tool 217:8, 9; 116:21 top 112:25; 143:4; 144:21; 161:7; 168:7; 189:19; 241:9; 253:17 topic 58:8, 11 total 62:5, 5; 182:19 totally 192:18, 18; 226:8; 227:21 tov 58:9, 12 towards 41:20, 22: 46:15; 114:6; 140:5; 143:6; 168:7; 172:11; 190:10 town 14:15; 271:18 trading 6:13 transcript 277:4 transfer 52:10, 15; 192:4; 225:11 traveling 93:10 treatments 132:5 tremendous 170:9 Tri 199:18 trial 18:15; 60:16, 17 Triarch 19:2, 6, 9, 12, 19; 20:13, 16: 21:16: 28:19: 31:5, 10; 47:9; 59:23; 60:2; 63:22; 66:3; 75:21, 24; 77:9; 87:23; 88:7, 7, 18; 89:5, 18; 90:19; 91:5; 92:14; 93:6, 12; 95:19; 96:3, 13, 15; 97:4, 6; 113:7; 115:10; 116:9, 17; 117:13; 119:17; 120:13; 121:3, 11, 25; 122:5; 123:6; 126:9; 127:25; 129:16, 25; 130:21; 131:5, 19, 23; 132;2; 133;10, 18; 136:22; 137:21, 22; 140:7, 13; 142:5, 8, 10; 144:25; 145:9, 16; 146:3, 21; 147:2, 10; 148:15; 151:25; 152:12, 15, 17, 20; 153:2, 17; 154:19; 155:12;

157:12; 163:3; 171:20; 172:2; 173:12, 14; 174:23; 175:23; 177:24, 25; 178:11, 13; 181:15; 182:12; 183:6, 10, 21; 184:16; 185:4, 8, 14; 187:23; 188:4, 9; 191:14; 192:3, 11, 13, 15, 16, 24; 193:2; 195:11; 199:18; 200:3; 202:19; 206:20; 207:3; 211:6; 214:16; 217:18; 219:11; 221:11; 222:13; 224:4, 9, 13, 15; 232:6, 18, 25; 233:9, 16; 235:18; 236:9, 12; 240:24; 241:17; 242:3, 13, 24; 244:4, 7, 245:7; 248:7, 19; 249:12, 22; 251:6; 252:6; 254:8; 255:16, 25; 257:14, 19, 23; 258:10, 13; 259:7; 266:18, 20, 268:4, 7; 269:24; 270:25; 275:24; 276:12; 19:25; 65:9; 88:22, 25; 89:17; 90:20; 91:9; 115:13; 122:13; 128:22; 139:25; 150:19; 151:6; 162:4, 17; 175:18; 184:21; 185:8; 193:3; 233:20, 24; 234:6, 12, 16; 239:20; 240:4, 8, 13; 244:17; 245:16, 23; 247:14, 24; 248:4, 11; 251:2, 17, 21, 24; 252:15, 22; 258:14, 21; 259:10; 272:2 Triarch-Medallion

206:21; 233:2 Tribeca 169:23

Tribeca-related 169:22 trigger 125:14

troubled 48:6

true 110:21; 117:20; 172:18; 192:6; 193:4; 200:14, 15; 208:2, 3, 5, 6; 235:21; 249:6; 250:2; 277:4

Truly 214:9 truth 262:13; 263:3, 4 try 8:8; 96:24; 215:23; 266:3.9

trving 15:3: 67:16: 76:21: 77:22; 116:3; 127:17, 22; 151:11; 167:11; 172:21; 241:14; 242:8

Turn 40:7; 66:21; 124:14; 179:12; 220:21; 226:2, 14; 65:3; 67:5; 225:24; 227:6, 8; 237:8; 36:12; 41:19; 52:7; 58:19; 95:10; 99:7; 111:24; 121:6; 122:24; 138:7, 24, 25; 160:4; 168:6; 180:20; 183:15; 192:21; 206:7; 207:22; 212:12; 216:24; 219:5; 220:24; 228:2

TV 150:6 Two 5:9, 10; 6:17; 25:13, 17, 20; 28:18; 31:5, 10; 45:14; 51:22; 69:14; 70:6; 71:23, 24; 72:2, 10; 73:8; 86:3; 123:25; 134:13, 16; 135:3, 4, 9, 14; 137:10; 154:16; 170:25; 181:17; 197:5; 213:5; 215:23; 216:2; 232:10; 260:7, 10; 275:8

two-page 39:2; 189:10; 253:9

type 17:22; 79:13; 85:6; 23:14; 84:4; 86:21; 130:19 typical 92:17, 19

U

U.S 7:22, 23; 50:24; 51:2; 62:16 **Ukraine** 14:11, 15; 50:14; 260:17 ultimate 63:25; 217:8, 9 ultimately 37:6; 40:14; 60:15; 115:18; 150:9; 179:8 under 4:6; 9:13; 39:12; 41:2; 42:20; 67:13; 71:8; 72:16, 16, 18, 20; 77:5; 128:19; 138:15; 174:19, 23; 195:4; 197:8; 218:16; 232:19; 233:2, 6, 17 Underneath 42:5, 12 understandable 214:2 understands 192:24 understood 38:14; 71:3, 7; 107:6; 129:22; 213:19; 214:2; 216:15; 217:15 Unfortunately 60:19, 20; 61:3 unhappy 88:22 Union 261:15 unique 90:25; 91:2 Unit 35:17; 18:3; 28:25; 261:17, 19; 263:12, 22; 264:6, 10 Unitrade 42:24; 43:2, 11, 18; 44:14, 18; 45:6 unless 107:8; 114:24 unlikely 154:5 unloaded 7:10 unreasonable 24:25 unrelated 204:23 up 116:18 upon 57:9; 71:9; 111:18; 121:19; 155:23; 200:9; 214:20; 255:25; 263:3 upset 64:18; 65:2, 5; 157:4 use 48:7; 79:11, 12; 104:2, 4, 15; 105:11, 18, 20; 106:8, 20; 107:7; 108:25; 121:7, 21, 23;

122:13; 150:15; 171:3;

15; 249:4, 12

191:16; 228:11; 247:7, 14,

used 43:15; 85:20; 87:5,

9; 89:14; 192:14, 25, 25;

193:13; 203:17; 206:2, 3; 228:24; 243:4; 247:3, 12; 248:19; 265:15 using 79:2; 88:9; 104:11;

192:12; 246:2, 6; 247:17; 255:18 usual 66:7,7

Uzbekistan 29:9, 9; 30:14

v

V-U-C-K-O-V-I-C 53:20 vacation 154:8; 158:16 value 204:12; 205:6, 9 various 16:16; 84:6, 7, 15; 236:8; 239:18 Vekselberg 14:4; 16:23; 17:12, 23, 25; 18:7, 18; 19:23, 24; 20:17; 21:10, 12, 19, 24; 23:17; 24:5, 15; 27:6; 29:13; 34:9, 14; 64:15, 23; 65:2; 148:11; 156:7; 201:12; 202:6, 9, 20, 24; 203:4; 208:25; 209:11; 210:9, 14; 23:25; 194:11; 201:23 vendor 105:14; 55:16; 67:8 version 274:2 vicinity 21:4 Victor 14:4; 21:7; 22:20, 25; 34:9; 36:25; 38:20; 62:23; 63:4, 12; 82:6; 194:8; 202:3; 194:2, 5, 25 view 170:24; 173:19 virtually 100:15 visit 187:8, 8, 24; 190:6; 38:14; 190:3 visual 84-19 Vladimir 13:23; 20:3; 21:7; 36:24; 38:10; 48:2; 49:25; 63:6, 6, 7; 82:2; 89:7, 7; 90:10; 102:20; 139:6, 8; 151:9, 13; 156:14; 168:22; 185:15; 209:17; 215:22; 271:17, 18; 275:3; 47:23; 54:19; 65:22; 89:24; 90:7; 139:21; 166:15; 251:11; 269:4 Voronchenko 13:23; 14:6; 15:20; 16:6, 10, 20; 18:20; 20:20; 21:4; 26:23; 27:2; 29:10; 38:13, 15, 17; 48:9, 18; 49:24; 54:18; 55:4; 64:11, 17; 68:13; 82:16; 91:8, 17, 20; 92:12, 16, 21, 24; 93:12, 21; 94:3, 24; 95:4; 139:24; 149:2; 155:15; 156:3; 157:3; 164:18, 23; 165:7, 9, 15; 168:24; 169:7; 183:17;

224:5, 9, 19; 247:2, 9, 16; 252:10, 16, 23; 253:12; 50:9; 143:8 Vuckovic 53:19, 19; 54:4

W

wait 8:17; 56:3; 154:17; 115:9 waived 192:3 walk 51:15, 10 wall 73:17, 17; 74:2, 24; 76:20, 22; 77:3; 79:5, 5, 6, 7, 17, 19, 25; 84:15; 86:18, 19, 20, 21; 133:5; 149:23; 76:14; 84:6, 7; 198:21 wants 24:9; 38:20; 94:8; 239:8; 258:5 warnings 123:21; 155:3, waste 182:19 way 9:2; 12:18; 20:11; 38:2; 45:18; 47:6; 72:4; 98:17; 108:2; 113:25; 122:14; 129:12; 139:24; 141:15, 18; 143:23; 144:17; 149:9; 151:4, 10; 155:11; 157:3, 16; 158:11; 159:23; 162:15; 167:9; 171:11; 187:20; 189:8; 190:14; 192:19; 218:14; 223:8; 232:20; 233:16; 238:5, 24; 242:10, 11; 251:3, 13; 259:9, 13; 261:23; 262:12, 25 ways 233:5, 9; 242:12 Wednesday 161:3; 253:10 week 16:13, 14; 29:19; 69:14; 207:17, 18; 216:12, 12, 13, 14; 70:5, 6; 71:23, 25; 72:2, 10; 73:8; 93:4, 16; 117:2; 197:5; 206:4 weekend 74:15 welcome 167:10 weren't 89:18; 167:2; 169:15; 190:20 **Wesley 266:7** west 81:12 whatsoever 27:16:31:7; 154:21; 155:25, 25; 160:22; 163:5; 209:5; 242:14; 248:12, 20 whenever 9:3; 97:16

Whereupon 118:14

whole 55:25; 56:16;

101:22; 116:5; 136:12;

167:4; 201:16; 226:14;

Whose 194:15; 243:6

wife 155:10, 21: 156:4.

13; 157:5; 17:8; 155:16;

willing 70:15; 170:2;

white 79:6; 86:19

233:5

157:13

202:4, 14

185:11; 188:13, 22;

209:19, 23; 210:10, 13;

220:25; 221:5, 9, 10, 12,

21, 25; 222:10, 15, 19;

win 173:6, 8

window 132:5

wire 52:10, 15

Wise 33:13, 13, 15, 18;

44:8, 12, 17; 45:5, 10, 24;

54:10; 153:15; 184:11, 13;

185:12; 186:2; 108:23 wish 176:23; 195:24 withdraw 210:6 Withdrawn 30:24; 39:20; 41:21; 43:3; 44:7; 52:12; 54:23; 61:21; 64:25; 65:7; 72:17, 19; 77:7; 80:6; 82:10; 91:4; 92:23; 93:20; 96:6; 98:15; 100:3; 104:24; 109:17; 110:5; 114:19; 115:22; 117:11; 120:11; 122:8; 129:23; 131:16; 132:8; 133:16; 134:15; 140:4, 11; 141:9; 142:9; 144:13; 146:19; 150:5; 152:8, 10, 18; 155:19; 157:8, 10, 24; 164:6, 12, 14; 165:8; 169:17; 179:6; 180:5; 187:15; 190:9; 193:8; 196:16; 203:23; 204:2; 210:17; 211:19; 216:25; 219:8, 24; 224:25; 228:4; 230:17; 231:18; 232:16; 234:8, 9, 20; 235:15; 239:17, 25; 242:17; 244:16; 249:18; 255:22; 257:12; 258:12 within 7:10; 140:7; 170:19, 21, 25; 206:11, 17; 207:2, 13; 231:12 without 21:7; 45:12; 78:22; 84:5, 14; 85:2, 7; 89:15; 132:25; 133:7; 143:19, 24; 154:9; 159:10; 186:2; 193:2; 215:16; 252:16, 23; 276:10 WITNESS 9:18; 10:5; 11:2, 5, 22; 12:7, 20; 13:9; 26:8; 54:3; 55:24; 57:5, 17, 21, 24; 58:14, 16; 72:2; 109:7; 118:13; 127:13; 129:4, 18; 137:13, 14; 226:23; 262:14, 18; 263:13, 18; 264:25; 276:23; 277:2 won 173:14 wondering 223:6 wood 76:14; 79:12; 85:22, 22, 23; 87:15, 16; 246:3, 5, 14; 247:3, 7, 13, 15, 15, 16, 17, 20 word 159:14; 193:13; 92:10; 70:7; 89:23; 101:13; 103:18; 115:9; 125:10; 126:3; 133:5; 167:6; 196:4; 199:20; 241:14; 243:20; 276:8 work 13:13, 15; 22:18; 23:18; 27:23; 30:20; 54:6; 56:21; 67:21; 70:23; 73:10; 88:7, 22, 23; 90:21; 91:9; 96:3; 99:12, 19, 21;

100:10; 103:19, 19; 105:7; 107:9, 20; 115:10, 13; 117:4, 18; 118:2, 3, 5; 120:14; 127:10, 15, 16, 23; 128:2; 146:4, 9, 12, 21; 172:6, 13, 23, 24; 174:20; 175:8; 176:8; 177:15; 178:5; 184:21; 189:6; 190:15; 191:17; 199:18; 203:16; 208:10; 231:20; 234:18, 21; 235:7; 244:9; 255:3; 268:8; 270:3; 275:13; 276:13, 13, 16; 31:8; 36:15; 44:9; 54:5; 79:22; 96:13; 246:22; 19:3; 28:14, 20; 29:6, 17: 31:4; 48:16; 59:13; 68:2; 116:9; 143:7; 144:2; 145:7, 10, 17; 146:3, 23; 147:2, 11; 157:12; 158:15, 25; 159:2, 4; 170:14; 184:17; 185:6; 186:13; 188:14, 20; 189:14; 201:17; 229:11; 235:23; 237:3; 239:19; 240:3; 242:13; 265:8; 266:19; 269:25; 275:22; 276:11; 11:8; 47:3; 86:2; 172:20 worst-case 73:5; 203:19 worth 204:20, 21, 22; 205:3, 4; 227:21 write 242:10; 265:25; 52:9, 13 writing 105:18; 145:20, 22:212:22 written 111:14; 120:13; 134:9; 208:24; 209:4 wrong 97:2; 113:20; 139:13; 196:6; 213:23; 215:24; 219:21; 275:10 wrote 185:16

Y

year 15:14; 18:2; 24:19, 24; 102:24; 103:9; 104:9; 203:7; 222:8, 9; 225:14; 4:19, 23, 24; 14:7; 16:24; 37:19; 50:20; 69:16; 72:15; 92:5; 95:17, 20; 96:13, 16; 99:6; 206:3; 246:24 year-end 20:4, 12; 101:18 yesterday 92:7; 201:7, 9. 11 York 4:4, 4; 21:14; 25:18; 30:2; 31:20, 23; 32:2, 5, 8, 15, 18, 24; 33:3, 6, 10, 19, 22, 25; 34:6; 48:7; 51:10; 72:7; 102:20; 109:9, 19, 24; 138:5; 139:7, 9; 186:17; 187:8, 9; 190:3; 208:21; 271:16

Z

zillion 158:21

Yugoslavia 68:4